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# An $\ell$-algebra approach to Artin's solution of Hilbert's Seventeenth Problem 

Stuart A. Steinberg ${ }^{(1)}$<br>Dedicated to Melvin Henriksen


#### Abstract

Using lattice-ordered algebras it is shown that a totally ordered field which has a unique total order and is dense in its real closure has the property that each of its positive semidefinite rational functions is a sum of squares.

Résumé. - En utilisant les algèbres réticulées, on montre qu'un corps totalement ordonné qui a un unique ordre total et qui est dense dans sa clôture réelle a la propriété que chacune des ses fonctions rationnelles positives semi-définies est une somme de carrés.


Hilbert's seventeenth problem asks if a rational function with rational coefficients which is positive semidefinite over the field of real numbers is a sum of squares of rational functions with rational coefficients. Artin [1] (or [10]) showed that this is indeed the case and, in fact, proved the stronger theorem that any subfield of the reals which has a unique total order also has this property. In [8, p. 641] (also see [7, p. 295]), Jacobson presented this result for totally ordered fields that were not necessarily archimedean, and McKenna gave the converse of this theorem in [11]. In this note I will give a proof, using some aspects of the theory of lattice-ordered rings given in Henriksen and Isbell [6], of Jacobson's version of Artin's theorem. I believe this proof of Artin's solution to Hilbert's problem was known to Weinberg in 1968. One aspect of this approach is that it avoids any use of model theory.

[^0]Let $K$ be a totally ordered field. A rational function $r\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in$ $K\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is positive semidefinite on $K$, abbreviated P.S.D., if $r\left(a_{1}, \cdots\right.$, $\left.a_{n}\right) \geqslant 0$ for all $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ in $K$ for which $r\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ is defined. The positive cone of the partially ordered group $G$ will be denoted by $G^{+}$, and $S(R)$ denotes the set of sums of squares in the commutative ring $R$. If $F$ is an extension field of the totally ordered field $K$ it is well-known that $K^{+} S(F)$ $=\left\{\Sigma_{i} a_{i} f_{i}^{2}: a_{i} \in K^{+}, f_{i} \in F\right\}$ is the intersection of those total orders of $F$ which contain $K^{+}$. The subfield $K$ of the totally ordered field $F$ is dense in $F$ if for all $a, b$ in $F$ with $a<b$ there exists some $c \in K$ with $a<c<b$. According to McKenna the totally ordered field $K$ has Hilbert's property if, for every $n$, each rational function in $K\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ that is P.S.D. on $K$ is a sum of squares in $K\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$. The theorem to be proved, as stated in [8, p. 641], is

THEOREM 0.1. - (Artin [1]). Let $F$ be the real closure of the totally ordered field $K$. If $K$ has a unique total order and is dense in $F$, then $K$ has Hilbert's property.

The cardinality of the set $X$ will be denoted by $|X|$. If $A$ and $B$ are subsets of the partially ordered set $X$, then $A<B$ (respectively, $A \leqslant B$ ) means $a<b(a \leqslant b)$ for every $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. For an ordinal number $\alpha, X$ is called an $\eta_{\alpha^{-s e t}}$ (respectively, an almost $\eta_{\alpha^{-}}$set) if whenever $A$ and $B$ are subsets of $X$ with $A<B(A \leqslant B)$ and $|A \cup B|<\aleph_{\alpha}$, then $A<c<B(A \leqslant$ $c \leqslant B$ ) for some $c \in X$; in these definitions either $A$ or $B$ could be empty. The cardinal number $\aleph_{\alpha}$ is regular if $\left|\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}\right|<\aleph_{\alpha}$ provided $|I|<\aleph_{\alpha}$ and $\left|A_{i}\right|<\aleph_{\alpha}$ for every $i \in I$. We start with a well-known embedding theorem.

ThEOREM 0.2. - Suppose $\alpha \geqslant 1$ and $\aleph_{\alpha}$ is a regular cardinal. Let $K$ be a totally ordered subfield of the totally ordered field $L$ and let $F$ be a real closed $\eta_{\alpha}$-field. If $\sigma: K \longrightarrow F$ is an embedding of totally ordered fields with $|K|<\aleph_{\alpha}$ and $|L| \leqslant \aleph_{\alpha}$, then $\sigma$ can be extended to an embedding of totally ordered fields $\tau: L \longrightarrow F$.

Proof. - A proof for the case $K=\mathbb{Q}$ is contained in the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [3]. A slight modification of the proof of Theorem 4.4.3 in [13, p. 95] proves this stronger result.

Our construction of a totally ordered $\eta_{1}$-field will use the following fact about lattices.

Lemma 0.3. - ([14, p. II-62] ; also, see [4, p. 176]). Let $f: L \longrightarrow M$ be a lattice homomorphism of the lattice $L$ onto the lattice $M$. If $S$ is a countable
subset of $M$ then there exists a subset $T$ of $L$ such that $f: T \longrightarrow S$ is an order isomorphism.

Proof. - We assume that $S$ is infinite; the case that $S$ is finite is done similarly. Suppose $S=\left\{f\left(x_{1}\right), f\left(x_{2}\right), \cdots\right\}$. Let $t_{1}=x_{1}$. Suppose $t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n-1}$ have been chosen so that $f:\left\{t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n-1}\right\} \longrightarrow\left\{f\left(x_{1}\right), \cdots, f\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right\}$ is an order isomorphism with $f\left(t_{i}\right)=f\left(x_{i}\right)$. Let $X=\left\{t_{i}: f\left(t_{i}\right)<f\left(x_{n}\right)\right\}$, $Y=\left\{t_{j}: f\left(x_{n}\right)<f\left(t_{j}\right)\right\}, x=\bigvee_{i} t_{i}, y=\bigwedge_{j} t_{j}$ and $\left.t_{n}=\left(x \vee x_{n}\right) \wedge y\right)$. If $X$ or $Y$ is empty just delete $x$ or $y$ from the definition of $t_{n}$; we will assume neither $X$ nor $Y$ is empty since the other cases follow in a similar way. Now, $X<Y$ since $f\left(t_{i}\right)<f\left(t_{j}\right)$ and hence $t_{i}<t_{j}$ for $t_{i} \in X$ and $t_{j} \in Y$. Thus $x \leqslant y$,

$$
f(x)=\bigvee_{i} f\left(t_{i}\right) \leqslant f\left(x_{n}\right) \leqslant \bigwedge_{j} f\left(t_{j}\right)=f(y)
$$

and

$$
f\left(t_{n}\right)=\left(f(x) \vee f\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \wedge f(y)=f\left(x_{n}\right) \wedge f(y)=f\left(x_{n}\right) .
$$

Now, $t_{i}<t_{n}$ iff $f\left(t_{i}\right)<f\left(t_{n}\right)(i=1, \cdots, n-1)$. For, $t_{i}<t_{n}$ gives $f\left(x_{i}\right)=$ $f\left(t_{i}\right) \leqslant f\left(t_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{n}\right)$ and hence $f\left(t_{i}\right)<f\left(t_{n}\right)$; and $f\left(t_{i}\right)<f\left(t_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{n}\right)$ gives $t_{i} \leqslant x \leqslant y, t_{i} \leqslant\left(x \vee x_{n}\right) \wedge y=t_{n}$, and hence $t_{i}<t_{n}$. Similarly, $t_{n}<t_{j}$ iff $f\left(t_{n}\right)<f\left(t_{j}\right)$ for $j=1, \cdots, n-1$.

Theorem 0.4. - ([15]; also [14, p. II-63]). Let $\left\{M_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ be a sequence of nonzero $\ell$-groups. Then $\bar{M}=\Pi_{n} M_{n} / \oplus_{n} M_{n}$ and all of its homomorphic images are almost $\eta_{1}$-groups.

Proof. - The homomorphisms in "homomorphic images" are, of course, morphisms between $\ell$-groups. We will only consider $\bar{M}$ since the same proof works for $M / C$ where $C$ is a normal convex $\ell$-subgroup of $\Pi_{n} M_{n}$ which contains $\oplus_{n} M_{n}$. Suppose $\bar{A}<\bar{B}$ are countable subsets of $\bar{M}$. We assume $\bar{A}$ and $\bar{B}$ are infinite. From Lemma 0.3 we can find subsets $A=\left\{a_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}<$ $\left\{b_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}=B$ of $\Pi_{n} M_{n}$ such that $\bar{A}=\left\{\bar{a}_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, \bar{B}=\left\{\bar{b}_{n}: n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and $A \cup B \longrightarrow \bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$ is an order isomorphism. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ take $g_{n} \in M_{n}$ with

$$
\left\{a_{1}(n), \cdots, a_{n}(n)\right\} \leqslant g_{n} \leqslant\left\{b_{1}(n), \cdots, b_{n}(n)\right\},
$$

and let $g \in \Pi_{n} M_{n}$ be defined by $g(n)=g_{n}$. Then $\bar{A} \leqslant \bar{g} \leqslant \bar{B}$. To see that $\bar{A} \leqslant \bar{g}$ fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_{k}(n) \nless g_{n}$, then $k>n$; that is, $n \in\{1, \cdots, k-1\}$. So if $h_{k} \in \Pi_{n} M_{n}$ is defined by

$$
h_{k}(n)= \begin{cases}-g_{n}+a_{k}(n) & \text { if } a_{k}(n) \nless g_{n} \\ 0 & \text { if } a_{k}(n) \leqslant g_{n}\end{cases}
$$

then $h_{k} \in \oplus_{n} M_{n}$ and $a_{k} \leqslant g+h_{k}$; hence $\bar{a}_{k} \leqslant \bar{g}$. Similarly, $\bar{g} \leqslant \bar{B}$.

The following well-known result follows quickly from Theorem 0.4.

Corollary 0.5. - Suppose $K$ is a real closed field and $\mathcal{F}$ is an ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$ which contains all complements of finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. Then the ultraproduct $K^{\mathbb{N}} / \mathcal{F}$ is a real closed $\eta_{1}$-field.

Proof. - For $f \in K^{\mathbb{N}}$ let $Z(f)=\{n \in \mathbb{N}: f(n)=0\}$. Recall that $K^{\mathbb{N}} / \mathcal{F}=K^{\mathbb{N}} / I(\mathcal{F})$ where $I(\mathcal{F})=\left\{f \in K^{\mathbb{N}}: Z(f) \in \mathcal{F}\right\}$ is a maximal ideal of $K^{\mathbb{N}}$ which is an $\ell$-ideal (all of the ideals of $K^{\mathbb{N}}$ are $\ell$-ideals). Using the standard characterization of a real closed field as a totally ordered field in which each positive element is a square and each polynomial of odd degree has a root it is clear that $K^{\mathbb{N}} / \mathcal{F}$ is real closed. Since $I(\mathcal{F})$ contains $\oplus_{n} K$, $K^{\mathbb{N}} / \mathcal{F}$ is a totally ordered almost $\eta_{1}$-field. But a totally ordered almost $\eta_{\alpha}$-division ring $D$ is an $\eta_{\alpha}$-division ring. For suppose, for example, that $A \leqslant c \leqslant B$ with $|A \cup B|<\aleph_{\alpha}, c \in A$, and $B$ has no least element. Then $0<B-c$ has no least element, $(B-c)^{-1}<u^{-1}$ for some $u \in D$ since $(B-c)^{-1}$ has no largest element, $u<B-c$, and $A<c+u<B$.

An $\ell$-ring $R$ which is an algebra over the partially ordered ring $C$ is called an $\ell$-algebra if $C^{+} R^{+} \subseteq R^{+}$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a set of words in the free $\ell$-algebra on a countably infinite free generating set. The variety of $\ell$-algebras determined by $\mathcal{S}$ is the class $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{S})$ consisting of all those $\ell$-algebras $R$ which satisfy each word in $\mathcal{S}: g\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)=0$ for all $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n} \in R$ and all $g\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{S}$. According to Birkhoff's theorem [2, p. 169] a class of $\ell$-algebras $\mathcal{V}$ is a variety if and only if each $\ell$-subalgebra and each homomorphic image of an $\ell$-algebra in $\mathcal{V}$ also belongs to $\mathcal{V}$, and the direct product of any set of $\ell$-algebras from $\mathcal{V}$ is in $\mathcal{V}$. If $K$ is an $\ell$-algebra, then $\mathcal{V}_{C}(K)$ denotes the variety of $\ell$-algebras generated by $K$. The $\ell$-algebra $R$ belongs to $\mathcal{V}_{C}(K)$ if and only if it satisfies each $\ell$-algebra identity that $K$ satisfies. A small extension of a result from [6] is crucial to this proof.

Theorem 0.6 ([6, 3.8]). - Let $C$ be a common totally ordered subring of the totally ordered fields $K$ and $L$. If $K$ is real closed then $L \in \mathcal{V}_{C}(K)$.

Proof. - Suppose $g\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is a word in the free (commutative) $C$ - $f$-algebra that $K$ satisfies. Let $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{m}$ be all the elements of $C$ which occur in $g\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ and let $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n} \in L$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is an ultrafilter on $\mathbb{N}$ which contains the complement of each finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$, then by Corollary 0.5 and Theorem 0.2 the embedding

$$
\mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{m}\right) \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow K^{\mathbb{N}} / \mathcal{F}
$$

can be extended to an embedding $\psi: \mathbb{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{m}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) \longrightarrow K^{\mathbb{N}} / \mathcal{F}$. Since $\psi$ fixes each $\alpha_{i}$ we have $\psi\left(g\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)\right)=g\left(\psi\left(a_{1}\right), \cdots, \psi\left(a_{n}\right)\right)=0$.

We will now give the proof of Theorem 0.1.
Suppose $r\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) g\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)^{-1} \in K\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is P.S.D. on $K$ and let $h\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) g\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$. Then $h\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right) \geqslant 0$ for all $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n} \in F$ and hence $h\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)^{-}=0$ is an identity for the $K-\ell$-algebra $F$. Let $P$ be a total order of $K\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ which extends $K^{+}$and let $E$ be the real closure of $\left(K\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right), P\right)$. Then $\mathcal{V}_{K}(F)=\mathcal{V}_{K}(E)$ by Theorem 0.6 and hence $h\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)^{-}=0$ is also an identity for the $K$ - $\ell$-algebra $E$. So $h\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in P$ and hence $r\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in K^{+} S\left(K\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right)=S\left(K\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right)$ since $K^{+}=S(K)$.

The proof I have given of Theorem 0.1 also proves the following additional versions of Artin's theorem. The first version is given in [5] and [7, p. 295] and the second version which, along with the reference [5], was kindly pointed out to me by Delzell, comes from Lang [9, p. 387]. Of course, for the second version one needs to use the well-known fact that for a field $E$ whose characteristic is not $2, S(E)$ is the intersection of all of the total orders of $E$ [7, p. 288].

Let $K$ be a subfield of the real closed field $F$ with the total order it inherits from $F$. If $r\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in K\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is P.S.D. on $F$, then $r\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in K^{+} S\left(K\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right)$.

Let $r\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in K\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ where $K$ is a field whose characteristic is not 2. If $r\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is P.S.D. on each algebraic extension $L$ of $K$, for any total order of $L$, then $r\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ is a sum of squares in $K\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$.
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