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A large deviation result for the subcritical Bernoulli percolation

Olivier Couronné

ABSTRACT. — We consider subcritical Bernoulli percolation in dimensions two and more. If \( C \) is the open cluster containing the origin, we prove that the law of \( C/N \) satisfies a large deviation principle with respect to the Hausdorff metric.

RÉSUMÉ. — Nous considérons la percolation de Bernoulli dans les dimensions supérieures ou égales à deux. Si \( C \) est le cluster d’arêtes ouvertes contenant l’origine, nous prouvons que la loi de \( C/N \) satisfait un principe de grandes déviations par rapport à la métrique de Hausdorff.

1. Introduction

Consider the cluster \( C \) of the origin in the subcritical phase of Bernoulli percolation in \( \mathbb{Z}^d \). This is a random object of the space \( \mathcal{K}_c \) of connected compact sets in \( \mathbb{R}^d \). We let \( D_H \) be the Hausdorff distance on \( \mathcal{K}_c \). Let

\[
\xi = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln P(0 \text{ is connected to } Nx).
\]

be the inverse correlation length. Assume that \( \mathcal{H}^1_\xi \) is the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) constructed from \( \xi \).

In the supercritical regime, large deviation principles have been proved for the law of \( C/N \) [3, 4]. In two dimensions, it relies on estimates of the law of dual clusters, which are subcritical. More precisely, let \( \Gamma \) be a contour in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) enclosing an area. The probability that a dual cluster is close for the Hausdorff distance to \( NT \) behaves like \( \exp(-N\mathcal{H}^1_\xi(\Gamma)) \). But what happens if we consider more general connected sets than contours?
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In this note we establish a large deviation principle for the law of \( C/N \) in the subcritical regime in dimensions two and more. Let \( \mathcal{K}_c \) denote the set of connected compact sets of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) quotiented by the translation equivalence. The usual distance between compact sets is the Hausdorff distance. We denote it by \( D_H \) when considered as a distance on \( \mathcal{K}_c \). Let \( C \) be still the open cluster containing the origin. Write \( \overline{C} \) for the equivalent class of \( C \) in \( \mathcal{K}_c \). Let \( P \) be the measure and \( p_c \) be the critical point of the Bernoulli percolation process. The formulation of our large deviation principle is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** — Let \( p < p_c \). Under \( P \), the family of the laws of \( (C/N)_{N \geq 1} \) on the space \( \mathcal{K}_c \) equipped with the Hausdorff metric \( D_H \) satisfies a large deviation principle with good rate function \( \mathcal{H}^1_\xi \) and speed \( N \): for any borel subset \( \overline{U} \) of \( \mathcal{K}_c \),

\[
- \inf \{ \mathcal{H}^1_\xi(\overline{U}) : \overline{U} \in \text{interior}(\overline{U}) \} \leq \liminf_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln P(C/N \in \overline{U}) \\
\leq \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln P(C/N \in \overline{U}) \\
\leq - \inf \{ \mathcal{H}^1_\xi(\overline{U}) : \overline{U} \in \text{closure}(\overline{U}) \},
\]

where the interior and the closure are taken with respect to the Hausdorff metric on \( \mathcal{K}_c \).

The proof of the lower bound relies on the FKG inequality; we use it to construct a cluster close to a given large connected set with a sufficient high probability. Concerning the upper bound, the proof is based on the skeleton coarse graining technique and on the BK inequality; it follows the lines of the proof in [3] with slight adaptations.

We underline that in supercritical percolation the large deviation principles lead to estimates of the shape of large finite clusters. In fact, there exists a shape called the Wulff crystal, which minimizes the rate function under a volume constraint. Unfortunately, the large deviation principle does not allow us to describe the typical shape of a large cluster in the subcritical phase. In this regime, computing simulations of large clusters show very irregular objects.

We note furthermore that our main result has been obtained independently by Kovchegov, Sheffield [11]. Their approach is quite different and makes use of Steiner trees to approximate connected compact sets.

In the next section we recall the definition and basic results of the percolation model. Then we define the measure \( \mathcal{H}^1_\xi \) and the space \( \mathcal{K}_c \). Geometric
A large deviation result for the subcritical Bernoulli percolation results required about connected compact sets are given in Section 4. In Section 5 we introduce skeletons, and use them to approximate connected compact sets. The proof of the lower bound follows in Section 6. The coarse graining technique is given in Section 7, and the proof of the upper bound follows in Section 8.

Acknowledgements. — This issue was raised by Raphaël Cerf, who spared no effort in giving me advice. I thank him.

2. The model

We consider the site lattice \( \mathbb{Z}^d \) where \( d \) is a fixed integer larger than or equal to two. We use the euclidian norm \( \| \cdot \|_2 \) on \( \mathbb{Z}^d \). We turn \( \mathbb{Z}^d \) into a graph \( \mathbb{L}^d \) by adding edges between all pairs \( x, y \) of points of \( \mathbb{Z}^d \) such that \( \| x - y \|_2 = 1 \). The set of all edges is denoted by \( \mathbb{E}^d \). A path in \((\mathbb{Z}^d, \mathbb{E}^d)\) is an alternating sequence \( x_0, e_0, \ldots, e_{n-1}, x_n \) of distinct vertices \( x_i \) and edges \( e_i \) where \( e_i \) is the edge between \( x_i \) and \( x_{i+1} \).

Let \( p \) be a parameter in \((0, 1)\). The edges of \( \mathbb{E}^d \) are open with probability \( p \), and closed otherwise, independently from each others. We denote by \( P \) the product probability measure on the configuration space \( \Omega = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{E}^d} \). The measure \( P \) is the classic Bernoulli bond percolation measure. Two sites \( x \) and \( y \) are said connected if there is a path of open edges linking \( x \) to \( y \). We note this event \( \{x \leftrightarrow y\} \). A cluster is a connected component of the random graph.

The model exhibits a phase transition at a point \( p_c \), called the critical point: for \( p < p_c \) the clusters are finite and for \( p > p_c \) there exists a unique infinite cluster. We work with a fixed value \( p < p_c \).

The following properties describe the behaviour of the tail distribution of the law of a cluster (for a proof see [9]).

**Lemma 2.1.** — Let \( p < p_c \) and let \( C \) be the cluster of the origin. There exists \( a_0 > 0 \) and \( a_1 > 0 \) such that for all \( n \)

\[
P(\{|C| \geq n\}) \leq \exp(-a_0 n), \tag{2.1}
\]

\[
P(\text{diam } C \geq n) \leq \exp(-a_1 n). \tag{2.2}
\]

We briefly recall two fundamental correlation inequalities. To a configuration \( \omega \), we associate the set \( K(\omega) = \{ e \in \mathbb{E}^2 : \omega(e) = 1 \} \). Let \( A \) and \( B \) be two events. The disjoint occurrence \( A \circ B \) of \( A \) and \( B \) is the event
\begin{align*}
\{ \omega \text{ such that there exists a subset } H \text{ of } K(\omega) \text{ such that if } \\
\omega', \omega'' \text{ are the configurations determined by } K(\omega') = H \\
\text{and } K(\omega'') = K(\omega) \setminus H, \text{ then } \omega' \in A \text{ and } \omega'' \in B. \}
\end{align*}

There is a natural order on \( \Omega \) defined by the relation: \( \omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \) if and only if all open edges in \( \omega_1 \) are open in \( \omega_2 \). An event is said to be increasing (respectively decreasing) if its characteristic function is non decreasing (respectively non increasing) with respect to this partial order.

Suppose \( A \) and \( B \) are both increasing (or both decreasing). The Harris–FKG inequality [7, 10] says that \( P(A \cap B) \geq P(A)P(B) \). The van den Berg–Kesten inequality [1] says that \( P(A \circ B) \leq P(A)P(B) \).

For \( x, y \) two sites we consider \( \{ x \leftrightarrow y \} \) the event that \( x \) and \( y \) are connected. In the subcritical regime the probability of this event decreases exponentially: for any \( x \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), we denote by \( \lfloor x \rfloor \) the site of \( \mathbb{Z}^d \) whose coordinates are the integer part of those of \( x \). Then

**Proposition 2.2.** — The limit

\[ \xi(x) = - \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln P(0 \leftrightarrow \lfloor Nx \rfloor) \]

exists and is \( > 0 \), see [9, section 6.2]. The function \( \xi \) thus obtained is a norm on \( \mathbb{R}^d \).

In addition for every site \( x \) in \( \mathbb{Z}^d \), we have

\[ P(0 \leftrightarrow x) \leq \exp(-\xi(x)). \quad (2.3) \]

Since \( \xi \) is a norm there exists a positive constant \( a_2 > 0 \) such that for all \( x \) in \( \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[ a_2|x|_2 \leq \xi(x). \quad (2.4) \]

**3. The \( \mathcal{H}^1_\xi \) measure and the space of the large deviation principle**

With the norm \( \xi \), we construct the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure \( \mathcal{H}^1_\xi \). If \( U \) is a non-empty subset of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) we define the \( \xi \)-diameter of \( U \) as \( \xi(U) = \sup \{ \xi(x - y) : x, y \in U \} \). If \( E \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i \) and \( \xi(U_i) < \delta \) for each \( i \),
we say that \( \{U_i\}_{i \in I} \) is a \( \delta \)-cover of \( E \). For every subset \( E \) of \( \mathbb{R}^d \), and every real \( \delta > 0 \) we define
\[
\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi, \delta}(E) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \xi(U_i),
\]
where the infimum is taken over all countable \( \delta \)-covers of \( E \). Then we define the one-dimensional Hausdorff measure of \( E \) as
\[
\mathcal{H}^1_{\xi}(E) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathcal{H}^1_{\xi, \delta}(E).
\]
For a study of the Hausdorff measure, see e.g. [6].

We denote by \( \mathcal{K} \) the collection of all compact sets of \( \mathbb{R}^d \). The Euclidian distance between a point and a set \( E \) is
\[
d(x, E) = \inf\{|x - y|_2 : y \in E\}.
\]
We endow \( \mathcal{K} \) with the Hausdorff metric \( D_H \):
\[
\forall K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K}, \quad D_H(K_1, K_2) = \max\{ \max_{x_1 \in K_1} d(x_1, K_2), \max_{x_2 \in K_2} d(x_2, K_1) \}
\]
Let \( \mathcal{K}_c \) be the subset of \( \mathcal{K} \) consisting of connected sets. An element of \( \mathcal{K}_c \) is called a continuum. We define an equivalence on \( \mathcal{K}_c \) by: \( K_1 \) is equivalent to \( K_2 \) if and only if \( K_1 \) is a translate of \( K_2 \). We denote by \( \overline{\mathcal{K}}_c \) the quotient set of classes of \( \mathcal{K}_c \) associated to this relation, and by \( \overline{D}_H \) the resulting quotient metric:
\[
\overline{D}_H(\overline{K}_1, \overline{K}_2) = \inf_{x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d} D_H(K_1 + x_1, K_2 + x_2) = D_H(K_1, \overline{K}_2).
\]
We finally define the Hausdorff measure on \( \overline{\mathcal{K}}_c \) by
\[
\forall K \in \overline{\mathcal{K}}_c, \quad \mathcal{H}^1_{\xi}(\overline{K}) = \mathcal{H}^1_{\xi}(K),
\]
which makes sense since \( \mathcal{H}^1_{\xi} \) is invariant by translation on \( \mathcal{K}_c \).

Now we state an essential property required by the large deviation principle.

**Proposition 3.1.** — The measure \( \mathcal{H}^1_{\xi} \) is a good rate function on the space \( \overline{\mathcal{K}}_c \).

**Proof.** — The lower semicontinuity is due to Golab and the proof can be found in [6, p 39]. We follow now the proof of the proposition 5 in [3]. Let \( t > 0 \) and let \( (\overline{K}_n, n \in \mathbb{N}) \) be a sequence in \( \overline{\mathcal{K}}_c \) such that \( \mathcal{H}^1_{\xi}(\overline{K}_n) \leq t \) for all
n in \( \mathbb{N} \). For each \( n \) we can assume that the origin belongs to \( K_n \). Since the diameter of an element of \( K_c \) is bounded by a constant time its \( \mathcal{H}_\xi^1 \)-measure, there exists a bounded set \( B \) such that

\[
K \in K_c, \ 0 \in K, \ \mathcal{H}_\xi^1(K) \leq t \Rightarrow K \subset B.
\]

Thus, the sets \( K_n \) are subsets of \( B \). For every compact set \( K_0 \) the subset \( \{ K \in K : K \subset K_0 \} \) is itself compact with respect to the metric \( D_H \) [2]. Hence \( (K_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) admits a subsequence converging for the metric \( D_H \); the same subsequence of \( (\overline{K_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) converges for the metric \( \overline{D}_H \). \( \Box \)

### 4. Curves and continua

A curve is a continuous injection \( \Gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \), where \( [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \) is a closed interval. We write also \( \Gamma \) for the image \( \Gamma([a, b]) \). We call \( \Gamma(a) \) the first point of the curve and \( \Gamma(b) \) its last point. Any curve is a continuum. We say that a curve is rectifiable if its \( \mathcal{H}_\xi^1 \)-measure is finite.

We state a simple lemma:

**Lemma 4.1.** — For each curve \( \Gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
\mathcal{H}_\xi^1(\Gamma) \geq \mathcal{H}_\xi^1([\psi(a), \psi(b)]) = \xi(\psi(a) - \psi(b)).
\]

Next, we associate to a continuum a finite family of curves in two different manners. With the first one, we shall prove the lower bound, and with the second one, we shall prove the upper bound.

**Definition 4.2.** — A family of curves \( \{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I} \) is said hardly disjoint if for all \( i \neq j \), the curve \( \gamma_j \) can intersect \( \gamma_i \) only on one of the endpoints of \( \gamma_i \).

**Proposition 4.3.** — Let \( \Gamma \) be a continuum with \( \mathcal{H}_\xi^1(\Gamma) < \infty \). Then for all parameter \( \delta > 0 \), there exists a finite family \( \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I} \) of rectifiable curves included in \( \Gamma \) such that \( D_H(\Gamma, \bigcup_{i \in I} \Gamma_i) < \delta \), \( \bigcup_{i \in I} \Gamma_i \) is connected and the family \( \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I} \) is hardly disjoint.

Furthermore, there exists a deterministic way to choose the \( \Gamma_i \)'s such that if \( \Gamma' \) is a translate of \( \Gamma \), the resultant \( \Gamma'_i \)'s are the translates of the \( \Gamma_i \)'s by the same vector.

**Proposition 4.4.** — Let \( \Gamma \) be a continuum with \( \mathcal{H}_\xi^1(\Gamma) < \infty \). Then for all parameter \( \delta > 0 \), there exists a finite family \( \{\Gamma_i\}_{i \in I} \) of rectifiable curves included in \( \Gamma \) such that \( D_H(\Gamma, \bigcup_{i \in I} \Gamma_i) < \delta \), with the following properties: the euclidian diameter of \( \Gamma_i \) is larger than \( \delta \) for all \( i \) in \( I \), \( \bigcup_{i=1}^l \Gamma_i \) is connected for all \( l \geq 1 \), and the first point of \( \Gamma_i \) is in \( \bigcup_{k<l} \Gamma_k \).
Propositions 4.3 and 4.4 are corollaries of lemma 3.13 of [6] in which we have stated the additional facts coming from the proof. □

We often think of \( \mathbb{L}^d \) as embedded in \( \mathbb{R}^d \), the edges \( \{x, y\} \) being straight line segments \([x, y]\). An *animal* is a finite connected subgraph of \( \mathbb{L}^d \) containing the origin. The Hausdorff distance between an animal and its corresponding cluster is \( \frac{1}{7} \). So, to prove the large deviation principle we shall consider the animal of the origin instead of the cluster. The point is that an animal is a continuum. Hence we shall be able to apply Propositions 4.3 and 4.4 to an animal.

5. The skeletons

**Definition 5.1.** — A skeleton \( S \) is a finite family of segments that are linked by their endpoints. We denote by \( E(S) \) the set of the vertices of the segments of \( S \) and by \( \text{card} \ S \) the cardinal of \( E(S) \). We define \( \mathcal{H} \xi^1 S \) as the sum of the \( \xi \)-length of the segments of \( S \). A point is also considered as a skeleton.

**Examples:**

Counter-examples: the following families of two segments are not skeletons

Sometimes a skeleton \( S \) is simply understood as the union of its segments, and so is a compact connected subset of \( \mathbb{R}^d \). This is the case when we write \( \mathcal{H} \xi^1 S \). We always have

\[
\mathcal{H} \xi^1 S \leq \mathcal{H} \xi^1 S.
\]  (5.1)

If \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are two skeletons which have a vertex in common, then \( S = S_1 \cup S_2 \) is also a skeleton, and

\[
\mathcal{H} \xi^1 S = \mathcal{H} \xi^1 S_1 + \mathcal{H} \xi^1 S_2.
\]  (5.2)
**Lemma 5.2.** — For every continuum $\Gamma$ with $H^1_\xi(\Gamma) < \infty$, for all $\delta > 0$, there exists a skeleton $S$ such that

$$D_H(S, \Gamma) < \delta, \quad H^1_\xi(S) \leq H^1_\xi(\Gamma).$$

The skeleton $S$ is said to $\delta$-approximate $\Gamma$.

**Proof.** — Let $\Gamma$ be a continuum with $H^1_\xi(\Gamma) < \infty$. Let $\{\Gamma_k\}_{k \in I}$ be the sequence of rectifiable curves coming from Proposition 4.3 with parameter $\delta/2$. Consider $\Gamma_1$. We take $t_0 = 0, x_0 = \Gamma_1(0)$ and for $n \geq 0$

$$t_{n+1} = \inf \{t > t_n : |\Gamma_1(t) - \Gamma_1(t_n)| \geq \delta/2\}.$$

If $t_{n+1}$ is finite then $x_{n+1} = \Gamma_1(t_{n+1})$. Otherwise, we take for $x_{n+1}$ the last point of $\Gamma_1$ if it is different from $x_n$, and we stop the sequence of the $x_i$’s. Since $\Gamma_1$ is rectifiable and because of Lemma 4.1, this sequence is finite. We call $S_1$ the family of the segments $[x_i, x_{i+1}]$ for $i = 0$ to $n - 1$. By construction $S_1$ is a skeleton, the endpoints of $\Gamma_1$ are vertices of $S_1$ and $S_1$ $\delta/2$-approximates $\Gamma_1$. We construct in the same way the other $S_i$’s for $i$ in $I$. By assumption, the $\Gamma_i$’s are connected by their endpoints. Since these endpoints are vertices of $S_i$’s, the union of the $S_i$’s denoted by $S$ is also a skeleton. We control the $H^1_\xi$ measure of $S$ by

$$H^1_\xi(S) = \sum_{i \in I} H^1_\xi(S_i) \leq \sum_{i \in I} H^1_\xi(\Gamma_i) \leq H^1_\xi(\Gamma),$$

where we use (5.2) and Lemma 4.1. The Hausdorff distance between $S$ and $\Gamma$ is controlled by

$$D_H(S, \Gamma) < D_H(S, \bigcup_{i \in I} \Gamma_i) + \delta/2 < \sup_{i \in I} D_H(S_i, \Gamma_i) + \delta/2 < \delta. \quad \square$$

**Remark 5.3.** — If $\Gamma'$ is the image of $\Gamma$ by a translation of vector $\vec{u}$, then the skeleton $S'$ constructed as above from $\Gamma'$ is the image by the same translation of the skeleton $S$ constructed from $\Gamma$.

### 6. The lower bound

We prove in this section the lower bound stated in Theorem 1.1. By a standard argument [5], it is equivalent to prove that for all $\delta > 0$, all $\Gamma$ in $\mathcal{K}_c$,

$$\liminf_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln P(D_H(C/N, \Gamma) < \delta) \geq -H^1_\xi(\Gamma).$$

We introduce two notations. The $r$-neighbourhood of a set $E$ is the set

$$\mathcal{V}(E, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : d(x, E) < r\}.$$
Let \( E_1, E_2 \) be two subsets of \( \mathbb{R}^d \). We define
\[
e(E_1, E_2) = \inf\{r > 0 : E_2 \subset \mathcal{V}(E_1, r)\}.
\]

We now take \( \Gamma \) in \( \Gamma \) such that the origin is a vertex of the skeleton \( S \) constructed from \( \Gamma \), as described in the proof of Lemma 5.2. This can be done because of the previous remark. First observe that
\[
P(D_H(C/N, \Gamma) < \delta) \geq P(e(C/N, \Gamma) < \delta / 2) \cap \{e(\Gamma, C/N) < \delta\}.
\]

We let
\[
G(N, \delta / 2, \Gamma) = \{\exists \text{ a connected set } C' \text{ of the percolation process, such that } D_H(C'/N, \Gamma) < \delta / 2\}.
\]

We have \( G(N, \delta / 2, \Gamma) \subset \{e(C/N, \Gamma) < \delta / 2\} \). So
\[
P(D_H(C/N, \Gamma) < \delta) \geq P(G(N, \delta / 2, \Gamma) \cap \{e(\Gamma, C/N) < \delta\}) \geq P(G(N, \delta / 2, \Gamma)) \times P(e(\Gamma, C/N) < \delta | G(N, \delta / 2, \Gamma)). \quad (6.1)
\]

We study the first term of the product. Let \( r \) be positive and let \( x \) and \( y \) be two sites. The event that there exists an open path from \( x \) to \( y \) whose Hausdorff distance to the segment \( [x, y] \) is less than \( r \) is denoted by \( x \leftrightarrow^r y \).

We restate lemma 8 in Section 5 of [3]:

**Lemma 6.1.** — Let \( \phi(n) \) be a function such that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(n) = \infty \). For every point \( x \), we have
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} P(0 \xleftrightarrow{\phi(n)} [nx]) = -\xi(x).
\]

Take the skeleton \( S \) which \( \delta / 4 \)-approximates \( \Gamma \), as in Lemma 5.2. We have carefully chosen \( \Gamma \) such that the origin is a vertex of \( S \). We label \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \) the vertices of \( S \). We note \( i \sim j \) if \( [x_i, x_j] \) is a segment of \( S \). Then
\[
P(G(N, \delta / 2, \Gamma)) \geq P(G(N, \delta / 4, S)) \geq P([Nx_i]^{N\delta / 4} [Nx_j], \forall i < j \text{ such that } i \sim j).
\]

The fact that the origin is a vertex of \( S \) is used in the last inequality. Since the events last considered are increasing, the FKG inequality leads to
\[
P(G(N, \delta / 2, \Gamma)) \geq \prod_{i < j, i \sim j} P([Nx_i]^{N\delta / 4} [Nx_j]).
\]
But by Lemma 6.1
\[
\lim \frac{1}{N} \ln \prod_{i<j, i \sim j} P(\lfloor Nx_i \rfloor \xrightarrow{N \delta/4} \lfloor Nx_j \rfloor) = - \sum_{i<j, i \sim j} \mathcal{H}_\xi^1([x_i, x_j]) = -\mathcal{H}_{\xi}^1(S).
\]
Hence
\[
\liminf \frac{1}{N} \ln P(G(N, \delta/2, \Gamma)) \geq -\mathcal{H}_{\xi}^1(S) \geq -\mathcal{H}_{\xi}^1(\Gamma). \tag{6.2}
\]

Now we analyze the second term \(P(e(\Gamma, C/N) < \delta \mid G(N, \delta/2, \Gamma))\) of the product in (6.1). First observe that the event
\[
\{e(\Gamma, C/N) \geq \delta\} \cap G(N, \delta/2, \Gamma)
\]
is included in
\[
\{ \exists \text{ an open path of length } \geq N\delta/2 \text{ lying in } \mathcal{V}(NT, N\delta) \setminus \mathcal{V}(NT, N\delta/2) \} \cap G(N, \delta/2, \Gamma).
\]
The two events appearing in the last intersection are independent, since they depend on disjoint sets of bonds. So
\[
P(e(\Gamma, C/N) \geq \delta \mid G(N, \delta/2, \Gamma)) \\ \leq \ P(\exists \text{ an open path of length } \geq N\delta/2 \text{ lying in } \mathcal{V}(NT, N\delta)) \\ \leq \ c_1(\mathcal{H}_\xi^1(\Gamma) + \delta) \delta^{d-1} N^d \exp(-a_0 N \delta/2),
\]
for a certain constant \(c_1 > 0\). In the last inequality, we use (2.1) and a bound of the cardinality of \(\mathcal{V}(NT, N\delta) \cap \mathbb{Z}^d\). The member on the RHS tends to 0 as \(N\) tends to infinity. Hence
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} P(e(\Gamma, C/N) < \delta \mid G(N, \delta/2, \Gamma)) = 1. \tag{6.3}
\]
By limits (6.2) and (6.3), the inequality (6.1) yields to the lower bound. □

7. Coarse graining

Now we associate a skeleton to an animal. By a counting argument it will yield to the desired upper bound.
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**Definition 7.1.** — Let \( S = \{T_i\}_{i \in I} \) be a skeleton, and let \( C \) be an animal. We say that \( S \) fits \( C \) if \( E(S) \) is included in the set of vertices of \( C \), if for all \( i \) in \( I \) there exists a curve \( \gamma_i \) such that \( \gamma_i \) is included in \( C \) and has the same endpoints than \( T_i \), and if the family \( \{\gamma_i\}_{i \in I} \) is hardly disjoint.

**Lemma 7.2.** — Let \( s > 4 \). For all animal \( C \) with \( \text{diam}(C) > s \), there exists a skeleton \( S \) such that \( H_{\xi_1}(S) \geq a_2(s/8)\text{card}S \), \( D_H(C, S) < s \), and the skeleton \( S \) fits the animal \( C \).

Such a skeleton is said to be \( s \)-compatible with the animal \( C \).

**Proof.** — We recall that an animal is also a continuum. Let \( \{\Gamma_k\}_{k \in I} \) be a sequence of rectifiable curves as in Proposition 4.4 with parameter \( s/2 \). Consider for example \( \Gamma_1 \). We take \( x_0 = \Gamma_1(0) \) and \( t_0 = 0 \). For \( n \geq 0 \), let

\[
 t_{n+1} = \inf \{t > t_n : \Gamma_1(t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d, |\Gamma_1(t) - \Gamma_1(t_n)|_2 \geq s/4 \}.
\]

If \( t_{n+1} \) is finite, then \( x_{n+1} = \Gamma_1(t_{n+1}) \). Otherwise, we erase \( x_n \), we put \( x_n \) the last point of \( \Gamma_1 \) and we stop the sequence. Note that \( t_1 \) cannot be infinite.

We call \( S'_i \) the family of the segments \([x_j, x_{j+1}]\). The set \( S'_i \) is a skeleton, and is called the \( s \)-skeleton of \( \Gamma_1 \). For the other \( i \)'s in \( I \) we construct \( S'_i \) the \( s \)-skeleton of \( \Gamma_i \) in the same way. For each \( i \) in \( I \) we have

\[
 H_{\xi_1}(S'_i) \geq (\text{card} S'_i - 1)a_2(s/4).
\]

Since the euclidian diameter of \( \Gamma_i \) is larger than \( s \) for each \( i \) in \( I \), we have \( \text{card} S'_i \geq 2 \). Since \( s > 4 \), it follows that \( H_{\xi_1}(S'_i) \geq a_2(s/8)\text{card}S'_i \), for each \( i \) in \( I \).

We now refine the skeleton \( S'_i \) into another skeleton \( S_i \). For each \( j > i \) such that the first point of \( \Gamma_j \), say \( z \), is in \( \Gamma_i \) but is not a vertex of \( S'_i \), we take the segment of \( S'_i \) whose endpoints \( x \) and \( y \) surround \( z \) on \( \Gamma_i \). We replace in \( S'_j \) the segment \([x, y]\) by the two segments \([x, z]\) and \([z, y]\). When we have done this for all \( j \) we rename \( S'_i \) by \( S_i \). The set \( S_i \) is always a skeleton which satisfies \( D_H(S_i, \Gamma_i) < s/2 \). By triangular inequality, \( H_{\xi_1}(S_i) \geq H_{\xi_1}(S'_i) \).

We denote by \( S \) the concatenation of the \( S_i \)'s. By induction, \( S \) is a skeleton. Furthermore, each vertex of \( S \) is a vertex of \( S'_i \) for a certain \( i \).

Now we check that \( S \) fulfills the good properties. We have

\[
 H_{\xi_1}(S) = \sum_{i \in I} H_{\xi_1}(S_i) \geq \sum_{i \in I} a_2(s/8)\text{card}S_i \geq a_2(s/8)\text{card} S,
\]
and

\[ D_H(S, \Gamma) < \sup_{i \in I} D_H(S_i, \Gamma_i) + s/2 < s. \]  

The next statement gives the interest of such a construction. For a given skeleton \( S \) we let \( \mathcal{A}(S) \) be the event that \( S \) is \( s \)-compatible with an animal.

**Lemma 7.3.** — For all scales \( s > 4 \),

\[ P(\mathcal{A}(S)) \leq \exp\{-\mathcal{H}S^1_\xi(S)\}. \]

**Proof.** — If \( S \) is compatible with an animal, we have the disjoint occurrences of the events \( \{x_i \leftrightarrow x_j\} \) for all \( i < j \) such that \([x_i, x_j]\) is a segment of \( S \). The BK inequality implies

\[ P(\mathcal{A}(S)) \leq \prod_{i<j} P(x_i \leftrightarrow x_j). \]

The last sentence of Proposition 2.2 yields to the desired result. \( \square \)

### 8. The upper bound

We prove here the upper bound stated in Theorem 1.1. Consider the animal \( C \) containing the origin. Let \( \Phi_H(u) = \{K \in \mathcal{K}_c : \mathcal{H}^1_\xi(K) \leq u\} \). We prove that \( \forall u \geq 0, \forall \delta > 0, \forall \alpha > 0, \exists N_0 \) such that \( \forall N \geq N_0 \),

\[ P(D_H(C/N, \Phi_H(u)) \geq \delta) \leq \exp -Nu(1-\alpha). \]

This is the Freidlin-Wentzell presentation of the upper bond of our large deviation principle, see [8].

Let \( c \) be a positive constant to be chosen later, and take \( s = 8c \ln N \). For \( N \) large enough, \( D_H(C/N, \Phi_H(u)) \geq \delta \) implies \( \text{diam } C > s \). By Lemma 7.2, we can take \( S \) a skeleton that \( s \)-approximates \( C \). We have \( D_H(C/N, S) \leq 8c \ln N/N \), so for \( N \) large enough,

\[ P(D_H(C/N, \Phi_H(u)) \geq \delta) \leq P(D_H(S/N, \Phi_H(u)) \geq \delta/2). \]

Since \( S \) is an element of \( \mathcal{K}_c \), the inequality \( D_H(S/N, \Phi_H(u)) \geq \delta/2 \) implies that \( \mathcal{H}^1_\xi(S) \geq uN \) and so \( \mathcal{H}S^1_\xi(S) \geq uN \) by (5.1).
Let $a$ be such that $a > u/a_1$. We have
\[
P(\mathcal{H}_1^{\xi}(S) \geq uN) \\
\leq P(\mathcal{H}_1^{\xi}(S) \geq uN, \text{ diam } C \leq aN) + P(\text{diam } C > aN).
\]
But $P(\text{diam } C > aN) < \exp -a_1aN$ by inequality (2.2). Since $a > u/a_1$, we have $P(\text{diam } C > aN) < \exp -uN$.

We estimate now the term $P(\mathcal{H}_1^{\xi}(S) \geq uN, \text{ diam } C \leq aN)$. Let $A(n,u,a,N)$ be the set of skeletons $T$ such that $\mathcal{H}_1^{\xi}(T) \geq uN$, $E(T)$ is included in $\mathbb{Z}^d$, $\text{card } T = n$, and there exists a connected set of sites containing the origin of diameter less than $aN$ that is $s$-compatible with the skeleton $T$. We have
\[
P(\mathcal{H}_1^{\xi}(S) \geq uN, \text{ diam } C \leq aN) \leq \sum_n \sum_{T \in A(n,u,a,N)} P(S = T).
\]

The number of skeletons we can construct from $n$ points is bounded by $(n^n)^2$. Take a skeleton in $A(n,u,a,N)$. All its vertices are in a box centered at 0, of side length $2(aN + c \ln N)$. So the cardinal of $A(n,u,a,N)$ is less than $2^{dn}(aN + c \ln N)^{dn}(n^n)^2 \leq \exp a_3 n \ln N$, for a certain constant $a_3 > 0$. Take $b > 0$ a constant such that $a_3 - a_2 b < 0$. We assume now that $c > b$. We have
\[
\mathcal{H}_1^{\xi}(T) = \mathcal{H}_1^{\xi}(T)(1 - b/c) + b/c \mathcal{H}_1^{\xi}(T) \\
\geq uN(1 - b/c) + a_2 bn \ln N
\]
because $\mathcal{H}_1^{\xi}(T) \geq a_2(s/8)\text{card } T$. Then for $N$ large enough by Lemma 7.3
\[
P(\mathcal{H}_1^{\xi}(S) \geq uN, \text{ diam } C \leq aN) \\
\leq \sum_n \sum_{T \in A(n,u,a,N)} \exp - \mathcal{H}_1^{\xi}(T) \\
\leq \sum_n \sum_{T \in A(n,u,a,N)} \exp(-uN(1 - b/c) - a_2 bn \ln N) \\
\leq \exp(-uN(1 - b/c)) \sum_n \exp((a_3 - a_2 b)n \ln N) \\
\leq \exp -uN(1 - a_4/c)
\]
for any $a_4 > b$ and $N$ large enough. We take $c$ such that $a_4/c < \alpha$ and this concludes the proof. □
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