

ANNALES DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TOULOUSE Mathématiques

WALDO ARRIAGADA-SILVA, CHRISTIANE ROUSSEAU

*The modulus of analytic classification for the unfolding of the
codimension-one flip and Hopf bifurcations*

Tome XX, n° 3 (2011), p. 541-580.

http://afst.cedram.org/item?id=AFST_2011_6_20_3_541_0

© Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, 2011, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse Mathématiques » (<http://afst.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://afst.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>

The modulus of analytic classification for the unfolding of the codimension-one flip and Hopf bifurcations

WALDO ARRIAGADA-SILVA⁽¹⁾, CHRISTIANE ROUSSEAU⁽²⁾

ABSTRACT. — In this paper we study equivalence classes of generic 1-parameter germs of real analytic families \mathcal{Q}_ε unfolding codimension 1 germs of diffeomorphisms $\mathcal{Q}_0 : (\mathbb{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ with a fixed point at the origin and multiplier -1 , under (weak) analytic conjugacy. These germs are generic unfoldings of the flip bifurcation. Two such germs are analytically conjugate if and only if their second iterates, $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon^{\circ 2}$, are analytically conjugate. We give a complete modulus of analytic classification: this modulus is an unfolding of the Ecalle modulus of the resonant germ \mathcal{Q}_0 with special symmetry properties reflecting the real character of the germ \mathcal{Q}_ε . As an application, this provides a complete modulus of analytic classification under weak orbital equivalence for a germ of family of planar vector fields unfolding a weak focus of order 1 (*i.e.* undergoing a generic Hopf bifurcation of codimension 1) through the modulus of analytic classification of the germ of family $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon^{\circ 2}$, where \mathcal{P}_ε is the Poincaré monodromy of the family of vector fields.

RÉSUMÉ. — Dans cet article, nous étudions la classification sous conjugaison analytique (faible) des germes de familles analytiques génériques à un 1 paramètre, \mathcal{Q}_ε , déployant des germes de difféomorphismes $\mathcal{Q}_0 : (\mathbb{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ de codimension 1, ayant un point fixe à l'origine et de multiplicateur -1 . Ces germes sont des déploiements génériques de la bifurcation de doublement de période. Deux germes sont analytiquement

(*) Reçu le 13/10/2010, accepté le 28/03/2011

This work was partially supported by NSERC.

⁽¹⁾ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive NW, AB T2N 1N4, Canada; and Instituto de matemáticas, Universidad Austral de Chile, Casilla 567 - Valdivia, Chile.
warrigad@ucalgary.ca

⁽²⁾ Département de Mathématiques et de Statistique, Université de Montréal C.P. 6128, Succ. Centre-ville Montréal, Québec H3C 3J7, Canada.
rousseau@dms.umontreal.ca

conjugués si et seulement si leurs itérés d'ordre 2, $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon^{\circ 2}$, sont analytiquement conjugués. On donne un module complet de classification analytique: ce module est un déploiement du module d'Écalle du germe résonant \mathcal{Q}_0 avec des propriétés de symétrie reflétant le caractère réel du germe \mathcal{Q}_ε . Ceci donne, comme application, un module complet de classification analytique sous équivalence orbitale faible pour un germe de famille de champs de vecteurs du plan ayant une bifurcation de Hopf générique de codimension 1 par le biais du module de classification analytique du germe de famille $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon^{\circ 2}$, où \mathcal{P}_ε est l'application de premier retour de Poincaré de la famille de champs de vecteurs.

Contents

1	Introduction	543
2	Preparation of the family	545
3	The modulus of analytic classification	547
	3.1 The Ecalle modulus of \mathcal{Q}_0	547
	3.2 Glutsyuk point of view in the spherical coordinate	549
4	Lifting of the dynamics	551
	4.1 The unwrapping coordinate	552
	4.2 Glutsyuk point of view and translation domains	554
	4.3 Conjugaison in the Z coordinate	557
5	Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates	559
	5.1 Pre-normalization of Real Fatou coordinates	561
	5.2 Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates and translations	564
6	Real and Symmetric Glutsyuk invariants	567
	6.1 Normalization of Real Fatou coordinates	569
	6.2 Real Glutsyuk invariant: First Presentation	571
	6.3 Symmetric Glutsyuk invariant: Second Presentation	572
7	Invariants under weak conjugacy	576
8	Application to the Hopf bifurcation	577
9	Directions for future research	578

1. Introduction

This paper is part of a program to study the analytic classification of generic unfoldings of the simplest singularities of analytic dynamical systems. These dynamical systems can be germs of diffeomorphisms, in which case we study classification under conjugacy, or germs of vector fields, in which case we can study either classification under orbital equivalence or under conjugacy. The analytic classification of unfoldings of singularities follows the analytic classification of the singularities themselves. The moduli of classification for the simplest 1-resonant singularities have been given by Ecalle, Voronin and Martinet-Ramis (cf. [4], [19] and [12],[13]). Except for the case of the node of a planar vector field, the moduli space is a huge functional space, while the formal invariants are in finite number. This means that there is an infinite number of analytic obstructions for the analytic equivalence of two germs, that cannot be seen at the formal level.

These obstructions can be understood when first, one extends the underlying space from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{C}^n , $n = 1, 2$ and then, one unfolds the singularity. Indeed, in the simplest 1-resonance cases, the singularity of the dynamical system comes from the coalescence in a generic unfolding of the dynamical system of a finite number of hyperbolic singularities or special hyperbolic objects (like a periodic orbit or a limit cycle). Each hyperbolic object has its own geometric local model, and the modulus measures the limit of the mismatch of these local models. It is also a measure of the divergence of the normalizing series to formal normal form. Hence, if a singularity is non equivalent to its formal normal form, then we should expect a mismatch between the local models near the two hyperbolic objects in the unfolding. This was the point of view suggested by Arnold and Martinet [11] and studied systematically by Glutsyuk [8] when the unfolding is considered only in certain conic regions of the parameter space considered in complex space. The treatment had to be adapted by Lavaurs and followers when the bifurcating objects were no more hyperbolic or when the domains of the local models did not intersect.

As far as codimension 1 singularities are concerned, the case of a germ of generic unfolding of a diffeomorphism with a double fixed point, also called *parabolic diffeomorphism* has been studied in [3] and [10], and the case of a germ of generic unfolding of a resonant diffeomorphism (one multiplier being a root of unity) has been studied in [15] and [16]. Germs of generic unfoldings of resonant saddle (resp. saddle-node) singularities of planar vector fields have been studied in [16] (resp. [17]). All these papers consider the unfolding of the corresponding complex singularity. The paper [15] also considers briefly the case of a saddle point of a real vector field.

The modulus of the unfolding is always constructed in the same way. The formal normal form for the unfolding is identified and called the *model family*. The germ of family is then compared to the formal normal form on special domains. The modulus is given by the comparison of the two normalizations over the intersection of the special domains. When one restricts to parameter values for which the special objects are hyperbolic and the normalization domains intersect, then the modulus is given by the comparison of the normalizations in the neighborhood of the special objects. This is called the *Glutsyuk point of view* and the corresponding modulus is called the *Glutsyuk modulus*. In the papers [3], [15], [16] and [17], another point of view was used, called the *Lavaurs point of view*. The Lavaurs point of view allows to compute the modulus for all values of the parameters. The domains on which we compare the germ of family to the formal normal form (model family) are no more neighborhoods of the special objects, but sectorial domains adjacent to two special objects. The corresponding *Lavaurs modulus* depends in a ramified way on the parameter. In particular, there are two different definitions of the Lavaurs modulus for the parameter values for which the Glutsyuk modulus can be defined.

In this paper, we are concerned with the real character of a germ Q_ε of an analytic family of diffeomorphisms with a flip bifurcation:

$$Q_\varepsilon(x) = -x(1 - \varepsilon) \pm x^3 + o(x^3).$$

We study how this is reflected in the modulus. So, we are especially interested in the real values of the parameter. In particular, for nonzero values of the parameter, we are in the Glutsyuk point of view. Hence, in this paper, we have decided to make a profound analysis of the Glutsyuk modulus for the case of the unfolding of a periodic diffeomorphism and to determine how the real character of the diffeomorphism is reflected in the modulus. For this reason, our study is restricted to the union of two sectors in the (complexified) parameter space which do not cover a full neighborhood of the origin. As an implication, we only obtain a modulus of classification under weak orbital equivalence.

Our paper was initially motivated by the study of the Hopf bifurcation. In [1], it is shown that two germs of analytic families of planar vector fields with a generic Hopf bifurcation of order 1 are orbitally analytically equivalent if and only if the germs of analytic families of their Poincaré monodromies are conjugate. The (unfolded) Poincaré monodromy is exactly a real diffeomorphism $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon : (\mathbb{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$, which is the second iterate of a Poincaré semi-monodromy Q_ε with a flip bifurcation. Hence, through this result, the present paper provides a complete modulus of classification

under weak orbital equivalence for germs of families undergoing a generic codimension-one Hopf bifurcation.

2. Preparation of the family

We consider a germ of codimension-one real analytic diffeomorphism \mathcal{Q}_0 with a fixed point at the origin and a multiplier equal to -1 . Under scaling of x and removing the x^2 -term by a normal form argument, such a germ of diffeomorphism has the form

$$\mathcal{Q}_0(x) = -x \mp \frac{1}{2}x^3 + ax^5 + o(x^5). \quad (2.1)$$

A generic 1-parameter unfolding is a germ of family of diffeomorphisms $Q_\eta(x) = Q(x, \eta)$ such that $\frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial x \partial \eta}(0, 0) \neq 0$.

It was shown in [16] that two generic families Q_η unfolding germs of the form (2.1) are conjugate if and only if their second iterate $P_\eta = Q_\eta^{\circ 2}$ are conjugate. One direction is obvious. The other direction, namely proving that if the second iterates are conjugate, then the diffeomorphisms are also conjugate requires more work. The proof in [16] was done for complex germs. In the case of real analytic germs a better proof of the other direction is given in [1], [2] for the case of families of real diffeomorphisms Q_η . Indeed, given any two conjugate generic families of real analytic diffeomorphisms of the form $P_\eta = Q_\eta^{\circ 2}$, it is proved that they are embeddable as Poincaré monodromies of analytic vector fields unfolding a weak focus, which are analytically orbitally equivalent (a weak focus of a real vector field is a singular point with two pure imaginary eigenvalues and which is not a centre). Considering afterwards their blow-up and the holonomies of a well-chosen separatrix in the blow-up, these holonomies are in turn conjugate (cf. [9]). But these holonomies are nothing else than the corresponding diffeomorphisms Q_η . So we will mainly discuss P_η .

Since the families $\mathcal{P}_\eta = \mathcal{Q}_\eta^{\circ 2}$ have real asymptotic expansion, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_\eta &= \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{C}(\eta)} \circ \mathcal{C}, \\ \mathcal{P}_\eta &= \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}(\eta)} \circ \mathcal{C}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where \mathcal{C} is the standard complex conjugation $x \mapsto \bar{x}$. In this paper we consider real analytic families unfolding codimension-one diffeomorphisms of the form (2.1), and their second iterates. The following theorem is proved in [16] for a family of complex diffeomorphisms. Its proof can be adapted to respect the real character of \mathcal{Q}_η . For the sake of completeness we include the main steps here.

THEOREM 2.1. — *Given a germ of diffeomorphisms \mathcal{Q}_0 of the form (2.1) and a germ of generic unfolding \mathcal{Q}_η , there exists a germ of real analytic change of coordinate and parameter $(x, \eta) \mapsto (y, \varepsilon)$ conjugating the family $\mathcal{P}_\eta = \mathcal{Q}_\eta^{\circ 2}$ to the prepared form*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\varepsilon(y) = y + y(\varepsilon \pm y^2)(1 + b(\varepsilon) + a(\varepsilon)y^2 + y(\varepsilon \pm y^2)h(y, \varepsilon)), \quad (2.3)$$

such that $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_\varepsilon(0) = \exp(\varepsilon)$. In particular, the parameter ε is called canonical. It is an invariant. The formal invariant $A(\varepsilon)$ is defined implicitly through the expression

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}'_\varepsilon(\pm\sqrt{-s\varepsilon}) = \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon}{1 - sA(\varepsilon)\varepsilon}\right), \quad (2.4)$$

where $A(\varepsilon)$ is real analytic, and $s = \pm 1$ is an invariant defining two different cases which are not equivalent by real conjugacy. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\varepsilon$ be the conjugate of \mathcal{Q}_η in the (y, ε) coordinate and parameter. Conjugating $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_\varepsilon$ either with $y \mapsto -y$ or $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\varepsilon$ yields other prepared forms with same canonical parameter.

Proof. — By the implicit function theorem we can suppose that $x = 0$ is a fixed point for all η . By the Weierstrass-Malgrange preparation theorem, the other two fixed points of \mathcal{P}_η (which are periodic points of period 2 of \mathcal{Q}_η) are the roots of $p_\eta(x) = x^2 + \beta(\eta)x + \gamma(\eta)$, with $\gamma'(0) \neq 0$ since the family is generic. Because it is a flip bifurcation, the periodic points of \mathcal{Q}_η can only coincide when they are equal to $x = 0$. Hence, $\beta(\eta) \equiv 0$. A reparametrization allows to take $\gamma(\eta) = \pm\eta_1$. Then, the map \mathcal{P}_η has the form

$$\mathcal{P}_{\eta_1}(x) = x + x(\eta_1 \pm x^2)(b_1(\eta_1) + c_1(\eta_1)x + a_1(\eta_1)x^2 + x(\eta_1 \pm x^2)g(x, \eta_1)),$$

with $b_1(0) \neq 0$. Since the fixed points $\pm\sqrt{\eta_1}$ are periodic points of \mathcal{Q}_{η_1} of order 2, then $\mathcal{P}'_{\eta_1}(\sqrt{\eta_1}) = \mathcal{P}'_{\eta_1}(-\sqrt{\eta_1})$. Hence, $c_1(\eta_1) \equiv 0$. Then $\mathcal{P}'_{\eta_1}(0) = 1 + \eta_1 b_1(\eta_1)$ with $b_1(0) \neq 0$. An analytic change of parameter $\eta_1 \mapsto \varepsilon$ allows to suppose that $\mathcal{P}'_\varepsilon(0) = \exp(\varepsilon)$. A corresponding scaling in x (replacing x by $y = c(\varepsilon)x$) allows to suppose that the fixed points of \mathcal{P}_ε are given by $y(\varepsilon \pm y^2) = 0$ and yields the prepared form. The analyticity of $A(\varepsilon)$, defined in Eq. (2.4), is well known and its real character is straightforward. \square

From now on, we will limit ourselves to prepared families \mathcal{Q}_ε such that $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon^{\circ 2}$ has the form

$$\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(x) = x + x(\varepsilon + sx^2)(1 + b(\varepsilon) + a(\varepsilon)x^2 + x(\varepsilon + sx^2)h(x, \varepsilon)), \quad (2.5)$$

where $s = \pm 1$. We will mostly discuss the case $s = +1$. In particular, all the figures will be drawn only for this case. The case $s = -1$ is obtained

through $x \mapsto ix$. This non-real change of coordinates exchanges the real and imaginary axes.

Strategy. The formal normal form of \mathcal{P}_ε (also called “model family”) is the time-one map τ_ε^1 of the vector field

$$\frac{x(\varepsilon + sx^2)}{1 + A(\varepsilon)x^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad (2.6)$$

where $A(\varepsilon)$ is defined in Eq. (2.4). Notice that the real axis is invariant for real ε . In order to compute the modulus of analytic classification of the Poincaré monodromy, we compare it with τ_ε^1 for ε taken over specific sectorial domains of the parameter space.

3. The modulus of analytic classification

In order to solve the conjugacy problem for germs of families of analytic diffeomorphisms (2.5), a complete modulus of analytic classification must be identified, so that two germs of families of analytic diffeomorphisms are analytically conjugate if and only they have the same modulus (Theorem 7.2). We shall see that this modulus is an unfolding of the Ecalle modulus for the germ of diffeomorphism at $\varepsilon = 0$, and so we recall the Ecalle modulus.

3.1. The Ecalle modulus of \mathcal{Q}_0

Two germs of analytic diffeomorphisms of the form (2.1) with same sign before the cubic coefficient are real analytically conjugate if and only if they have the same formal invariant $A(\varepsilon)$ and the same orbit space. The Ecalle modulus is one way to describe the orbit space. To explain its construction we first remark that the diffeomorphism \mathcal{Q}_0 is topologically like the composition of $x \mapsto -x$ with the time-1/2 map of the vector field (2.6), whose flow lines appear in Figure 1, while the diffeomorphism \mathcal{P}_0 is topologically like the time-1 map of Eq. (2.6).

So, we take a first *fundamental domain* (for \mathcal{P}_0) C_1^+ limited by a curve ℓ_1 and its image $\mathcal{P}_0(\ell_1)$. If we identify $x \in \ell_1$ with its image $\mathcal{P}_0(x)$, the fundamental domain is conformally equivalent to a sphere \mathbb{S}_1^+ . The ends of the crescent C_1^+ limited by ℓ_1 and $\mathcal{P}_0(\ell_1)$ correspond to the points 0 and ∞ on the sphere. All orbits of \mathcal{P}_0 (except that of 0) are represented by a most one point of the sphere \mathbb{S}_1^+ . However, there exist points in the neighborhood of 0 whose orbits have no representative on the sphere \mathbb{S}_1^+ . To cover the




Figure 1. — The flow of Eq. (2.6) in the cases $s = \pm 1$ and the Ecalle modulus of \mathcal{Q}_0 .

whole orbit space we need to take three other fundamental neighborhoods C_1^-, C_2^+, C_2^- limited by curves ℓ_j and their images $\mathcal{P}_0(\ell_j)$, $j = 2, 3, 4$, respectively. As before, we identify $x \in \ell_j$ with its image $\mathcal{P}_0(x)$ and the union of these fundamental domains is also conformally equivalent to a union of spheres $\mathbb{S}_1^-, \mathbb{S}_2^+, \mathbb{S}_2^-$. But there are points in the neighborhood of 0 (resp. ∞) which lie in different spheres but belong to the same orbit. So we need to identify a neighborhood of 0 (resp. ∞) with a neighborhood of 0 (resp. ∞) in two different spheres. This is done via a collection of analytic diffeomorphisms ψ_1^0, ψ_2^0 (resp. $\psi_1^\infty, \psi_2^\infty$) sending 0 to 0 (resp. ∞ to ∞), so that we get a non-Hausdorff topological manifold endowed with a system of analytic charts given by the collection of spheres glued at the poles by the maps ψ_j^0 and ψ_j^∞ . The size of the neighborhoods of 0 and ∞ depends on the size of the neighborhood of the origin where \mathcal{P}_0 is defined, but the germs of analytic diffeomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1^0, \psi_2^0 & : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0) \\ \psi_1^\infty, \psi_2^\infty & : (\mathbb{C}, \infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, \infty) \end{aligned}$$

are almost intrinsic as maps in the sphere w -coordinate. Indeed, the only analytic changes of coordinates on the spheres \mathbb{S}_j^\pm preserving 0 and ∞ are the

linear maps. If we choose different coordinates on \mathbb{S}_j^\pm we get different germs $(\widehat{\psi}_j^0, \widehat{\psi}_j^\infty)$. The equivalence relation corresponding to changes of coordinates on \mathbb{S}_j^\pm and preserving 0 and ∞ is given by

$$(\psi_1^0, \psi_2^0, \psi_1^\infty, \psi_2^\infty) \sim (\widehat{\psi}_1^0, \widehat{\psi}_2^0, \widehat{\psi}_1^\infty, \widehat{\psi}_2^\infty) \iff \exists C_1^\pm, C_2^\pm \in \mathbb{C} : \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \widehat{\psi}_1^0(w) = (C_2^-)^{-1} \cdot \psi_1^0(C_1^+ \cdot w), \\ \widehat{\psi}_2^0(w) = (C_1^-)^{-1} \cdot \psi_2^0(C_2^+ \cdot w), \end{array} \right. \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \widehat{\psi}_1^\infty(w) = (C_1^-)^{-1} \cdot \psi_1^\infty(C_1^+ \cdot w), \\ \widehat{\psi}_2^\infty(w) = (C_2^-)^{-1} \cdot \psi_2^\infty(C_2^+ \cdot w). \end{array} \right.$$

The identity $\mathcal{P}_0 = \mathcal{Q}_0^{\circ 2}$ is reflected by the fact that it is possible to choose representatives of the modulus such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \psi_1^0(-w) = -\psi_2^0(w), \\ \psi_1^\infty(-w) = -\psi_2^\infty(w) \end{array} \right.$$

(see Lemma 6.2 for a proof in the unfolded case). We have a second equivalence relation induced by the action of \mathbb{Z}_2 mapping $x \mapsto -x$ in prepared families (2.5)

$$(\psi_1^0, \psi_2^0, \psi_1^\infty, \psi_2^\infty) \equiv (\psi_2^0, \psi_1^0, \psi_2^\infty, \psi_1^\infty).$$

DEFINITION 3.1. — *The Ecalle-modulus of the diffeomorphism \mathcal{P}_0 is given by the tuple $(\psi_1^0, \psi_2^0, \psi_1^\infty, \psi_2^\infty)$, modulo the equivalence relations \sim and \equiv .*

Over a small neighborhood \mathbb{D}_r of the origin (where \mathbb{D}_r is the standard radius- r open disk of the complex plane), the dynamics of \mathcal{P}_0 is given along the flow curves of the field (2.6). All the study of the family \mathcal{P}_ε will be done over that fixed neighborhood $U = \mathbb{D}_r$ for sufficiently small values of ε .

3.2. Glutsyuk point of view in the spherical coordinate

If $\delta \in (0, \pi/2)$, we define the following sectorial domains in the parameter space, see Figure 2:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\delta,l} &= \{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C} : |\varepsilon| < \rho, \arg(\varepsilon) \in (\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta, \frac{3\pi}{2} - \delta)\} \\ V_{\delta,r} &= \{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C} : |\varepsilon| < \rho, \arg(\varepsilon) \in (-\frac{\pi}{2} + \delta, \frac{3\pi}{2} - \delta)\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

and ρ is a small real number depending on δ . We assume that $0 \in V_{\delta,lr}$ and denote

$$V_{\delta,lr}^* = V_{\delta,lr} \setminus \{0\}.$$

The number ρ is chosen so that for values $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,lr}^*$, there exist orbits connecting the fixed points in U . In this case, we say that we work in the Glutsyuk point of view (Figure 3). When $s = +1$, it is clear that:

- If $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l}^*$, the origin is an attractor and the two real singular points $x_{\pm} = \pm\sqrt{-\varepsilon}$ are repellers in U .
- If $\varepsilon = 0$, the origin is the only (non-hyperbolic) fixed point.
- If $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,r}^*$, the origin is a repeller and two additional imaginary attracting singular points are created in U .




Figure 2. — Sectorial domains for the parameter.

For $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l,r}^*$, the family of diffeomorphisms \mathcal{P}_ε can be conjugated to the time-one map τ_ε^1 of the field (2.6) in the neighborhoods of each singular point, whose union is \mathbb{D}_r . The modulus measures the obstruction to get a conjugacy on the full neighborhood \mathbb{D}_r in the x -space. The vector field (2.6) has singular points $x_0 = 0$, with eigenvalue $\mu_0(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$, and $x_{\pm} = \pm\sqrt{-s\varepsilon}$ with eigenvalues:

$$\mu_{\pm}(\varepsilon) = -\frac{2\varepsilon}{1 - sA(\varepsilon)\varepsilon}. \quad (3.8)$$

Notice that μ_0 and μ_{\pm} are analytic invariants of Eq. (2.6), which also depend analytically on ε . It follows that ε and $A(\varepsilon)$ are analytic invariants of the field (2.6). The multipliers of the time-one map τ_ε^1 of Eq. (2.6) are $\lambda_j = e^{\mu_j}$, *i.e.* they are precisely the multipliers of the fixed points of \mathcal{P}_ε . For $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l,r}^*$, in order to compare \mathcal{P}_ε with the model diffeomorphism τ_ε^1 we compare their orbit space. The orbit space of \mathcal{P}_ε is obtained by taking 3 closed curves $\{\ell_0, \ell_+, \ell_-\}$ around the fixed points, and their images $\{\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(\ell_{\#})\}$ where $\# \in \{0, +, -\}$. Since the fixed points are hyperbolic, the closed regions $\{C_{\#}\}$ between the curves and their images are isomorphic to three closed annuli. We identify $\ell_{\#} \sim \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(\ell_{\#})$. Then the quotient $C_{\#}/\sim$ will be shown to be a conformal torus. Hence, the orbit space is the union of the three annuli modulo the identification of points of the same orbit. Furthermore, if we identify $\ell_{0,\pm} \sim \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(\ell_{0,\pm})$ the quotient Hence, the orbit space turns out to be a non-Hausdorff space conformally equivalent to a quotient of the union of three tori $\mathbf{T}_\varepsilon^0, \mathbf{T}_{1,\varepsilon}^\infty, \mathbf{T}_{2,\varepsilon}^\infty$ plus the three singular points (which represent the orbit space of the hyperbolic fixed points), such that:

- each orbit has at most one point in each torus,
- each orbit is either a fixed point or is represented in a torus,
- some orbits may have representatives in two different tori.

The Glutsyuk modulus consists in this identification of orbits. For this, we need to introduce (almost) intrinsic coordinates on the tori. One way to introduce coordinates on a torus \mathbf{T} is to consider the latter as a quotient $\mathbf{T} = \mathbb{C}^*/\mathcal{L}_C$ (where $\mathcal{L}_C(x) = Cx$ is the linear map) for some $C \in \mathbb{C}^*$.




Figure 3. — The orbit space of the Poincaré monodromy.

Then a natural coordinate on \mathbf{T} is the projection of a coordinate on $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{CP}^1 \setminus \{0, \infty\}$, *i.e.* a “spherical” coordinate. In toric coordinates, the identification of orbits in two tori induce germs of families of analytic diffeomorphisms

$$\psi_{j,\varepsilon}^G : \mathbb{C}^* \mapsto \mathbb{C}^*$$

for $j \in \{1, 2\}$, see Figure 3, such that $\psi_{j,\varepsilon} \circ \mathcal{L}_{C_1} = \mathcal{L}_{C_2} \circ \psi_{j,\varepsilon}$ if $\psi_{j,\varepsilon}$ represents a map from $\mathbf{T}_1 = \mathbb{C}^*/\mathcal{L}_{C_1}$ to $\mathbf{T}_2 = \mathbb{C}^*/\mathcal{L}_{C_2}$.

4. Lifting of the dynamics

Fatou coordinates were introduced in 1920 by former P. Fatou (cf. [5]). They are changes of coordinates which allow to transform the prepared family \mathcal{P}_ε into the model family τ_ε^1 over the sectorial domains (3.7). We construct a special kind of Fatou coordinates: we show that it is possible to choose them respecting the real character of \mathcal{P}_ε . This choice yields a symmetry property on the Glutsyuk invariant in the unfolding.

Although we want to compare the map \mathcal{P}_ε with its normal form, which is the time-one map of the vector field (2.6), it has been shown (cf. [18]) that it is natural to change to the time coordinate of the simpler vector field

$$\dot{x} = x(\varepsilon + sx^2),$$

which is a “small deformation” of Eq. (2.6) over \mathbb{D}_r .

4.1. The unwrapping coordinate

From now on, the parameter belongs to either of the Glutsyuk sectors (3.7). Consider the “unwrapping” change of coordinates $p_\varepsilon : \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{x_0, x_\pm\}$ defined by:

$$x = p_\varepsilon(Z) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{s\varepsilon}{s \exp(-2\varepsilon Z) - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{for } \varepsilon \neq 0, \\ \left(-\frac{s}{2Z} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{for } \varepsilon = 0, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where \mathcal{R}_ε is the 2-sheeted Riemann surface of the function (see Figure 4)

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{1 - s \exp(-2\varepsilon Z)}{s\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{for } \varepsilon \neq 0, \\ \left(\frac{sZ}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \text{for } \varepsilon = 0, \end{cases}$$

and $s = \pm 1$ is the sign of the third order coefficient of the family (2.5). Notice that for all $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,lr}^*$, the map p_ε is $\alpha(\varepsilon)$ -periodic with

$$\alpha(\varepsilon) = -\frac{i\pi}{\varepsilon}, \quad (4.2)$$

that is,

$$p_\varepsilon(Z) = p_\varepsilon\left(Z - \frac{ik\pi}{\varepsilon}\right), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.3)$$

(We will drop dependence on ε in Eq. (4.2)). Thus, the image $p_\varepsilon^{\circ -1}(U = \mathbb{D}_r)$ consists in the Riemann surface \mathcal{R}_ε minus a countable number of holes. The smaller the radius of U , the larger the radius of such holes (of order $1/2r^2$).

Notice that the distance between the centers of two consecutive holes, for $\varepsilon \neq 0$, is equal to (4.2). Define the liftings:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon &= p_\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon \circ p_\varepsilon, \\ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon &= p_\varepsilon^{-1} \circ \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \circ p_\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

By Eq. (4.3), the families $\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon$ are defined on \mathcal{R}_ε minus the countable collection of holes. The dynamics of the lifting goes always from left to right




Figure 4. — The surface \mathcal{R}_ε , domain of the lifting \mathbf{P}_ε .

on \mathcal{R}_ε . We denote P^0 and P^\pm the points at infinity located in the direction orthogonal to the line of holes, in such a way that their images by p_ε be equal to $x_0 = 0$ and $x_\pm = \pm\sqrt{-s\varepsilon}$, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} P^0 &= p_\varepsilon^{\circ-1}(x_0), \\ P^\pm &= p_\varepsilon^{\circ-1}(x_\pm). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

In a neighborhood of the points P^\pm (there are two such points, in correspondence with the leaves of \mathcal{R}_ε) the two sheets go to different singular points in the x -coordinate, while on the side of P^0 both sheets go to the origin, see Figure 4.

DEFINITION 4.1. — For any complex number $Z_\infty \in \mathbb{C}$ whose imaginary part is of order $\sim |\alpha|$ in a neighborhood of P^\pm , we define the translation in T_{Z_∞} :

$$T_{Z_\infty}(\cdot) = Z_\infty + \cdot. \quad (4.6)$$

By Eq. (4.3), the sequence of equidistant holes can be denoted as:

$$\{T_\alpha^{\circ k}(B_\varepsilon)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad (4.7)$$

where $T_\alpha^0(B_\varepsilon) = B_\varepsilon$ corresponds to the integer $k = 0$. (Notice that $T_\alpha^{\circ k} = T_{k\alpha}$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$). It will be called the principal hole, and we will write:

$$\widehat{U}_\varepsilon = p_\varepsilon^{\circ-1}(U) = \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \setminus \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} T_\alpha^{\circ k}(B_\varepsilon) \quad (4.8)$$

the domain for the dynamics of $\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon, \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon$. By connexity, the translation (4.6) can be analytically extended along the leaves of \mathcal{R}_ε to all Z in a neighborhood of the point P^0 , see Figure 5. The extension is noted T_{Z_∞} as well. We shall use specific values for Z_∞ , the first one being α , defined in Eq. (4.2).




Figure 5. — Analytic extension of T_α to a neighborhood of P^0 , when $\varepsilon > 0$.

The families \mathbf{P}_ε and \mathbf{Q}_ε commute with T_α :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \circ T_\alpha &= T_\alpha \circ \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon, \\ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon \circ T_\alpha &= T_\alpha \circ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

along the leaves of \mathcal{R}_ε . Indeed, they do so near P^\pm . Since T_α is globally defined in \mathcal{R}_ε by analytic continuation, they do so everywhere. Moreover, for small ε , \mathbf{P}_ε is close to T_1 :

PROPOSITION 4.2 [16]. — *There exists $K > 0$ such that for $Z \in p_\varepsilon^{\circ-1}(\mathbb{D}_r)$ and ε small, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon(Z) - Z - 1| &< Kr, \\ |\mathbf{P}'_\varepsilon(Z) - 1| &< Kr^3. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Notice that the inverse $p_\varepsilon^{\circ-1}$ of the change (4.1) is the multivalued function:

$$Z = p_\varepsilon^{\circ-1}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \log\left(\frac{x^2}{\varepsilon + sx^2}\right), & \text{for } \varepsilon \neq 0, \\ -\frac{s}{2x^2}, & \text{for } \varepsilon = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

where $\log(\cdot)$ is the principal branch of the logarithm.

4.2. Glutsyuk point of view and translation domains

We discuss the case $s = +1$. We will denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_\pm &= p_\varepsilon^{\circ-1}(\mathbb{R}_\pm), \\ \mathfrak{S}_\pm &= p_\varepsilon^{\circ-1}(i\mathbb{R}_\pm). \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

By Eq. (4.3), there is a countable number of such semi-infinite segments on \mathcal{R}_ε , and by Eq. (4.11), $\mathcal{R}_{+,k}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{-,k}$ lie on the same side of \mathcal{R}_ε , but in different leaves. The same holds for $\mathfrak{S}_{+,k}$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{-,k}$, see Figure 6. The half-lines (4.12) are organized differently in the cases $\varepsilon \leq 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ (cf. [2]).




Figure 6. — The choice of the cuts on \mathcal{R}_ε for real values of the parameter.

If the parameter is negative, the location of the fixed points in the x coordinate yields the decomposition

$$\mathcal{R}_\pm = \mathcal{R}_\pm^s \cup \mathcal{R}_\pm^\infty$$

on \mathcal{R}_ε , where \mathcal{R}_\pm^s is the image by $p_\varepsilon^{\circ-1}$ of the straight real segment joining 0 and x_\pm , and \mathcal{R}_\pm^∞ is the image by $p_\varepsilon^{\circ-1}$ of the straight real segment joining x_\pm and the boundary of the neighborhood U in the x coordinate. Again, one has a countable number of such segments $\mathcal{R}_\pm^{s,\infty}$ at distance $\alpha(\varepsilon)$ from each other in the Z coordinate. The cuts are located along the half-lines \mathfrak{S}_\pm . The half-lines $\mathcal{R}_\pm^\infty, \mathfrak{S}_\pm$ intersecting the principal hole B_ε will be noted $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\pm$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_\pm$, respectively.

In the case $\varepsilon = 0$, there are four half-lines \mathcal{R}_\pm and \mathfrak{S}_\pm in the Z coordinate. They will be noted $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_\pm$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_\pm$, respectively. The cuts are located along $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_\pm$.

For positive values of the parameter, on the contrary, the image of the imaginary axis by the map $p_\varepsilon^{\circ-1}$ consists in the union

$$\mathfrak{S}_\pm = \mathfrak{S}_\pm^s \cup \mathfrak{S}_\pm^\infty$$

on \mathcal{R}_ε , where \mathfrak{S}_\pm^s is a countable collection consisting of the image of the straight imaginary segment joining 0 with x_\pm , where $\{\mathfrak{S}_\pm^\infty\}_k$ is an countable collection of the image of the straight imaginary segment joining x_\pm and

the boundary of the neighborhood U in the x coordinate. The cuts of \mathcal{R}_ε are located along the half-lines \mathfrak{S}_\pm^∞ . The half-lines $\mathfrak{R}_\pm, \mathfrak{S}_\pm^\infty$ intersecting the principal hole B_ε will be noted $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}_\pm$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_\pm$, respectively.

DEFINITION 4.3. — *In the three cases above, the distinguished line $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}_\pm$ is called the symmetry axis in the Z coordinate.*

Translation domains. Given any $\delta > 0$, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for $|\varepsilon| < \rho$, there exists an orbit of the lifting \mathbf{P}_ε connecting P^0 with P^\pm . In such a case, we say that we are in the “Glutsyuk point of view” of the dynamics.




Figure 7. — A translation domain $Q_{+, \varepsilon}^0$ and an admissible strip on it

A slanted line $\ell \subset \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon$, such that the image $\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon(\ell)$ is placed on the right of ℓ and the strip $\widehat{C}_\varepsilon(\ell)$ between ℓ and $\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon(\ell)$ belongs to $p_\varepsilon^{\circ-1}(U)$, is called an *admissible line*. Let ℓ be an admissible line for \mathbf{P}_ε . The translation domain associated to ℓ is the set

$$Q_\varepsilon(\ell) = \{Z \in \widehat{U}_\varepsilon : \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon^{on}(Z) \in \widehat{C}_\varepsilon(\ell), \forall i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}, \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon^{oi}(Z) \in \widehat{U}_\varepsilon\}.$$

In the Glutsyuk point of view, the admissible strips are placed parallel to the line of holes, i.e. according to the $\alpha(\varepsilon)$ direction of the covering transformation T_α . The induced translation domains, called *Glutsyuk translation domains*, are noted Q_ε^∞ and Q_ε^0 according to whether they contain a neighborhood of P^\pm or P^0 , respectively, see Figure 7.

Among other properties, $Q_\varepsilon(\ell)$ is a simply connected open subset of \widehat{U}_ε ; the region $\widehat{C}_\varepsilon(\ell) \setminus \{\ell\}$ is a *fundamental domain* for the restriction of \mathbf{P}_ε to $Q_\varepsilon(\ell)$: each \mathbf{P}_ε -orbit in $Q_\varepsilon(\ell)$ has one and only one point in this set. For values of ε in $V_{\delta, lr}$, there exist four different Glutsyuk translation domains $Q_{\pm, \varepsilon}^{0, \infty}$ in the Z -space, which are defined, depending on the sign of $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, as follows, see Figure 8.

- If $\varepsilon < 0$, then $Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty$ is a simply connected neighborhood of P^\pm containing all the segments \mathfrak{R}_\pm^s , while $Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^0$ is a simply connected neighborhood of P^0 containing the distinguished half-line $\widehat{\mathfrak{S}}_\pm$.
- If $\varepsilon > 0$, then $Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty$ is a simply connected neighborhood of P^\pm containing all the segments \mathfrak{S}_\pm^s , while $Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^0$ is a simply connected neighborhood of P^0 containing the distinguished half-line $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}_\pm$.




Figure 8. — The translation domains $Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}$.

LEMMA 4.4. — *The translation T_α satisfies:*

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha(Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^0) &= Q_{\mp,\varepsilon}^0, \\ T_\alpha(Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty) &= Q_{\mp,\varepsilon}^\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. — The second is clear, by definition: T_α is formerly defined in a neighborhood of the point P^\pm along the leaves of \mathcal{R}_ε , thus leaving invariant the translation domains $Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty$. On the other hand, the first equality is certainly true because all the possible paths defining the analytic extension of T_α to a neighborhood of P^0 must be contained in $Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty$. Let us consider for instance $Q_{+,\varepsilon}^0$ above the principal hole. It intersects $Q_{+,\varepsilon}^\infty$ and because of the definition of T_α , when we apply T_α (resp. $T_{-\alpha}$) we are below the principal hole if $\varepsilon > 0$ (resp. $\varepsilon < 0$). In that region $Q_{+,\varepsilon}^\infty$ intersects $Q_{-,\varepsilon}^0$. Thus, each translation domain $Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty$ shares a common region with a translation domain of the kind $Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^0$. The conclusion follows. \square

4.3. Conjugation in the Z coordinate

Choose Z on \mathcal{R}_ε and fix any simple arc Γ joining Z with the axis of symmetry $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}$, and let γ be its image under the map $p_\varepsilon : \gamma = p_\varepsilon(\Gamma)$. Consider

the reflection $\widehat{\gamma}$ of the path γ with respect the real axis \mathbb{R} in the x coordinate. Then define

$$\overline{\Gamma} = p_\varepsilon^{\circ -1}(\widehat{\gamma}).$$

DEFINITION 4.5. — *The path $\overline{\Gamma}$ is well defined and is called the reflection of the arc Γ with respect to the axis of symmetry $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}$ in the Z coordinate, see Figure 9. The starting point of $\overline{\Gamma}$ is called the conjugate of Z , and is noted $\mathfrak{C}(Z)$.*

The conjugation $Z \mapsto \mathfrak{C}(Z)$ is well defined: its definition is independent of the arc Γ . Indeed, if Γ_+ is any simple path joining Z with the semi-axis of symmetry $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}_+$ in the Z coordinate, then the reflection of the arc Γ_+ with respect to $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}_+$ induces a map

$$Z \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_+(Z)$$

along the leaves of \mathfrak{R} , which is independent of the free homotopy class with endpoint on $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}_+$.




Figure 9. — The conjugation in the Z coordinate.

Choose now any simple arc Γ_- joining the point Z with the semi-axis of symmetry $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}_-$. The reflection of the arc Γ_- with respect to $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}_-$ induces in turn a map $Z \mapsto \mathfrak{C}_-(Z)$. Then, it is easily seen that $\mathfrak{C}_+(Z) = \mathfrak{C}_-(Z)$. Indeed, the arc Γ_+ induces a path γ_+ in the x coordinate whose reflection $\overline{\gamma_+}$ with respect to the real axis starts at the same point as the reflection $\overline{\gamma_-}$ of the path γ_- induced by the arc Γ_- in the x coordinate, see Figure 9. It becomes clear by definition that:

$$\mathfrak{C} \circ \mathfrak{C} = id \tag{4.14}$$

for real values of the parameter. Moreover, the families \mathbf{P}_ε and \mathbf{Q}_ε are invariant under the conjugation \mathbb{C} in the Z coordinate when $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon &= \mathbb{C} \circ \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \circ \mathbb{C}, \\ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon &= \mathbb{C} \circ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon \circ \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

5. Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates

Let $W \mapsto \mathcal{C}(W) = \overline{W}$ be the complex conjugation in \mathbb{C} , and let

$$\mathcal{T}_B(\cdot) = B + \cdot$$

be the translation in $B \in \mathbb{C}$.

THEOREM 5.1. — *For values of the parameter in $V_{\delta,lr}$ we consider translation domains $Q_{\pm,\varepsilon,lr}^{0,\infty}$ whose admissible strips in \mathcal{R}_ε lie in a direction parallel to the line of the holes $T_{\alpha(\varepsilon)}^{\circ k}(B_\varepsilon)$. It is possible to construct four different changes of coordinates $W = \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,lr}^{0,\infty}(Z)$ defined on $Q_{\pm,\varepsilon,lr}^{0,\infty} \cap \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon^{\circ-1}(Q_{\pm,\varepsilon,lr}^{0,\infty})$, and called Fatou coordinates with the following properties:*

1. They conjugate \mathbf{P}_ε with the translation by one:

$$\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,lr}^{0,\infty}(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon(Z)) = \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,lr}^{0,\infty}(Z) + 1, \tag{5.1}$$

for every $Z \in Q_{\pm,\varepsilon,lr}^{0,\infty} \cap \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon^{\circ-1}(Q_{\pm,\varepsilon,lr}^{0,\infty})$.

2. They commute with complex conjugation, more precisely:

- For (real) negative values of the parameter they are related through:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,l}^0 &= \mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon,l}^0 \circ \mathbb{C}, \\ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,l}^\infty &= \mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon,l}^\infty \circ \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

They are unique up to left composition with \mathcal{T}_{B_\pm} , $B_\pm \in \mathbb{R}$, for $\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,l}^\infty$ and up to \mathcal{T}_D , $D \in \mathbb{C}$, for $\Phi_{+,\varepsilon,l}^0$ ($\Phi_{-,\varepsilon,l}^0$ being determined by Eq. (5.2)).

- For (real) positive values of ε they satisfy:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,r}^0 &= \mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,r}^0 \circ \mathbb{C}, \\ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,r}^\infty &= \mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon,r}^\infty \circ \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

They are unique up to left composition with \mathcal{T}_{B_\pm} , $B_\pm \in \mathbb{R}$, for $\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,l}^0$ and up to \mathcal{T}_D , $D \in \mathbb{C}$, for $\Phi_{+,\varepsilon,l}^\infty$ ($\Phi_{-,\varepsilon,l}^\infty$ being determined by Eq. (5.3)).

3. They depend analytically on $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,lr}$ with the same limit at $\varepsilon = 0$.

Proof. —

1. The construction of Fatou coordinates satisfying Eq. (5.1) exists in the literature (cf. [16]) but we wish to show additionally Eqs. (5.2) and (5.3).
2. We use the result (also well known in the literature) that a Fatou coordinate Φ_ε on a translation domain Q_ε is unique up to left composition with a translation. In particular, it is uniquely determined by a base point $Z_0(\varepsilon)$ such that $\Phi_\varepsilon(Z_0(\varepsilon)) = 0$.
 Case $\varepsilon < 0$. Let $\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,l}^\infty$ be a Fatou coordinate determined by the equation $\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,l}^\infty(Z_{0,\pm}(\varepsilon)) = 0$. From Eqs. (4.14), (4.15), it is easy to check that $\mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,l}^\infty \circ \mathcal{C}$ is a Fatou coordinate and, moreover, that it satisfies $\mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,l}^\infty \circ \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}(Z_{0,\pm}(\varepsilon))) = 0$. Then, $\mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,l}^\infty \circ \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{T}_{D_\pm} \circ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,l}^\infty$ for some $D_\pm \in i\mathbb{R}$. Changing $\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,l}^\infty$ by $\mathcal{T}_{\frac{D_\pm}{2}} \circ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon,l}^\infty$ yields a Fatou coordinate satisfying the second part of (5.2). As for the first part, it suffices to define $\Phi_{-,\varepsilon,l}^0$, from the choice of a Fatou coordinate $\Phi_{+,\varepsilon,l}^0$, according to the first row of Eq. (5.2). The case $\varepsilon > 0$ is similar.
3. It suffices to take the base point depending analytically on $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,lr}$ with same limit at $\varepsilon = 0$.

□




Figure 10. — The non-connected intersection of the translation domains.

DEFINITION 5.2. — *Fatou coordinates in Theorem 5.1 are called admissible Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates. Theorem 5.1 shows that the symmetry axis $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}$ is invariant under Real Fatou coordinates when the parameter is real.*

Remarks.

1. Although Real Fatou Glutsyuk changes of coordinates always exist for $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,lr}$, the curve $\widehat{\mathfrak{R}}$ is not invariant if $\varepsilon \notin \mathbb{R}$.
2. The subscripts l, r will be dropped when the context allows no confusion.
3. As we will see, the modulus compares the Fatou coordinates on the left and on the right over the intersection of the left and right translations domains. If $\varepsilon \neq 0$, the geometry of \mathcal{R}_ε yields that the intersection of right and left translations domains is composed of a countable alternating sequence of horizontal strips, see Figure 10.
4. This yields the organization of the domains of definition for the different Real Glutsyuk coordinates. Due to periodicity, it suffices to describe these domains around the fundamental hole B_ε , see Figure 11.




Figure 11. — The Real Glutsyuk coordinates around the principal hole.

5.1. Pre-normalization of Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates

The family \mathcal{P}_ε is, by definition, the second iterate of a family of germs of diffeomorphisms \mathcal{Q}_ε unfolding the map \mathcal{Q}_0 , which is tangent to $-id$. This implies that the orbits of the family \mathcal{Q}_ε form a 180° -degrees alternating sequence along the orbits of the prepared family of fields at each iteration (*i.e.* the points x and $\mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon(x)$ stand on opposite sides of the origin, see Figure 12). In other words, the lifting \mathbf{Q}_ε exchanges the two leaves.

The fact that the family of diffeomorphisms \mathcal{P}_ε is a square (namely, $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon^{\circ 2}$) is now exploited.




Figure 12. — The “jumps” of the orbits of Q_0 in the case $\varepsilon = 0$.

LEMMA 5.3. — *For each $\varepsilon \in V_\delta^G$, it is possible to construct admissible Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates depending analytically on $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,lr}$, with continuous limit at $\varepsilon = 0$ and such that they are related through:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^0 \circ Q_\varepsilon &= \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{2}} \circ \Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^0, \\ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty \circ Q_\varepsilon &= \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{2}} \circ \Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Such coordinates are unique up to left composition with translation $\mathcal{T}_{C_\varepsilon^0}$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}_{C_\varepsilon^\infty}$) for real constant C_ε^0 (resp. C_ε^∞) (i.e. the same constant for the two signs \pm in each case).

Proof. — For each ε , the map Q_ε commutes with \mathcal{P}_ε . Hence $Q_\varepsilon = p_\varepsilon^{-1} \circ Q_\varepsilon \circ p_\varepsilon$ commutes with \mathbf{P}_ε . Let the pairs of admissible Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates $\Phi_{+,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}, \Phi_{-,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}$ be constructed as in the proof of Theorem 5.1. Then:

$$\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon(Q_\varepsilon(Z))) = \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}(Q_\varepsilon(Z)) + 1 = (\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty} \circ Q_\varepsilon)(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon(Z)), \tag{5.5}$$

the first equality being consequence of the fact that $\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}$ is a solution to Eq. (5.1), and the second is true because \mathbf{P}_ε and Q_ε commute. Eq. (5.5) says that $\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty} \circ Q_\varepsilon$ is a Fatou Glutsyuk coordinate. By the remark above, the latter is defined on the same translation domain as $\Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}$. Hence, as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, there exists $C_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty} \in \mathbb{C}$ with the following property:

$$\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty} \circ Q_\varepsilon = \mathcal{T}_{C_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}} \circ \Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}. \tag{5.6}$$

We will drop the subscript ε in the constants. Using $Q_\varepsilon^2 = \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon$ and iterating Eq. (5.6) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}(Z) + 1 &\equiv \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty} \circ \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon(Z) \\ &= (\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty} \circ Q_\varepsilon) \circ Q_\varepsilon(Z) \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{C_\pm^{0,\infty}} \circ (\Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty} \circ Q_\varepsilon)(Z) \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{C_\pm^{0,\infty}} \circ \mathcal{T}_{C_\mp^{0,\infty}} \circ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}(Z) \\ &= \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}(Z) + C_\pm^{0,\infty} + C_\mp^{0,\infty}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

which means

$$C_+^{0,\infty} + C_-^{0,\infty} = 1. \quad (5.8)$$

We want to prove that it is possible to choose the Fatou coordinates so that $C_+^{0,\infty} = C_-^{0,\infty} = 1/2$. That is consequence of $\mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon = \mathbb{C} \circ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon \circ \mathbb{C}$ when $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed, in the case $\varepsilon < 0$, Eq. (5.6) and Theorem 5.1 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{C_\pm^0} \circ \Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^0 &= (\mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^0 \circ \mathbb{C}) \circ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon \\ &= \mathcal{C} \circ (\Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^0 \circ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon) \circ \mathbb{C} \\ &= \mathcal{C} \circ (\mathcal{T}_{C_\mp^0} \circ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^0) \circ \mathbb{C} \\ &= \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{T}_{C_\mp^0} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Hence $\overline{C_\mp^0} = C_\pm^0$, and then $Re(C_+^0) = Re(C_-^0)$. We show now that a ‘‘correction’’ is possible by using the degree of freedom, so that C_\pm^0 can be taken real (for every ε), while, at the same time, respecting Eq. (5.2). If we change the coordinates by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{+,\varepsilon}^0 &\mapsto \mathcal{T}_K \circ \Phi_{+,\varepsilon}^0 \\ \Phi_{-,\varepsilon}^0 &\mapsto \mathcal{T}_{\overline{K}} \circ \Phi_{-,\varepsilon}^0 \end{aligned}$$

in Eq. (5.6), for $K \in i\mathbb{R}$ to be chosen, then Eq. (5.2) remains valid and we get:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{T}_K \circ \Phi_{+,\varepsilon}^0) \circ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon &= \mathcal{T}_{K+C_+^0-\overline{K}} \circ (\mathcal{T}_{\overline{K}} \circ \Phi_{-,\varepsilon}^0) \\ (\mathcal{T}_{\overline{K}} \circ \Phi_{-,\varepsilon}^0) \circ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon &= \mathcal{T}_{\overline{K}+C_-^0-K} \circ (\mathcal{T}_K \circ \Phi_{+,\varepsilon}^0). \end{aligned}$$

Put $\widehat{C}_+^0 = K + C_+^0 - \overline{K}$ and $\widehat{C}_-^0 = \overline{K} + C_-^0 - K$. The choice

$$K = -i \frac{Im(C_+^0)}{2} = i \frac{Im(C_-^0)}{2} \in i\mathbb{R}$$

ensures that $\widehat{C}_+^0 = \widehat{C}_-^0 = Re(C_+^0) = Re(C_-^0) = 1/2$.

As for the coordinate Φ_\pm^∞ , the proof is straightforward. Indeed, Eq. (5.6) and Theorem 5.1 yield this time:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{C_\pm^\infty} \circ \Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^\infty &= (\mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^\infty \circ \mathbb{C}) \circ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon \\ &= \mathcal{C} \circ (\Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^\infty \circ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon) \circ \mathbb{C} \\ &= \mathcal{C} \circ (\mathcal{T}_{C_\mp^\infty} \circ \Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^\infty) \circ \mathbb{C} \\ &= \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{T}_{C_\mp^\infty} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^\infty \end{aligned}$$

(compare with Eq. (5.9)), thus $C_\pm^\infty = \overline{C_\pm^\infty}$ and $C_\pm^\infty \in \mathbb{R}$. So we can perform a change $\Phi_{+,\varepsilon}^\infty \mapsto \mathcal{T}_K \circ \Phi_{+,\varepsilon}^\infty$, where $K = 1/2 - C_+^\infty \in \mathbb{R}$, in order to bring $C_+^\infty = C_-^\infty = 1/2$, respecting Eq. (5.2).

The case $\varepsilon > 0$ is completely analogous, using Eqs. (5.3). \square

DEFINITION 5.4. — *Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates satisfying Eqs. (5.4) are called pre-normalized.*

5.2. Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates and translations

Consider the numbers:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_0(\varepsilon) &= \frac{2\pi i}{\mu_0(\varepsilon)} = \frac{2\pi i}{\varepsilon} \\ \alpha_\infty(\varepsilon) &= \frac{2\pi i}{\mu_\pm(\varepsilon)} = -\frac{\pi i(1 - sA(\varepsilon)\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon},\end{aligned}\tag{5.10}$$

where $\mu_0(\varepsilon) = \log \mathcal{P}'_\varepsilon(0) = \varepsilon$, and $\mu_\pm(\varepsilon) = \log \mathcal{P}'_\varepsilon(x_\pm) = -2\varepsilon/(1 - sA(\varepsilon)\varepsilon)$ are the eigenvalues of Eq. (2.6) at the singular points $x_0 = 0$ and $x_\pm = \pm\sqrt{-s\varepsilon}$, respectively. As usual, we will only describe the case $s = +1$. In the case $s = -1$, each picture in the Figure 3 must be rotated by 90° degrees in the clockwise direction and, moreover, the family $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon^{-1}$ is of the form (2.3).

DEFINITION 5.5. — *The Glutsyuk normalization domains are*

$$U_{\varepsilon,\pm}^{0,\infty} = p_\varepsilon(Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}).$$

LEMMA 5.6. — *The quotients $U_\varepsilon^0/\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$ and $U_\varepsilon^\infty/\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$ are conformally equivalent to complex tori \mathbf{T}_ε^0 and $\mathbf{T}_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty$, of modulus $\alpha_0(\varepsilon)$ and $\alpha_\infty(\varepsilon)$, respectively.*

Nota. Abel's Theorem (cf. [6], Chapter IV-§11, specifically Corollary 2, p. 264) implies that any torus is a quotient of the form \mathbb{C}/L , where L is the lattice of all transformations $x \mapsto x + m + \tau n$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$. The modulus of a torus is defined for real ε as the unique number τ with positive imaginary part (belonging to the fundamental domain in \mathbb{H}). We extend its definition analytically for non real values of ε .

Proof. — Indeed take, for instance, the fixed point $x_+ = \sqrt{-\varepsilon}$. Since we are in the Glutsyuk point of view of the dynamics, on U_ε^∞ the map \mathcal{P}_ε admits x_+ as a hyperbolic point. Consider any loop γ around x_+ and consider its image $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon(\gamma)$ as well. The region J of the complex plane between these two curves is a fundamental domain (*i.e.* a domain where each orbit of \mathcal{P}_ε is represented by at most one point) for the dynamics around x_+ . It is easily seen that

$$U_\varepsilon^\infty/\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon \simeq J/\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$$

(they are conformally equivalent). Moreover, we can change J by any iterate $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon^{\text{on}}(J)$ in the quotient, and the resulting space remains the same. By the

Poincaré Theorem, the map \mathcal{P}_ε is linearizable around x_+ . As $n \rightarrow \pm\infty$, (the signs \pm depending on the sign of ε) the modulus of the quotient complex torus $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon^{\circ n}(J)/\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$ converges towards the modulus of the torus $\mathbb{C}^*/\mathcal{L}_{\mu_+(\varepsilon)}$ which is given by $\alpha_\infty = 2i\pi/\mu_+(\varepsilon)$. The proofs for x_- and $x = 0$ are analogous. \square

PROPOSITION 5.7. — *For all $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,lr}$, it is possible to choose pre-normalized admissible Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates $\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty} : Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfying (in addition to Eqs. (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4)) the relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^0 \circ T_\alpha &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}} \circ \Phi_{\mp,\varepsilon}^0, \\ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty \circ T_\alpha &= \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_\infty} \circ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

In particular, they have the same limit at $\varepsilon = 0$.

Proof. — Consider the translation T_α and a Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinate $\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty : Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. By (4.9):

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty \circ T_\alpha \circ \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon &= \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty \circ \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \circ T_\alpha \\ &= \mathcal{T}_1 \circ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty \circ T_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty \circ T_\alpha$ is a Fatou coordinate. By Lemma 4.4, the latter preserves the translation domains $Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty$ and then, $\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty \circ T_\alpha$ and $\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty$ are defined on the same translation domain. As in the proof of Theorem 5.1, there exist constants $C_{\pm,\varepsilon}$ such that:

$$\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty \circ T_\alpha = \mathcal{T}_{C_{\pm,\varepsilon}} \circ \Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty. \tag{5.12}$$

Thus, the Fatou coordinate conjugates the pair of commuting diffeomorphisms $\{\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon, T_\alpha\}$ with the pair of translations $\{\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_{C_{\pm,\varepsilon}}\}$. Moreover, the Fatou Glutsyuk coordinate induces a holomorphic diffeomorphism:

$$Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty / \{\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon, T_\alpha\} \cong \mathbb{C} / \{\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_{C_{\pm,\varepsilon}}\}$$

between complex surfaces. The latter is, of course, the canonical torus $\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{C} \times C_{\pm,\varepsilon}\mathbb{C})$. Notice that the quotient $Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty/T_\alpha$ coincides with the neighborhood U_ε^∞ with coordinate x , where the map \mathcal{P}_ε is induced by \mathbf{P}_ε . Hence, the quotient $Q_{\pm,\varepsilon}^\infty/\{\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon, T_\alpha\}$ is conformally equivalent to $U_\pm^\infty/\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$. On the other hand, the translation T_α has been formerly defined in a neighborhood of the points P^\pm so that positive orientation (*i.e.* counter-clockwise direction around x_\pm in the \mathbb{C} plane) of the translation $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_\infty}$ coincides with positive orientation of T_α , (*i.e.* the $k\alpha$ direction along P^\pm , with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, see Eq. (4.7)). By Eq. (5.12) and Lemma 5.6 for real ε , the modulus of the torus $\mathbb{C}/\{\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_{C_\varepsilon}\}$ must be equal to α_∞ . This will imply that the constants

$C_{+, \varepsilon} = C_{-, \varepsilon} = \alpha_\infty$ on $Q_{\pm, \varepsilon}^\infty$, provided we show that $C_{\pm, \varepsilon}$ are pure imaginary. In this case we will have

$$\Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^\infty \circ T_\alpha = \mathcal{T}_{\alpha_\infty} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^\infty. \quad (5.13)$$

By analytic extension we also have $C_{\pm, \varepsilon} = \alpha_\infty$ for any $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta, lr}$. So let us show that $C_{\pm, \varepsilon}$ are pure imaginary. For $\varepsilon < 0$ we have, on the one hand

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^\infty \circ T_\alpha \circ \mathcal{C} &= \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{T}_{C_{\pm, \varepsilon}} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^\infty \circ \mathcal{C} \\ &= \overline{\mathcal{T}_{C_{\pm, \varepsilon}}} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^\infty \circ \mathcal{C} \\ &= \overline{\mathcal{T}_{C_{\pm, \varepsilon}}} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^\infty. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^\infty \circ T_\alpha \circ \mathcal{C} &= \mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^\infty \circ \mathcal{C} \circ T_{\mathfrak{l}(\alpha)} \\ &= \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^\infty \circ T_{\mathfrak{l}(\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^\infty \circ T_\alpha = \overline{\mathcal{T}_{C_{\pm, \varepsilon}}} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^\infty$$

since $T_{\mathfrak{l}(\alpha)} = T_\alpha^{-1}$. Hence $C_{\pm, \varepsilon} = -\overline{C_{\pm, \varepsilon}}$ and then $C_{\pm, \varepsilon} \in i\mathbb{R}$.

The case $\varepsilon > 0$ requires an additional step using (5.4). Reproducing the steps above yields $C_{\mp, \varepsilon} = -\overline{C_{\pm, \varepsilon}}$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^\infty \circ T_\alpha &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ \Phi_{\mp, \varepsilon}^\infty \circ \mathbf{Q} \circ T_\alpha \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ \Phi_{\mp, \varepsilon}^\infty \circ T_\alpha \circ \mathbf{Q} \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{1}{2} + C_{\mp, \varepsilon}} \circ \Phi_{\mp, \varepsilon}^\infty \circ \mathbf{Q} \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{C_{\mp, \varepsilon}} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^\infty, \end{aligned}$$

thus giving $C_{+, \varepsilon} = C_{-, \varepsilon} \in i\mathbb{R}$.

The behavior of the Fatou coordinate $\Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0 : Q_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with respect the translation T_α is more involved. Indeed, by Lemma 4.4, T_α sends the translation domains $Q_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0$ into $Q_{\mp, \varepsilon}^0$ and then, reasoning as above, $\Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0 \circ T_\alpha$ and $\Phi_{\mp, \varepsilon}^0$ are two Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates defined on the same translation domain. The proof of Theorem 5.1 shows that there exist two constants $C_\varepsilon^1, C_\varepsilon^2$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{+, \varepsilon}^0 \circ T_\alpha &= \mathcal{T}_{C_\varepsilon^1} \circ \Phi_{-, \varepsilon}^0 \\ \Phi_{-, \varepsilon}^0 \circ T_\alpha &= \mathcal{T}_{C_\varepsilon^2} \circ \Phi_{+, \varepsilon}^0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

thus yielding:

$$\Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0 \circ T_{2\alpha} = \mathcal{T}_{C_\varepsilon^1 + C_\varepsilon^2} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0. \quad (5.15)$$

The quotients $Q_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0 / \{\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon, T_{2\alpha}\}$ are conformally equivalent to $U_\varepsilon^0 / \mathcal{P}_\varepsilon$, *i.e.* to a complex torus of modulus α_0 . Moreover, in the W (Fatou) coordinate, positive orientation (*i.e.* the counter-clockwise direction around x_0) of the translation \mathcal{T}_{α_0} corresponds to negative orientation (*i.e.* the $T_{-k\alpha}$ direction along P^0 , with $k \in \mathbb{N}$) of $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_\infty}$. Since the positive orientation of the translation $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha_\infty}$ coincides with that of T_α , we get $\Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0 \circ T_{2\alpha} = \mathcal{T}_{C_\varepsilon^1 + C_\varepsilon^2} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0$. We will have that $C_\varepsilon^1 + C_\varepsilon^2 = -\alpha_0$ provided we show that $C_\varepsilon^1 + C_\varepsilon^2 \in i\mathbb{R}$. This is done as before by proving that $C_\varepsilon^1 + C_\varepsilon^2 = -(C_\varepsilon^1 + C_\varepsilon^2)$. Indeed, let us write the details in the case $\varepsilon < 0$. On the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0 \circ T_{2\alpha} \circ \mathbb{C} &= \mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0 \circ \mathbb{C} \circ T_{2\mathbb{L}(\alpha)} \\ &= \Phi_{\mp, \varepsilon}^0 \circ T_{2\mathbb{L}(\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0 \circ T_{2\alpha} \circ \mathbb{C} &= \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{T}_{C_\varepsilon^1 + C_\varepsilon^2} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0 \circ \mathbb{C} \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{C_\varepsilon^1 + C_\varepsilon^2} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0 \circ \mathbb{C} \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{C_\varepsilon^1 + C_\varepsilon^2} \circ \Phi_{\mp, \varepsilon}^0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0 \circ T_{2\alpha} = \mathcal{T}_{-(C_\varepsilon^1 + C_\varepsilon^2)} \circ \Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon}^0$, and the conclusion follows. The case $\varepsilon > 0$ is similar.

Let us now show that $C_\varepsilon^1 = C_\varepsilon^2$. Since the Fatou coordinates Φ_{\pm}^0 are pre-normalized, using Eq. (5.4) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{+, \varepsilon}^0 \circ T_\alpha &= (\mathcal{T}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ \Phi_{-, \varepsilon}^0 \circ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon) \circ T_\alpha \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ (\mathcal{T}_{C_\varepsilon^2} \circ \Phi_{+, \varepsilon}^0 \circ T_{-\alpha}) \circ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon \circ T_\alpha \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{C_\varepsilon^2} \circ \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ \Phi_{+, \varepsilon}^0 \circ \mathbf{Q}_\varepsilon \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{C_\varepsilon^2} \circ \Phi_{-, \varepsilon}^0. \end{aligned}$$

The first equation in (5.14) yields $C_\varepsilon^1 = C_\varepsilon^2 = -\alpha_0/2$. \square

Grosso modo, Eqs. (5.11) say that, in order to make a full turn around the origin in x coordinate, it is necessary to iterate twice the translation around the origin in the unwrapping coordinate. On the contrary, an iteration of the translation around infinity in the Z coordinate yields a full turn around x_\pm .

6. Real and Symmetric Glutsyuk invariants

Fix four pre-normalized Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates $\Phi_{\pm, \varepsilon, lr}^{0, \infty}$ on the leaves of \mathcal{R}_ε , whose base points depend analytically on the parameter, see Figure 11 and define:

a) For $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{++} &= \Phi_{+,\varepsilon,l}^0 \circ (\Phi_{+,\varepsilon,l}^\infty)^{\circ-1}, \\
 \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{+-} &= \Phi_{-,\varepsilon,l}^0 \circ (\Phi_{+,\varepsilon,l}^\infty)^{\circ-1}, \\
 \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{-+} &= \Phi_{+,\varepsilon,l}^0 \circ (\Phi_{-,\varepsilon,l}^\infty)^{\circ-1}, \\
 \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{--} &= \Phi_{-,\varepsilon,l}^0 \circ (\Phi_{-,\varepsilon,l}^\infty)^{\circ-1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

b) For $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,r}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{++} &= \Phi_{+,\varepsilon,r}^\infty \circ (\Phi_{+,\varepsilon,r}^0)^{\circ-1}, \\
 \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{+-} &= \Phi_{-,\varepsilon,r}^\infty \circ (\Phi_{+,\varepsilon,r}^0)^{\circ-1}, \\
 \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{-+} &= \Phi_{+,\varepsilon,r}^\infty \circ (\Phi_{-,\varepsilon,r}^0)^{\circ-1}, \\
 \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{--} &= \Phi_{-,\varepsilon,r}^\infty \circ (\Phi_{-,\varepsilon,r}^0)^{\circ-1}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

In either case, this collection will be noted Ψ_ε^G . By periodicity, it suffices to describe the dynamics in a neighborhood of the principal hole.

DEFINITION 6.1. — *The Glutsyuk invariant is the family of equivalence classes of Ψ_ε^G*

- with respect to left composition with translations $\mathcal{T}_{C_l(\varepsilon)}$ and right composition with translations $\mathcal{T}_{C_r(\varepsilon)}$, where the constants $C_l(\varepsilon)$ and $C_r(\varepsilon)$ are real for real ε and depend holomorphically on the parameter over $V_{\delta,l} \cup V_{\delta,r}$, with a continuous limit at $\varepsilon = 0$;
- with respect to the \mathbb{Z}_2 action corresponding to the change $x \mapsto -x$ in the prepared family \mathcal{P}_ε given in Eq. (2.5). This action yields

$$(\Psi_\varepsilon^{++}, \Psi_\varepsilon^{+-}, \Psi_\varepsilon^{-+}, \Psi_\varepsilon^{--}) \equiv (\Psi_\varepsilon^{--}, \Psi_\varepsilon^{-+}, \Psi_\varepsilon^{+-}, \Psi_\varepsilon^{++}).$$

Since $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon^{\circ 2}$, it is possible to reduce the four components of the Glutsyuk invariant to two independent ones.

LEMMA 6.2. — *By appropriately choosing Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates, it is possible in turn to choose components $\Psi_\varepsilon^{\pm,\pm}$ of a representative of the Glutsyuk invariant Ψ_ε^G related through:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Psi_\varepsilon^{++} &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ \Psi_\varepsilon^{--} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
 \Psi_\varepsilon^{-+} &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ \Psi_\varepsilon^{+-} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{2}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

for every $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l,r}$.

Proof. — It suffices to take pre-normalized Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates, so that Eqs. (6.3) are satisfied by definition. \square

When the Glutsyuk invariant is defined using Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates, we get a natural property of symmetry under the Schwarz reflection, respecting the real pre-normalization of the Glutsyuk coordinates.

THEOREM 6.3. — *There exists a representative $\Psi_\varepsilon^G = (\Psi_\varepsilon^{\pm\pm})$ of the Glutsyuk modulus associated with the family of diffeomorphisms \mathcal{P}_ε satisfying, in addition to Eqs. (6.3), the identities:*

- If $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{++} &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{+-} \circ \mathcal{T}_{-\alpha_\infty}, \\ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{--} &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{-+} \circ \mathcal{T}_{-\alpha_\infty}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.4}$$

- If $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,r}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{++} &= \mathcal{T}_{-\alpha_\infty} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{-+} \circ \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}}, \\ \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{--} &= \mathcal{T}_{-\alpha_\infty} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{+-} \circ \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.5}$$

- Moreover, for every $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,lr}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_\varepsilon^{++} &= \mathcal{C} \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)}^{+-} \circ \mathcal{C}, \\ \Psi_\varepsilon^{--} &= \mathcal{C} \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon)}^{-+} \circ \mathcal{C}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.6}$$

Such a representative can be constructed so as to have a limit at $\varepsilon = 0$, which is the *Ecalé* modulus.

Proof. — It suffices to take pre-normalized Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates depending analytically on the parameter with continuous limit at $\varepsilon = 0$, (this is the same limit for the two cases $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l}$ and $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,r}$). Then Eqs. (6.4) and (6.5) are immediate consequences of Eqs. (6.1), (6.2) and Proposition 5.7. On the other hand, Eqs. (6.6) come after Theorem 5.1 and the idempotency (4.14) on the conjugation in the Z coordinate, when the parameter is real. Since the dependence of the modulus is analytic in the parameter, the equality extends to values $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,lr}$. Notice that the symmetry axis still exists in the limit $\varepsilon = 0$, and the invariance exists in the limit as well. \square

6.1. Normalization of Real Fatou coordinates

The domain of Ψ_ε^G contains a union of four horizontal strips $S_\varepsilon^{\pm\pm}$ located right above (resp. below) the principal hole B_ε . As Ψ_ε^G satisfies $\Psi_\varepsilon^G(W+1) = \Psi_\varepsilon^G(W) + 1$ we can expand the difference $\Psi_\varepsilon^G - id$ in Fourier series on $S_\varepsilon^{\pm\pm}$:

$$\begin{aligned}(\Psi_\varepsilon^{++}(W) - W)|_{S_\varepsilon^{++}} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n^{++}(\varepsilon) \exp(2n\pi iW), \\ (\Psi_\varepsilon^{+-}(W) - W)|_{S_\varepsilon^{+-}} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n^{+-}(\varepsilon) \exp(2n\pi iW), \\ (\Psi_\varepsilon^{-+}(W) - W)|_{S_\varepsilon^{-+}} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n^{-+}(\varepsilon) \exp(2n\pi iW), \\ (\Psi_\varepsilon^{--}(W) - W)|_{S_\varepsilon^{--}} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n^{--}(\varepsilon) \exp(2n\pi iW).\end{aligned}\tag{6.7}$$

Then, using Eq. (6.4) in the case $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l}$ we deduce:

$$\begin{cases} c_0^{++}(\varepsilon) - c_0^{+-}(\varepsilon) = c_0^{--}(\varepsilon) - c_0^{-+}(\varepsilon) = -i\pi sA(\varepsilon), \\ c_n^{++}(\varepsilon) = c_n^{+-}(\varepsilon) \exp(-2n\pi i\alpha_\infty), \text{ for } n \neq 0, \\ c_n^{--}(\varepsilon) = c_n^{-+}(\varepsilon) \exp(-2n\pi i\alpha_\infty), \text{ for } n \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and using Eq. (6.5) in the case $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,r}$ we get:

$$\begin{cases} c_0^{++}(\varepsilon) - c_0^{+-}(\varepsilon) = c_0^{--}(\varepsilon) - c_0^{-+}(\varepsilon) = -i\pi sA(\varepsilon), \\ c_n^{++}(\varepsilon) = c_n^{+-}(\varepsilon) \exp(-n\pi i\alpha_0), \text{ for } n \neq 0, \\ c_n^{--}(\varepsilon) = c_n^{-+}(\varepsilon) \exp(-n\pi i\alpha_0), \text{ for } n \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

COROLLARY 6.4. — *The differences $c_0^{++}(\varepsilon) - c_0^{+-}(\varepsilon)$ and $c_0^{--}(\varepsilon) - c_0^{-+}(\varepsilon)$ when $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l}$ (resp. $c_0^{++}(\varepsilon) - c_0^{+-}(\varepsilon)$ and $c_0^{--}(\varepsilon) - c_0^{-+}(\varepsilon)$ when $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,r}$) are analytic invariants of the system. Moreover, if the Glutsyuk modulus is prescribed on $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,lr}$, then the formal parameter $A(\varepsilon)$ is known for values of the parameter in $V_{\delta,lr}$.*

LEMMA 6.5. — *It is possible to choose pre-normalized representatives of admissible Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates so that $c_0^{++}, c_0^{+-}, c_0^{--}, c_0^{-+} \in i\mathbb{R}$ if $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. Such a collection of Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates is unique up to left composition with a translation T_C for $C \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. — This comes from (6.3) and the fact that $A(\varepsilon)$ is real for real ε , yielding that a correction can be set by composition of Fatou coordinates with real translations. More specifically let, for instance, $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l}$ and set

$$r^+(\varepsilon) = -\frac{c_0^{++}(\varepsilon) + c_0^{+-}(\varepsilon)}{2}, \quad r^-(\varepsilon) = -\frac{c_0^{--}(\varepsilon) + c_0^{-+}(\varepsilon)}{2}.$$

Eqs. (6.6) and the expansion (6.7) guarantee that $r^\pm(\varepsilon) = \overline{r^\pm(\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon))}$, i.e. these quantities are real on real ε . We choose to scale the modulus via left (real) translations:

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{++} \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{r^+(\varepsilon)} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{++} \\ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{+-} \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{r^+(\varepsilon)} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{+-} \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{--} \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{r^-(\varepsilon)} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{--} \\ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{-+} \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{r^-(\varepsilon)} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{-+} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore, the choice of a translation \mathcal{T}_C with real C such that the c_0 's are imaginary on $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ admits an analytic extension to all of $V_{\delta,l}$. The case $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,r}$ is completely analogous. \square

DEFINITION 6.6. — *A pre-normalized set of admissible Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates satisfying the conclusion of Lemma 6.5 is called normalized.*

6.2. Real Glutsyuk invariant: First Presentation

DEFINITION 6.7. — *The equivalence class of a representative Ψ_ε^G of the Glutsyuk invariant chosen as in Lemma 6.2 and Theorem 6.3 with normalized admissible Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates for values $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,lr}$ will be called the Real Glutsyuk modulus.*

COROLLARY 6.8. — *For every $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,lr}$, a representative of the Real Glutsyuk modulus is completely determined by one of the maps $\Psi_\varepsilon^{\pm\pm}$.*

In this first presentation, the symmetry (conjugation \mathcal{C} in the time Z coordinate) is taken with respect to the symmetry axis $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$. Since the Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates send the symmetry axis $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}$ into \mathbb{R} , the real line is invariant under the Real Glutsyuk invariant when the parameter is real. This means that in the x -coordinate the symmetry has been taken with respect to the real segment $I_+ \cup I_-$ joining the singular points x_\pm with the boundary of U , see Figure 13. Moreover, in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the segment $I_+ \cup I_-$ tends to $\mathbb{R} \cap U$. Thus, in the Fatou coordinate, the conjugation \mathcal{C} is still defined when $\varepsilon = 0$ and the Ecalle invariant inherits the symmetry (6.6).




Figure 13. — The symmetry in the First Presentation.

The Ecalle modulus. Since $\alpha(\varepsilon) = -\frac{\pi i}{\varepsilon}$, the distance between two consecutive holes becomes infinite in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, and then each diffeomorphism $\Psi_\varepsilon^{\pm\pm}$, for $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,lr}$, gives rise to a component of the Ecalle invariant, with preimage in a region around the principal hole. Notice that Eqs. (6.3) and (5.2) or (5.3) remain valid during the limit process, so in the limit there is only one independent component. Figure 14 shows the domains around the principal hole (connected strips) on the surface \mathcal{R}_ε whose image by the Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates and subsequent quotient by the translation \mathcal{T}_1 , correspond to annuli-like domains for the different components of the Glutsyuk invariant. However, we can choose the representative

of the Real Glutsyuk modulus so as to give rise to the same invariant in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, no matter whether $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l}$ or $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,r}$.

PROPOSITION 6.9. — *The Ecalle modulus can be deduced from the Real Glutsyuk invariant. It is given by:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1^\infty &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{++}, & \Psi_1^0 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Psi_{\varepsilon,l,r}^{+-}, \\ \Psi_2^\infty &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Psi_{\varepsilon,l,r}^{--}, & \Psi_2^0 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \Psi_{\varepsilon,l,r}^{-+}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

see Figure 14. Moreover, its components may be chosen conjugate as well:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1^\infty &= \mathcal{C} \circ \Psi_1^0 \circ \mathcal{C} \\ \Psi_2^\infty &= \mathcal{C} \circ \Psi_2^0 \circ \mathcal{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.9}$$

and, in addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1^\infty &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ \Psi_2^\infty \circ \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \Psi_1^0 &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ \Psi_2^0 \circ \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

Proof. — Each component of the modulus at $\varepsilon = 0$ is the limit of two representatives in the two cases $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l}$ and $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,r}$. More specifically, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1^\infty &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^l} \Phi_{+,\varepsilon,l}^0 \circ (\Phi_{+,\varepsilon,l}^\infty)^{\circ-1} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^r} \Phi_{+,\varepsilon,r}^\infty \circ (\Phi_{+,\varepsilon,r}^0)^{\circ-1}, \\ \Psi_1^0 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^l} \Phi_{-,\varepsilon,l}^0 \circ (\Phi_{+,\varepsilon,l}^\infty)^{\circ-1} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^r} \Phi_{-,\varepsilon,r}^\infty \circ (\Phi_{+,\varepsilon,r}^0)^{\circ-1}, \\ \Psi_2^\infty &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^l} \Phi_{-,\varepsilon,l}^0 \circ (\Phi_{-,\varepsilon,l}^\infty)^{\circ-1} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^r} \Phi_{-,\varepsilon,r}^\infty \circ (\Phi_{-,\varepsilon,r}^0)^{\circ-1} \\ \Psi_2^0 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^l} \Phi_{+,\varepsilon,l}^0 \circ (\Phi_{-,\varepsilon,l}^\infty)^{\circ-1} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^r} \Phi_{+,\varepsilon,r}^\infty \circ (\Phi_{-,\varepsilon,r}^0)^{\circ-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

where $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^l$ (resp. $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^r$) means $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l}$ (resp. $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,r}$). The symmetries on the Ecalle modulus follow from Theorem 6.3. \square




Figure 14. — The Glutsyuk invariant in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

6.3. Symmetric Glutsyuk invariant: Second Presentation

When we use Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates and allow a subsequent imaginary translation on them, we break the symmetries (6.6). However, if the translations are well chosen, we get a different form of symmetry corresponding to a symmetry in the x -coordinate under the Schwarz reflection with respect to the line segment joining the points x_{\pm} . This presentation is also very interesting and deserves a detailed discussion.

THEOREM 6.10. — *There exists a representative $\Psi_{\varepsilon}^G = (\Psi_{\varepsilon}^{\pm\pm})$ of the Glutsyuk modulus satisfying Eqs. (6.3), (6.4) and (6.5), that carries the real character of the family of vector fields as follows. Let $\# \in \{++, +-, -+, --\}$ be a shortcut for the superscripts.*

- If $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l} \setminus \{0\}$ then:

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{\#} = \mathcal{C} \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon),l}^{\#} \circ \mathcal{C}, \quad (6.12)$$

i.e. the representative is “symmetric” with respect to the image of the line \Re_{\pm}^s .

- If $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,r} \setminus \{0\}$ then:

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{\#} = \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \Psi_{\mathcal{C}(\varepsilon),r}^{\#} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (6.13)$$

i.e. the representative is “symmetric” with respect to the image of the line \Im_{\pm}^s .

Proof. — We start taking admissible Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates $\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}$. By analytic dependence of the Glutsyuk coordinates in $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l,r} \setminus \{0\}$, it suffices to show the theorem for real values of the parameter.

- *The case $\varepsilon < 0$.* The induced Real Glutsyuk invariant already verifies Eqs. (6.6); hence, we must show that a correction is possible so that Eq. (6.12) be satisfied. Theorem 6.3 yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{++} &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{+-} \circ \mathcal{T}_{-\alpha_{\infty}} \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{++} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{T}_{-\alpha_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the translations $\mathcal{T}_{d(\varepsilon)}, \mathcal{T}_{d'(\varepsilon)}$, where the constants $d(\varepsilon), d'(\varepsilon)$ are to be chosen later. Replacing $\Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{++} \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{d(\varepsilon)} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{++} \circ \mathcal{T}_{d'(\varepsilon)}$ in the equation above, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{d(\varepsilon)} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{++} \circ \mathcal{T}_{d'(\varepsilon)} &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{T}_{d(\varepsilon)} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{++} \circ \mathcal{T}_{d'(\varepsilon)} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{T}_{-\alpha_{\infty}} \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2} + d(\varepsilon)} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{++} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{T}_{-\alpha_{\infty} + d'(\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $d(\varepsilon) = -\alpha_0/4 = -i\pi/2\varepsilon$ and $d'(\varepsilon) = -\alpha_\infty/2 = i\pi(1 - A(\varepsilon)\varepsilon)/2\varepsilon$ (where $A(\varepsilon)$ is the real formal invariant), then we get

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{++} = \mathcal{C} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^{++} \circ \mathcal{C}.$$

The same procedure shows $\Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^\# = \mathcal{C} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,l}^\# \circ \mathcal{C}$, for $\# \in \{+-, -+, --\}$.

- *The case $\varepsilon > 0$.* From Eqs. (6.5) and (6.6):

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{++} &= \mathcal{T}_{-\alpha_\infty} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{-+} \circ \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}} \\ &= \mathcal{T}_{-\alpha_\infty} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{--} \circ \mathcal{C} \circ \mathcal{T}_{-\frac{\alpha_0}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The procedure explained above shows that the corrections $\Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{++} \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{d(\varepsilon)} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{++} \circ \mathcal{T}_{d'(\varepsilon)}$, $\Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{--} \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{d(\varepsilon)} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{--} \circ \mathcal{T}_{d'(\varepsilon)}$, $\Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{+-} \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{\overline{d(\varepsilon)}} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{+-} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\overline{d'(\varepsilon)}}$ and $\Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{-+} \mapsto \mathcal{T}_{\overline{d(\varepsilon)}} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{-+} \circ \mathcal{T}_{\overline{d'(\varepsilon)}}$, for $d(\varepsilon) = \alpha_\infty/2 = -i\pi(1 - A(\varepsilon)\varepsilon)/2\varepsilon$ and $d'(\varepsilon) = \alpha_0/4 = i\pi/2\varepsilon$ yield

$$\Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{++} = \mathcal{C} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{--} \circ \mathcal{C}, \quad \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{-+} = \mathcal{C} \circ \Psi_{\varepsilon,r}^{+-} \circ \mathcal{C}. \quad (6.14)$$

Then Eqs. (6.14) and Lemma 6.2 lead to (6.13).

Notice that in both cases, the new renormalized representative still respects Eqs. (6.3), (6.4) and (6.5). \square

The composition with translations $\mathcal{T}_{d(\varepsilon)}, \mathcal{T}_{d'(\varepsilon)}$ in the proof above has destroyed the real normalization of the Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates $\Phi_{\pm,\varepsilon}^{0,\infty}$, and also the continuity at $\varepsilon = 0$. However, this non-real normalization is very interesting, even if it does not pass to the limit when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Indeed, in the Z coordinate the imaginary translations $\mathcal{T}_{d(\varepsilon)}, \mathcal{T}_{d'(\varepsilon)}$ have displaced the symmetry axis to the line \Re_{\pm}^s if $\varepsilon < 0$, and to the line \Im_{\pm}^s if $\varepsilon > 0$, right above the principal hole, thus breaking the real normalization of the Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates, see Figure 6. The three real cases deserve explanation.




Figure 15. — The symmetry when the parameter is negative.

The parameter is (real) negative. The normalization reflects the natural symmetry of the invariant with respect to the image (by p_ε^{-1}) of the real segment joining x_+ to x_- in the x -coordinate, see Figure 15. Inasmuch as the symmetry is taken with respect to a “real” line in the Fatou coordinate, the invariant still carries the real character of the foliation, as can be seen from formula (6.12).

The parameter is (real) positive. The result obtained is surprising. Indeed it yields that the modulus is symmetric with respect to a line in W -space that comes (by p_ε^{-1}) from the imaginary segment I joining the singular points x_0, x_\pm , see Figure 16, where the symmetry is noted $Z \mapsto Z^*$ in the Z -coordinate. On the other hand we know that I is not invariant under \mathcal{P}_ε for a generic family \mathcal{P}_ε . But the modulus does not describe the behavior of \mathcal{P}_ε in x -coordinate but in orbit-coordinate. This imaginary symmetry can be explained by:

- the real symmetry carried by the former Real Fatou Glustyuk coordinates, so that the components of Ψ^G are 2-by-2 symmetric images one of another (this is Eq. (6.14));
- the fact that the Poincaré monodromy of the family is a square: $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{Q}_\varepsilon \circ 2$. In the x -plane this can be viewed as a sort of “symmetry with respect to the origin”. Composition of this symmetry and the symmetry with respect to the real axis yields a “symmetry” with respect to the imaginary axis.

The parameter is null. As the lines $\mathfrak{R}_\pm^s, \mathfrak{S}_\pm^s$ no longer exist when $\varepsilon = 0$, this presentation does not pass to the limit when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The Ecalle modulus cannot be deduced from this presentation. Indeed, the real (resp. imaginary) segment in the x -coordinate joining the fixed points disappears when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^-$ (resp. $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$).




Figure 16. — The symmetry when the parameter is positive.

DEFINITION 6.11 *Any representative Ψ_ε^G of the Glutsyuk invariant chosen as in Theorem 6.10 will be called Symmetric Glutsyuk modulus.*

COROLLARY 6.12 *A representative of the Symmetric Glutsyuk modulus is completely determined by one of its components $\Psi_\varepsilon^{\pm\pm}$.*

7. Invariants under weak conjugacy

DEFINITION 7.1. — *Two germs $\{\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon_1}\}_{\varepsilon_1 \in V_{\delta,l,r}}$, $\{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\varepsilon_2}\}_{\varepsilon_2 \in V_{\delta,l,r}}$ of analytic families of diffeomorphisms are “weakly conjugate” as real families if there exists a germ of bijective map $\mathcal{H}(\varepsilon_1, x) = (\mathbf{k}(\varepsilon_1), \mathbf{h}(\varepsilon_1, x))$ fibered over the parameter space, where:*

- i) $\mathbf{k} : \varepsilon_1 \rightarrow \varepsilon_2 = \mathbf{k}(\varepsilon_1)$ is a germ of real analytic diffeomorphism preserving the origin.
- ii) *There exists $\rho > 0$ and $r > 0$, such that for each $\varepsilon_1 \in V_{\delta,l}(\rho) \cup V_{\delta,r}(\rho)$, there is a representative $\mathbf{h}_{\varepsilon_1}(x) = \mathbf{h}(\varepsilon_1, x)$ of the germ depending analytically on $x \in \mathbb{D}_r$ and real for real ε_1, x such that $\mathbf{h}_{\varepsilon_1}$ conjugates $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon_1}, \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{k}(\varepsilon_1)} : \mathbf{h}_{\varepsilon_1} \circ \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon_1} = \widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathbf{k}(\varepsilon_1)} \circ \mathbf{h}_{\varepsilon_1}$. The representative $\mathbf{h}_{\varepsilon_1}$ depends analytically on $\varepsilon_1 \neq 0$ and is continuous at $\varepsilon_1 = 0$.*

THEOREM 7.2. — *Two families $\{\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon_1}\}_{\varepsilon_1 \in V_{\delta,l,r}}$ and $\{\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{\varepsilon_2}\}_{\varepsilon_2 \in V_{\delta,l,r}}$ (with the same sign s before the cubic coefficient) are weakly conjugate by a real conjugacy that depends analytically on the parameter $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l,r} \setminus \{0\}$ and continuously at $\varepsilon = 0$, if and only if the Glutsyuk moduli of their associated prepared families coincide.*

Proof. — Since two families are conjugate if and only if the associated prepared families are conjugate, it suffices to work with prepared families. The preparation shows that the parameters ε_1 and ε_2 , the canonical parameters of the families, are analytic invariants, thus we can consider the conjugacy over the identity ($\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 := \varepsilon$), and then it suffices to compare the two families for a given $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l,r}$. We can of course suppose that we have equal representatives of the Glutsyuk modulus for the two families. (This means that we may have conjugated one of the two families either with $x \mapsto -x$ or with \mathcal{Q}_ε before proceeding to the construction below). Since the singular points x_0, x_\pm are hyperbolic for values $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,l,r}$ they are linearizable. Hence, there exists in the neighborhood of each fixed point two sectorial diffeomorphisms $\varphi_\varepsilon^{0,\pm} = \Phi_{\varepsilon,\pm}^{0,\infty} \circ p_\varepsilon^{-1}$ and $\widehat{\varphi}_\varepsilon^{0,\pm} = \widehat{\Phi}_{\varepsilon,\pm}^{0,\infty} \circ p_\varepsilon^{-1}$ conjugating, respectively, the Poincaré monodromies \mathcal{P}_ε and $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\varepsilon$ with τ_ε^1 . The diffeomorphisms $\Phi_\varepsilon^{0,\infty}, \widehat{\Phi}_\varepsilon^{0,\infty}$ are Real Fatou Glutsyuk coordinates (see

Definition 5.2). The neighborhoods of the singular points in the x coordinate where the normalization is possible are noted, respectively, U_ε^- , U_ε^0 and U_ε^+ . Let us define:

$$\begin{cases} f_\varepsilon^- = (\widehat{\varphi}_\varepsilon^-)^{-1} \circ \varphi_\varepsilon^- = p_\varepsilon \circ (\widehat{\Phi}_{\varepsilon,-}^\infty)^{-1} \circ \Phi_{\varepsilon,-}^\infty \circ p_\varepsilon^{-1}, \\ f_\varepsilon^0 = (\widehat{\varphi}_\varepsilon^0)^{-1} \circ \varphi_\varepsilon^0 = p_\varepsilon \circ (\widehat{\Phi}_\varepsilon^0)^{-1} \circ \Phi_\varepsilon^0 \circ p_\varepsilon^{-1}, \\ f_\varepsilon^+ = (\widehat{\varphi}_\varepsilon^+)^{-1} \circ \varphi_\varepsilon^+ = p_\varepsilon \circ (\widehat{\Phi}_{\varepsilon,+}^\infty)^{-1} \circ \Phi_{\varepsilon,+}^\infty \circ p_\varepsilon^{-1}. \end{cases}$$

If $\varepsilon \in V_{\delta,lr}$, we have: $f_\varepsilon^0 \equiv f_\varepsilon^-$ on $U_\varepsilon^- \cap U_\varepsilon^0$, and $f_\varepsilon^0 \equiv f_\varepsilon^+$ on $U_\varepsilon^0 \cap U_\varepsilon^+$, yielding that f_ε^\pm and f_ε^0 are analytic extensions of each other, thus defining a uniform map f_ε on U_ε . The conclusion follows. \square

8. Application to the Hopf bifurcation

A germ of one-parameter family of analytic planar vector fields unfolding a weak focus in a neighborhood of the origin, is linearly equivalent to a germ of family of differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= \alpha(\varepsilon)x - \beta(\varepsilon)y + \sum_{j+k \geq 2} b_{jk}(\varepsilon)x^j y^k, \\ \dot{y} &= \beta(\varepsilon)x + \alpha(\varepsilon)y + \sum_{j+k \geq 2} c_{jk}(\varepsilon)x^j y^k, \end{aligned} \tag{8.1}$$

with $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\beta(0) \neq 0$. After rescaling the time ($t \mapsto \beta(\varepsilon)t$) we can suppose $\beta(\varepsilon) \equiv 1$.

DEFINITION 8.1. — *The family (8.1) is called “generic” if $\alpha'(0) \neq 0$. The weak focus is of order one if $L_1(0) \neq 0$, where L_1 is the first Lyapounov constant:*

$$L_1 = 3b_{30} + b_{12} + c_{21} + 3c_{03} + \frac{1}{\beta} [b_{11}(b_{20} + b_{02}) - c_{11}(c_{20} + c_{02}) - 2b_{20}c_{20} + 2b_{02}c_{02}].$$

It is well known that the Poincaré monodromy $\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is analytic and can be extended to an analytic diffeomorphism

$$\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon : (\mathbb{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$$

which is the square of a diffeomorphism \mathcal{Q}_ε exchanging \mathbb{R}^+ with \mathbb{R}^- and such that $\mathcal{Q}'_0(0) = -1$.

THEOREM 8.2. — *Two germs of generic families of real analytic vector fields unfolding a vector field with a weak focus of order one at the origin are (weakly) analytically orbitally equivalent by real equivalence, if and only if the germs of families unfolding the Poincaré monodromies of the germs of vector fields are (weakly) analytically conjugate by a real conjugacy.*

The strong version of this result has been proven in [1],[2]. A simple analysis shows that the proof of Theorem 8.2 in the strong case applies *verbatim* to its weak version. Along with Theorem 7.2, this result yields:

THEOREM 8.3. — *Two germs of generic families of real analytic vector fields unfolding a vector field with a weak focus of order one at the origin are weakly real analytically orbitally equivalent if and only if the germs of families unfolding the Poincaré monodromies of the germs of vector fields have the same sign s and the Glutsyuk modulus of their associated prepared families coincide.*

9. Directions for future research

The present paper opens interesting perspectives which we hope to work on in the future. In particular, let us mention

1. We have described the Glutsyuk modulus on two sectors which do not cover a full neighborhood of the origin. From this modulus, we could recover the Lavaurs modulus which has been studied in the other works on the subject (cf. [16], [10], [14] and [15]). Since the modulus depends analytically on ε , in practice, the Glutsyuk modulus, defined only on a union of two sectors in the parameter space, determines the Lavaurs modulus for parameter values in a full neighborhood of the origin. So we should be able to replace weak equivalence by equivalence in the Theorems 7.2 and 8.3. We hope to address this question in near future. The challenge is of course to show that the equivalence is real. Another interesting question is to determine the dependence of the Glutsyuk modulus on ε at $\varepsilon = 0$, in order to identify the “realizable” moduli.
2. In this paper we have classified the germs of generic analytic families of vector fields undergoing a Hopf bifurcation of order 1, under orbital equivalence. We hope in the future to address the same problem under conjugacy of vector fields. For this purpose, in future work we will decompose each vector field as a vector field with angular velocity equal to 1 (called the orbital part) times a “time part” given by a non vanishing function. For a given orbital part, the time part of the modulus identifies the equivalence classes of time parts. The problem is then reduced to identify the time part of the modulus in the case of the Hopf bifurcation, and also to identify the “realizable” moduli under conjugacy.
3. Isochronous weak foci of vector fields have been studied in the literature (cf. [2],[7],[17]). Since the property of being isochronous depends

only on the conjugacy class of the vector fields, it should be read on the modulus (orbital and time parts) of the weak focus for $\varepsilon = 0$. It is known that there are formal obstructions to isochronicity (cf. [7]) but the analytic obstructions are still unknown.

4. Finally, a natural problem is to generalize to higher codimension (this is done for the saddle-node in [17]). In particular, are there obstructions at the orbital level? Is the triviality of the orbital modulus a necessary condition?

Acknowledgments. — We thank Colin Christopher for helpful discussions. The first author is grateful to Alexander Brudnyi and Department of Mathematics and Statistics of University of Calgary for supporting this work during the period January-June 2011.

Bibliography

- [1] ARRIAGADA-SILVA (W.). — Characterization of the generic unfolding of a weak focus. Preprint J. Diff. Eqs., JDEQ10-246R1, (2010).
- [2] ARRIAGADA-SILVA (W.). — Characterization of the unfolding of a weak focus and modulus of analytic classification. PhD thesis, Université de Montréal, (2010).
- [3] CHRISTOPHER (C.) and ROUSSEAU (C.). — The moduli space of germs of generic families of analytic diffeomorphisms unfolding a parabolic fixed point. Preprint, (2008).
- [4] ECALLE (J.). — Les fonctions résurgentes. Publications mathématiques d'Orsay, (1985).
- [5] FATOU (P.). — Sur les équations fonctionnelles. Bull. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 47, 48: p. 161-271, p. 33-94, p. 208-314, (1919-1920).
- [6] FREITAG (E.). — Complex Analysis 2. Universitext, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, (2011).
- [7] GINÉ (J.) and GRAU (M.). — Characterization of isochronous foci for planar analytic differential systems. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 135: p. 985-998, (2005).
- [8] GLUTSYUK (A.A.). — Congruence of singular points and nonlinear stokes phenomenon. Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., 62: p. 49-95, (2001).
- [9] MATTEI (J.F.) and MOUSSU (R.). — Holonomie et intégrales premières. Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4e série, 13: p. 469-523, (1980).
- [10] MARDEŠIĆ (P.), ROUSSARIE (R.), and ROUSSEAU (C.). — Modulus of analytic classification for unfoldings of generic parabolic diffeomorphisms. Moscow Mathematical Journal, 4: p. 455-498, (2004).
- [11] MARTINET (J.). — Remarques sur la bifurcation noeud-col dans le domaine complexe. Astérisque, 150-151: p. 131-149, (1987).
- [12] MARTINET (J.) and RAMIS (J.P.). — Problèmes de modules pour des équations différentielles non linéaires du premier ordre. Publ. IHES, 55: p. 63-164, (1982).
- [13] MARTINET (J.) and RAMIS (J.P.). — Classification analytique des équations différentielles non linéaires résonnantes du premier ordre. Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4e série, 16: p. 571-621, (1983).

- [14] PÉREZ-MARCO (R.) and YOCOZ (J.-C.). — Germes de feuilletages holomorphes à holonomie prescrite. S.M.F., Astérisque, 222: p. 345-371, (1994).
- [15] ROUSSEAU (C.). — The moduli space of germs of generic families of analytic diffeomorphisms unfolding of a codimension one resonant diffeomorphism or resonant saddle. J. Differential Equations, 248: p. 1794-1825, (2010).
- [16] ROUSSEAU (C.) and CHRISTOPHER (C.). — Modulus of analytical classification for the generic unfolding of a codimension one resonant diffeomorphism or resonant saddle. Annales de l'Institut Fourier, 57: p. 301-360, (2007).
- [17] ROUSSEAU (C.) and TEYSSIER (L.). — Analytical moduli for unfoldings of saddle node vector fields. Moscow Mathematical Journal, 8: p. 547-614, (2008).
- [18] SHISHIKURA (M.). — Bifurcation of parabolic fixed points. "The Mandelbrot set, theme and variations", London Math. Society Lecture Notes, 274: p. 325-363, (2000).
- [19] VORONIN (S. M.). — Analytic classification of germs of conformal maps $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with identical linear part. Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen and Func. Anal. Appl., 15: p. 1-17 (Russian), p. 1-13 (English), (1981).