

# ANNALES DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TOULOUSE Mathématiques

PAUL CHLEBOUN,

ALESSANDRA FAGGIONATO AND FABIO MARTINELLI

*Mixing time and local exponential ergodicity of the East-like process in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$*

Tome XXIV, n° 4 (2015), p. 717-743.

[http://afst.cedram.org/item?id=AFST\\_2015\\_6\\_24\\_4\\_717\\_0](http://afst.cedram.org/item?id=AFST_2015_6_24_4_717_0)

© Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, 2015, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse Mathématiques » (<http://afst.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://afst.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute reproduction en tout ou partie de cet article sous quelque forme que ce soit pour tout usage autre que l'utilisation à fin strictement personnelle du copiste est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

cedram

Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du

Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques

<http://www.cedram.org/>

## Mixing time and local exponential ergodicity of the East-like process in $\mathbb{Z}^d$

P. CHLEBOUN<sup>(1)</sup>, A. FAGGIONATO<sup>(2)</sup>, F. MARTINELLI<sup>(3)</sup>

---

**RÉSUMÉ.** — Le processus East est une chaîne linéaire de spins réversible et bien connue, qui représente le prototype d'une classe générale de systèmes de particules en interaction avec des contraintes modélisant des dynamiques vitreuses réelles. Dans ce papier, nous considérons une généralisation  $d$ -dimensionnelle du processus East et nous obtenons de nouveaux comportements *hors équilibre*. Bien que la convergence à l'équilibre réversible ne puisse pas avoir lieu en norme uniforme, de par la présence de configurations bloquantes, nous prouvons une ergodicité exponentielle locale pour les distributions initiales différentes de la probabilité stationnaire. Nous établissons également la croissance linéaire en la taille de la boîte du temps de mélange dans une boîte finie.

**ABSTRACT.** — The East process, a well known reversible linear chain of spins, represents the prototype of a general class of interacting particle systems with constraints modeling the dynamics of real glasses. In this paper we consider a generalization of the East process living in the  $d$ -dimensional lattice and we establish new progresses on the *out-of-equilibrium* behavior. Despite the fact that convergence to the stationary reversible measure in the uniform norm cannot hold because of the presence of blocked configurations, we prove a form of (local) exponential ergodicity when the initial distribution is different from the stationary one. We also establish that the mixing time in a finite box grows linearly in the side of the box.

---

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Mathematics Institute, Zeeman Building, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL.

p.i.chleboun@warwick.ac.uk

<sup>(2)</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica, Università La Sapienza, P.le Aldo Moro 2, 00185 Roma, Italy.

faggiona@mat.uniroma1.it

<sup>(3)</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Roma Tre, Largo S.L.Murialdo 00146, Roma, Italy.

martin@mat.uniroma3.it

## 1. Introduction

Kinetically constrained spin models (KCMs) are interacting 0-1 particle systems, on general graphs, which evolve with a simple Glauber dynamics described as follows. At every site  $x$  the system tries to update the occupancy variable (or spin) at  $x$  to the value 1 or 0 with probability  $p$  and  $q = 1 - p^1$ , respectively. However the update at  $x$  is accepted only if the *current* local configuration satisfies a certain constraint, hence the models are “kinetically constrained”. It is always assumed that the constraint at site  $x$  does not depend on the spin at  $x$  and therefore the product Bernoulli( $p$ ) probability measure  $\pi$  is the reversible measure. Constraints may require, for example, that a certain *number* of the neighbouring spins are in state 0, or more restrictively, that certain *preassigned* neighbouring spins are in state 0 (e.g. the children of  $x$  when the underlying graph is a rooted tree).

The main interest in the physical literature for KCMs (see e.g. [25, 33] for a review) stems from the fact that they display many key dynamical features of real glassy materials: ergodicity breaking transition at some critical value  $q_c$ , huge relaxation time for  $q$  close to  $q_c$ , dynamic heterogeneity (*i.e.* non-trivial spatio-temporal fluctuations of the local relaxation to equilibrium) and aging, just to mention a few. Mathematically, despite their simple definition, KCMs pose very challenging and interesting problems because of the hardness of the constraints, with ramifications in bootstrap percolation problems [37], combinatorics [14, 38], coalescence processes [18, 19] and random walks on upper triangular matrices [32]. Remarkably, some of the mathematical tools developed for the analysis of the relaxation process of KCMs [7] have proved to be quite powerful also in other contexts such as card shuffling problems [3] and random evolution of surfaces [9].

Among the KCMs, the most studied model is the *East process*, a one-dimensional spin system that was introduced in the physics literature by Jäckle and Eisinger [26] (cf. also [35, 36] and [17] for a recent mathematical review). In this case the base graph is  $\mathbb{Z}$  (or finite connected subsets of it) and the constraint at  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  requires that the vertex  $x - 1$  is empty (*i.e.* its associated spin is 0).

It is the properties of the East process before and towards reaching equilibrium which are of interest, with the standard gauges for the speed of convergence to stationarity given by the relaxation time  $T_{\text{rel}}$  ( $\equiv$  inverse spectral-gap) and the total-variation mixing time  $T_{\text{mix}}$  on a finite interval  $\Lambda = \{0, \dots, L\}$  (cf. e.g. [29]), where we fix  $\eta(0) = 0$  for ergodicity.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> In the physical applications  $q \approx e^{-c\beta}$  at low temperature, where  $\beta$  is the inverse-temperature and  $c$  is a constant.

That  $T_{\text{rel}} = O(1)^2$  in  $L$  for any  $q$  small enough was first proved in [2] and, later on, for all  $q \in (0, 1]$  in [7] by different methods. Subsequently the analysis of the relaxation time in the physical relevant setting  $q \searrow 0$  (corresponding to the low temperature limit) was developed to a high level of precision in [10, 11] where the relevant questions of dynamical heterogeneities and time scale separation have been rigorously settled. The fact that the relaxation time is  $O(1)$  in  $L$  implies, in particular, that  $T_{\text{mix}} \sim L$  (cf. Theorem 5.1). It is then natural to ask whether the finite volume East process exhibits the *cutoff phenomenon* (coined by Aldous and Diaconis [1]; see also [2, 15, 16] and the references therein): over a negligible period of time (the cutoff window) the distance from equilibrium drops from near 1 to near 0. As easily seen, the cutoff problem is strongly linked to the following *front progression* problem for the East process. For a initial configuration  $\eta$  with  $\sup\{x : \eta(x) = 0\} < \infty$ , call this rightmost 0 its *front* and denote by  $X(\eta)$  its position. At any later time the process starting from  $\eta$  will also have a front  $X(\eta_t)$  and the key step to prove cutoff is a detailed analysis of the asymptotic law of  $X(\eta_t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . In [4] a kind of shape theorem was proved: as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  the law of the process behind the front converges to an invariant measure  $\nu$  and  $\frac{1}{t}X(\eta_t) \rightarrow v_\infty$  in probability for a suitable constant  $v_\infty > 0$ . As a consequence  $T_{\text{mix}} \sim L/v_\infty$ . To prove cutoff one has to go beyond the law of large numbers and to control the fluctuations of the front around the mean value  $v_\infty t$ . In [21] it was proved that the latter obey a CLT, and cutoff with the optimal window  $\sim \sqrt{L}$  follows.

In several interesting contributions (cf. [22, 23, 24, 28] and references therein) a natural generalization of the East dynamics to higher dimensions  $d > 1$ , in the sequel referred to as the *East-like* process, appears to play a key role in *realistic* models of glass formers. In  $d = 2$  the East-like process evolves similarly to the East process but now the kinetic constraint requires that the South or West neighbor of the updating vertex contains *at least* one vacancy (*i.e.* a zero spin). In general  $\eta(x)$  can flip if  $\eta(x - e) = 0$  for some  $e$  in the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

In [12, 13] the authors thoroughly analyzed the East-like process with emphasis on its low temperature (small  $q$ ) behavior. Among the main results it was proved that the process on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  is ergodic with a finite relaxation time  $T_{\text{rel}}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  and that, as  $q \searrow 0$ ,  $T_{\text{rel}}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \asymp T_{\text{rel}}(\mathbb{Z})^{1/d}$ , correcting in this way some heuristic conjectures which appeared in the physical literature. In finite volume with ergodic boundary conditions (see Section 2.2 below) the asymptotics of the relaxation time as  $q \searrow 0$  was also computed quite precisely and it was shown to depend very strongly on the choice of the

---

<sup>(2)</sup> We recall that  $f = O(g)$  means that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $|f| \leq C|g|$  and that  $f = \Omega(g) \Leftrightarrow g = O(f)$ .

boundary conditions. Finally the asymptotics as  $q \searrow 0$  of the *persistence times* (see Section 4.3 below) was also established but only up to a spatial scale  $O(1/q^{1/d})$ .

Other natural questions concerning the *out-of-equilibrium* behavior of the East-like process, for example the convergence as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  of the particle density to the equilibrium value  $p$  for a general class of initial laws or the asymptotics as  $L \rightarrow \infty$  of the mixing time  $T_{\text{mix}}$  in a box of side  $L$ , remained open.

A main difficulty posed by the above questions for KCMs is that the presence of blocked configurations (*i.e.* configurations which violate all the constraints) prevent the infinite system to have a finite logarithmic Sobolev constant. In particular the (local) convergence to the reversible measure in the uniform norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  does not hold and the standard strategy (see e.g. [34]) to prove local exponential decay to equilibrium via the hypercontractivity property cannot be used. Thus, answering the above two questions for KCMs (concerning the convergence of the particle density and the mixing time in a finite box) is, in general, a very difficult task and few results are available [5, 6, 8, 21].

In this paper we develop a new technique which allows us to prove a form of *local exponential ergodicity* for the East-like process in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  (cf. Theorem 4.3) with a stretched exponential decay. As a consequence we derive, in particular, convergence of the time dependent particle density (cf. Corollary 4.5). The local exponential ergodicity (with a pure exponential decay) has been already established for  $d = 1$  (cf. [5]) using special features of the one dimensional East process which do not hold in higher dimensions (cf. Remark 4.4).

Finally, the main ideas entering in the proof of the local exponential ergodicity, combined with the key results from Section 3, also prove an exponential tail for the law of the persistence time of the spin at a given vertex (cf. Theorem 4.7). Such a bound is the main input for our last main result, namely that the mixing time in a finite box grows linearly in the side of the box (cf. Theorem 5.1). As a consequence the East-like process provides an example of a dynamics for which the relaxation time, the mixing time and the entropic relaxation time (inverse of the logarithmic Sobolev constant) lie on three different time scales of order 1,  $L$ ,  $L^d$  respectively (cf. Remark 5.2).

## 2. The Model

### 2.1. Notation

For any  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  we denote its  $\ell^1$ -norm by  $\|x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|$ . For any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  we define the positive quadrant  $\mathbb{Z}_{x,+}^d$  at  $x$  as the set  $\{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d : y_i \geq x_i, i = 1, \dots, d\}$ . When  $x$  is the origin we will simply write  $\mathbb{Z}_+^d$ . We will also let  $\mathbb{Z}_{x,\uparrow}^d \triangleq \mathbb{Z}_{x,+}^d \setminus \{x\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{x,\downarrow}^d \triangleq \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d : x \in \mathbb{Z}_{y,\uparrow}^d\}$ . The interpretation of these two sets is as follows:  $\mathbb{Z}_{x,\uparrow}^d$  is the set of vertices other than  $x$  which are *influenced* by the spin at  $x$ , while  $\mathbb{Z}_{x,\downarrow}^d$  are those vertices other than  $x$  which *influence* the spin at  $x$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_d\}$  the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and, given a set  $X \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we define its East-boundary<sup>3</sup> by

$$\partial_E X \triangleq \{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus X : y + e_i \in X \text{ for some } e_i\}.$$

Given  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we will denote by  $\Omega_\Lambda$  the product space  $\{0, 1\}^\Lambda$  endowed with the product topology. If  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^d$  we simply write  $\Omega$ . In the sequel we will refer to the vertices of  $\Lambda$  where a given configuration  $\eta \in \Omega_\Lambda$  is equal to one (zero) as the *particles* (*vacancies*) of  $\eta$ . If  $V \subset \Lambda$  and  $\eta \in \Omega_\Lambda$  we will write  $\eta|_V$  for the restriction of  $\eta$  to  $V$ . In particular we will simply write  $\eta|_V = 1$  to mean that  $\eta(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in V$ . Finally, for any  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$ , a configuration  $\sigma \in \Omega_{\partial_E \Lambda}$  will be referred to as a *boundary condition*.

### 2.2. Finite volume process and boundary conditions

Given a region  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  and a configuration  $\sigma \in \Omega_{\partial_E \Lambda}$ , we define the *constraint* at site  $x \in \Lambda$  with boundary condition  $\sigma$ , in the sequel denoted by  $c_x^{\Lambda, \sigma}(\eta)$ , as the indicator function of the event in  $\Omega_\Lambda$  that there exists  $e \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $(\sigma \cdot \eta)(x - e) = 0$ , where  $\sigma \cdot \eta \in \Omega_{\Lambda \cup \partial_E \Lambda}$  is the configuration equal to  $\sigma$  on  $\partial_E \Lambda$  and  $\eta$  on  $\Lambda$ . Then the East-like process with parameter  $q \in (0, 1)$  and boundary condition  $\sigma$  is the continuous time Markov chain with state space  $\Omega_\Lambda$  and infinitesimal generator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\Lambda^\sigma f(\eta) &= \sum_{x \in \Lambda} c_x^{\Lambda, \sigma}(\eta) [\eta(x)q + (1 - \eta(x))p] \cdot [f(\eta^x) - f(\eta)] \\ &= \sum_{x \in \Lambda} c_x^{\Lambda, \sigma}(\eta) [\pi_x(f) - f](\eta), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

---

(3) The label ‘‘East’’ is inherited from the one dimensional case and is there only for historical reasons.

where  $p = 1 - q$ ,  $\eta^x$  is the configuration in  $\Omega_\Lambda$  obtained from  $\eta$  by flipping its value at  $x$ , and  $\pi_x$  is the Bernoulli( $p$ ) probability measure on the spin at  $x$ .

We call the boundary condition  $\sigma$  *ergodic* if the process given by (2.1) is ergodic. For example if  $\Lambda = \prod_{i=1}^d [a_i, b_i]$  then a boundary condition  $\sigma$  is ergodic if and only if  $\sigma(a - e) = 0$  for some  $e \in \mathcal{B}$ . If  $\sigma$  is such that by removing some single vacancy in  $\sigma$  one obtains a non-ergodic boundary condition then  $\sigma$  is said to be *minimal*. On the other hand if  $\sigma$  is identically equal to zero we call it a *maximal* boundary condition.

Since the local constraint  $c_x^{\Lambda, \sigma}(\eta)$  does not depend on  $\eta(x)$ , it is simple to check that the East-like process is reversible w.r.t. the product Bernoulli( $p$ ) probability measure  $\pi_\Lambda = \prod_{x \in \Lambda} \pi_x$  on  $\Omega_\Lambda$ .

In what follows we will adopt the following convention:

- We will write  $\pi$  instead of  $\pi_\Lambda$  whenever no confusion can arise.
- Our results will be uniform in the choice of the ergodic boundary condition  $\sigma$ , hence the superscript  $\sigma$  will be usually omitted.
- We will write  $\mathbb{P}_\eta^\Lambda(\cdot)$  and  $\mathbb{E}_\eta^\Lambda(\cdot)$  for the law and the associated expectation of the process with initial condition  $\eta$  and boundary condition  $\sigma$ . The only exception is when  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_+^d$  and the boundary condition  $\sigma$  is minimal, *i.e.*  $\sigma(-e) = 0$  for some  $e \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\sigma(x) = 1$  otherwise. In that case we will write  $\mathbb{P}_\eta^{\min}(\cdot)$  and  $\mathbb{E}_\eta^{\min}(\cdot)$ .
- The dependence on  $p$  of the various constants will be omitted.

### 2.3. The infinite volume East-like process

We also define the East-like process on the entire lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  as follows. Let  $c_x(\eta) \triangleq \mathbb{1}(\exists e \in \mathcal{B} \text{ such that } \eta(x - e) = 0)$ , be the constraint at  $x$ <sup>4</sup>. Then the East-like process on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  is the continuous time Markov process with state space  $\Omega$ , with reversible measure given by the product Bernoulli( $p$ ) probability measure  $\pi = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \pi_x$  and infinitesimal generator  $\mathcal{L}$  whose action on functions depending on finitely many spins is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}f(\eta) &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_x(\eta) [\eta(x)q + (1 - \eta(x))p] \cdot [f(\eta^x) - f(\eta)] \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^d} c_x(\eta) [\pi_x(f) - f](\eta). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

---

<sup>(4)</sup> We will adopt the notation  $\mathbb{1}(A)$  for the indicator of the event  $A$

We will denote by  $\mathbb{P}_\eta(\cdot)$  and  $\mathbb{E}_\eta(\cdot)$  the law and the associated expectation of the process started from  $\eta$ . In general, if the law of the initial configuration is  $\nu$ , we will write  $\mathbb{P}_\nu(\cdot)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_\nu(\cdot)$

## 2.4. Spectral Gap

In the sequel, the spectral gap of a reversible Markov generator  $\mathcal{A}$  will be denoted by  $\text{gap}(\mathcal{A})$ . It is defined as the infimum over all non-constant functions  $f$  in the domain of  $\mathcal{A}$  of the ratio between the Dirichlet form of  $f$  and its variance w.r.t. the reversible probability measure. In the finite dimensional case, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is irreducible then  $\text{gap}(\mathcal{A}) > 0$ . A positive spectral gap implies, in particular, that the reversible measure is mixing for the semigroup generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  and that the variance contracts exponentially fast:

$$\text{Var}(e^{t\mathcal{A}}f) \leq e^{-2t \text{gap}(\mathcal{A})} \text{Var}(f), \quad \forall f \in L^2. \quad (2.3)$$

We now recall some properties of the spectral gap for the East-like process which will be important in the following (for more details see [12, 13]). The infinite volume East-like process has a positive spectral gap for all  $p \in (0, 1)$ . If  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  then  $\text{gap}(\mathcal{L}_\Lambda^\sigma)$  is bounded from below by a positive constant uniformly in choice of the boundary condition  $\sigma$  among the ergodic ones. Moreover the spectral gap satisfies the following monotonicity property. Let  $\Lambda = \prod_{i=1}^d [1, \ell_i]$  and  $\Lambda' = \prod_{i=1}^d [1, \ell'_i]$  with  $\ell_i \leq \ell'_i$  for any  $i$  and let  $\sigma, \sigma'$  be boundary conditions for  $\Lambda, \Lambda'$  respectively, such that  $\sigma(x) \leq \sigma'(x)$  for any  $x \in \partial_E \Lambda$ . Then  $\text{gap}(\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda'}^{\sigma'}) \leq \text{gap}(\mathcal{L}_\Lambda^\sigma)$  (cf. [12, Lemma 3.1]).

## 2.5. Graphical construction

We recall a graphical construction of the East-like process on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , which will be very useful in the sequel. A similar construction, with slight modifications, holds also in the finite volume case. To each  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we associate a rate one Poisson process and, independently, a family of independent Bernoulli( $p$ ) random variables  $\{s_{x,\ell} : \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$  ( $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, \dots\}$ ). The occurrences of the Poisson process associated to  $x$  will be denoted by  $\{t_{x,\ell} : \ell \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , labelled in increasing order. We assume independence as  $x$  varies in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . Sometimes in the sequel we will refer to the collection  $\{t_{x,\ell}, s_{x,\ell}\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$  as the *clock rings and coin tosses* associated to the vertex  $x$ . We write  $(\Theta, \mathbb{P})$  for the probability space on which the above objects are defined. Notice that,  $\mathbb{P}$ -almost surely, all the occurrences  $\{t_{x,\ell} : \ell \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$  are different (this property will be often used below without further mention).



Given a probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\Omega$  we consider the product probability measure  $\mathbb{P}_\nu \triangleq \nu \otimes \mathbb{P}$  on the product space  $\Omega \otimes \Theta$ . Then on  $(\Omega \otimes \Theta, \mathbb{P}_\nu)$  we can define a càdlàg Markov process  $(\eta_t)_{t \geq 0}$  which is exactly the East-like process on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  given by (2.2) with initial distribution  $\nu$ , as follows. The initial configuration  $\eta_0$  associated to the element  $(\eta, \vartheta) \in \Omega \otimes \Theta$  is given by  $\eta$ . At each time  $t = t_{x,\ell}(\vartheta)$  the site  $x$  queries the state of its own constraint  $c_x(\eta_{t-})$ . If and only if the constraint is satisfied (i.e.  $c_x(\eta_{t-}) = 1$ ), then  $t$  is called a *legal ring* and the configuration  $\eta_t$  is obtained from  $\eta_{t-}$  by resetting its value at site  $x$  to the value of the corresponding Bernoulli variable  $s_{x,\ell}(\vartheta)$ . Using the Harris's percolation argument [27] the above definition is well posed for  $\mathbb{P}_\nu$  a.e.  $(\eta, \vartheta)$ . When  $\nu = \delta_\eta$  we simply write  $\mathbb{P}_\eta$  instead of  $\mathbb{P}_\nu$ <sup>5</sup>.

Finally, for  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $t > 0$ , we let  $\mathcal{F}_\Lambda$  ( $\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda,t}$ ) be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by all clock rings and coin tosses associated to vertices in  $\Lambda$  (all the clock rings and coin tosses up to time  $t$ ).

### 3. Local stationarity

In this section we prove two results showing a kind of local stationarity of the reversible measure  $\pi$ . In the first case the region where we want to prove stationarity of  $\pi$  is a non-random subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . In the second case the set  $\Lambda$  will be random and determined by the dynamics itself in the graphical construction (the definition uses clock rings and coin tosses).

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** — *Let  $\Lambda$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  and assume that the initial distribution  $\nu$  of the East-like process in  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  is the product of its marginals on  $\Omega_\Lambda, \Omega_{\Lambda^c}$  and that the marginal on  $\Omega_\Lambda$  coincides with  $\pi$ . Then, for any  $t > 0$  and any  $\sigma \in \Omega_\Lambda$ ,*

$$\mathbb{P}_\nu(\eta_t \upharpoonright_\Lambda = \sigma \mid \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda^c}) = \mathbb{P}_\nu(\eta_t \upharpoonright_\Lambda = \sigma \mid \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda^c,t}) = \pi(\sigma).$$

*Proof.* — The first equality follows immediately from the graphical construction and we will therefore focus on the second one. Let  $x^* \in \Lambda$  be such that  $\mathbb{Z}_{x^*,\uparrow}^d \cap \Lambda = \emptyset$ , let  $\Lambda^* \triangleq \Lambda \setminus \{x^*\}$  and let  $\mathcal{F}_t^* \triangleq \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{x^*\},t}$ . Clearly such a vertex always exists. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\nu(\eta_t \upharpoonright_\Lambda = \sigma \mid \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda^c,t}) &= \int d\nu(\eta) \mathbb{E}_\eta \left( \mathbb{1}(\eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Lambda^*} = \sigma \upharpoonright_{\Lambda^*}) \mathbb{P}_\eta(\eta_t(x^*) = \sigma(x^*) \mid \mathcal{F}_t^*) \mid \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda^c,t} \right) \\ &= \int d\nu(\eta) \mathbb{E}_\eta \left( \mathbb{1}(\eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Lambda^*} = \sigma \upharpoonright_{\Lambda^*}) \sum_{\xi \in \{0,1\}} \pi(\eta(x^*) = \xi) \mathbb{P}_{\eta,\xi}(\eta_t(x^*) = \sigma(x^*) \mid \mathcal{F}_t^*) \mid \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda^c,t} \right), \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>(5)</sup> Although we have defined  $\mathbb{P}_\eta, \mathbb{P}_\nu$  also for the law of the East-like process, it will be clear from the context when the notation is referred to the above graphical construction.

where the notation  $\mathbb{P}_{\eta, \xi}(\cdot)$  indicates that the initial configuration is equal to  $\eta$  outside  $x^*$  and equal to  $\xi$  at  $x^*$ . Above we used the definition of  $x^*$  to guarantee that, once the initial condition  $\eta$  is given, the event  $\{\eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Lambda^*} = \sigma \upharpoonright_{\Lambda^*}\}$  is measurable w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}_t^*$ . Also, by the same reason, the event does not depend on the value  $\eta(x^*)$ . Finally, we have used the assumption on  $\nu$  to perform a partial average over the initial value of  $\eta(x^*)$ .

We now claim that

$$\sum_{\xi \in \{0,1\}} \pi(\eta(x^*) = \xi) \mathbb{P}_{\eta, \xi}(\eta_t(x^*) = \sigma(x^*) \mid \mathcal{F}_t^*) = \pi(\sigma(x^*)).$$

To prove the claim we condition on the event  $\mathcal{A}_t$  that there has been at least one legal ring at  $x^*$  before time  $t$ . Notice that also  $\mathcal{A}_t$  does not depend on the initial value  $\eta(x^*)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\xi \in \{0,1\}} \pi(\eta(x^*) = \xi) \mathbb{P}_{\eta, \xi}(\eta_t(x^*) = \sigma(x^*) \mid \mathcal{F}_t^*) \\ &= \sum_{\xi \in \{0,1\}} \pi(\eta(x^*) = \xi) \mathbb{P}_{\eta, \xi}(\eta_t(x^*) = \sigma(x^*) \mid \mathcal{A}_t, \mathcal{F}_t^*) \mathbb{P}_{\eta}(\mathcal{A}_t \mid \mathcal{F}_t^*) \\ &+ \sum_{\xi \in \{0,1\}} \pi(\eta(x^*) = \xi) \mathbb{P}_{\eta, \xi}(\eta_t(x^*) = \sigma(x^*) \mid \mathcal{A}_t^c, \mathcal{F}_t^*) \mathbb{P}_{\eta}(\mathcal{A}_t^c \mid \mathcal{F}_t^*) \end{aligned}$$

We now observe that, for any  $\eta$ ,

$$(i) \quad \mathbb{P}_{\eta, \xi}(\eta_t(x^*) = \sigma(x^*) \mid \mathcal{A}_t, \mathcal{F}_t^*) = \pi(\sigma(x^*)),$$

because in this case  $\eta_t(x^*)$  takes the value of the last coin toss at  $x^*$  before  $t$ , and

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{\xi \in \{0,1\}} \pi(\eta(x^*) = \xi) \mathbb{P}_{\eta, \xi}(\eta_t(x^*) = \sigma(x^*) \mid \mathcal{A}_t^c, \mathcal{F}_t^*) = \pi(\sigma(x^*)),$$

because  $\eta_t(x^*) = \xi$  on the event  $\mathcal{A}_t^c$ . Hence the claim.

In conclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\nu}(\eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Lambda} = \sigma \mid \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda^c, t}) &= \mathbb{P}_{\nu}(\eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Lambda^*} = \sigma \upharpoonright_{\Lambda^*} \mid \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda^c, t}) \pi(\sigma(x^*)) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_{\nu}(\eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Lambda^*} = \sigma \upharpoonright_{\Lambda^*} \mid \mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda^*)^c, t}) \pi(\sigma(x^*)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\pi$  is a product measure, the term  $\mathbb{P}_{\nu}(\eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Lambda^*} = \sigma \upharpoonright_{\Lambda^*} \mid \mathcal{F}_{(\Lambda^*)^c, t})$  has the required form on the reduced set  $\Lambda^*$  and the proof follows by iteration.  $\square$

*Remark 3.2.* — In the sequel we will use the above proposition but only in the special case in which the set  $\Lambda$  has empty intersection with  $\mathbb{Z}_{x, \downarrow}^d$  for

any  $x \in \partial_E \Lambda$  (e.g.  $\Lambda$  is given by a box,  $\mathbb{Z}_+^d$  or some  $\mathbb{Z}_{y,\uparrow}^d$ ). In this case, under the same assumptions of Proposition 3.1, the dynamics in  $\partial_E \Lambda$  never queries the state of the dynamics in  $\Lambda$ . Moreover, calling  $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n$  the times at which a spin of  $\partial_E \Lambda$  flips in the time window  $[0, t]$  and setting  $t_0 \equiv 0$ ,  $t_{n+1} \equiv t$ , for any  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$  in the time interval  $[t_i, t_{i+1})$  the projection on  $\Lambda$  of the East-like process equals a.s. the East-like process on  $\Lambda$  with fixed boundary condition  $\eta_{t_i} \upharpoonright_{\partial_E \Lambda}$ . In particular in this case Proposition 3.1 follows immediately from the stationarity of  $\pi$  for the East-like process on  $\Lambda$  with fixed boundary condition.

We now extend Proposition 3.1 to a case in which the set  $\Lambda$  is itself random. Given a realization of the clock rings and coin tosses at all vertices and an initial configuration  $\eta$  such that  $\eta(0) = 0$ , let  $\tau_0 = 0, z^{(0)} = 0$  and define

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{k+1} &= \inf\{s > \tau_k : \text{at time } s \text{ there is a legal ring at } z^{(k)}\}, \\ z^{(k+1)} &= \min\{x \in \partial_E\{z^{(k)}\} : \eta_{\tau_{k+1}^-}(x) = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the minimum is taken w.r.t. the lexicographic order. Notice that  $\eta_t(z^{(k)}) = 0$  for any  $k, t \in [\tau_k, \tau_{k+1})$  a.s. We will refer to this special vacancy as the *distinguished zero* (cf. [2] and [17] for an analogous definition for the one dimensional East process).

DEFINITION 3.3. — *We define the trace of the distinguished zero up to time  $t$  as the set*

$$\Gamma_t \triangleq \{z^{(0)}, z^{(1)}, \dots, z^{(\mathcal{N}_t-1)}\},$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_t \triangleq \max\{k \geq 0 : \tau_k \leq t\}$  and we use the convention that  $\Gamma_t = \emptyset$  if  $\mathcal{N}_t = 0$ .

The above definition is well posed  $\mathbb{P}_\eta$ -a.s. since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_k = +\infty$   $\mathbb{P}_\eta$ -a.s. Let also  $\mathcal{G}_0^{(1)}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all information “below” the origin and the clock rings at the origin, up to the first legal ring  $\tau_1$ . Formally  $\mathcal{G}_0^{(1)}$  is defined as follows. Given  $s \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_s$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Z}_{0,\downarrow}^d, s}$  and all the clock rings at the origin up to time  $s$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_s := \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Z}_{0,\downarrow}^d, s} \vee \sigma(t_{0,\ell} : \ell \in \mathbb{N}, t_{0,\ell} \leq s).$$

Note that  $\tau_1$  is a stopping time w.r.t. the filtration  $(\mathcal{H}_s)_{s \geq 0}$ , i.e. the event  $\{\tau_1 \leq s\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_s$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . Then we define  $\mathcal{G}_0^{(1)}$  as the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{H}_{\tau_1}$  given by the events  $F$  such that  $F \cap \{\tau_1 \leq s\} \in \mathcal{H}_s$  for any  $s \geq 0$ . Note that the event  $\{z^{(1)} = z\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{G}_0^{(1)}$ .

The above construction is of course valid for any initial vacancy of the initial configuration  $\eta$ . If the distinguished zero is initially at  $x$  then we will write  $\mathcal{N}_{x,t}$ ,  $\Gamma_{x,t}$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{x,t}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_x^{(1)}$ .

We will now construct recursively the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{G}_x^{(n)}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . We first need the following definition.

DEFINITION 3.4 (Time shift in  $\Theta$ ). — Given  $u > 0$  together with  $\omega \triangleq \{t_{y,j}, s_{y,j}\}_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d, j \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Theta$ , let

$$\theta_u \omega \triangleq \{t_{y,j+\nu_{y,u}} - u, s_{y,j+\nu_{y,u}}\}_{y \in \mathbb{Z}^d, j \in \mathbb{N}},$$

where  $\nu_{y,u} \triangleq \min\{j : t_{y,j} \geq u\}$  (recall that  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ ). In other words the first ring and coin toss at  $y$  for  $\theta_u \omega$  are the first ring and coin toss at  $y$  after  $u$  and so on.

We then define recursively the family  $\{\mathcal{G}_x^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 2}$  as follows.  $\mathcal{G}_x^{(n)}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by all events of the form

$$F^{(n)} = E^{(1)} \cap \{z^{(1)} = z\} \cap \{\theta_{\tau_1} \omega \in F^{(n-1)}\}, \quad z \in \partial_E \{x\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $E^{(1)} \in \mathcal{G}_x^{(1)}$  and  $F^{(n-1)} \in \mathcal{G}_z^{(n-1)}$ .

We are finally ready to state our main result on the law of  $\eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_t}$ .

PROPOSITION 3.5. — For all  $n \geq 1$ , all  $\eta$  such that  $\eta(0) = 0$  and all  $t > 0$  the conditional distribution of  $\eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_t}$  given  $\mathcal{G}_0^{(n)}$  and  $\{\mathcal{N}_t = n\}$  coincides with the reversible measure  $\pi$ .

*Proof.* — Fix  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\sigma = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \in \{0, 1\}^n$  and  $z \in \partial_E \{0\}$ . Let also  $F^{(n)} \in \mathcal{G}_0^{(n)}$ . We must prove that<sup>6</sup>

$$\mathbb{P}_\eta(\mathcal{N}_t = n; F^{(n)}; \eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_t} = \sigma) = \pi(\sigma) \mathbb{P}_\eta(\mathcal{N}_t = n; F^{(n)}). \quad (3.2)$$

Above, the event  $\{\eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_t} = \sigma\}$  has to be thought of as  $\{\eta_t(z^{(k)}) = \sigma_k \forall k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{G}_0^{(n)}$  it is enough to consider events  $F^{(n)}$  as in (3.1) with  $x = 0$ . We will exploit (3.1) and use induction. Let  $\hat{\eta}_{\tau_1}$  be the configuration in  $\mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$  equal to  $\eta_{\tau_1}$  at all vertices different from the origin. Let also  $\mathbb{P}_{\hat{\eta}_{\tau_1}, \pi}(\cdot)$  be the law of the East-like process with initial condition equal to  $\hat{\eta}_{\tau_1}$  outside the origin and sampled from  $\pi$  at the origin. The strong Markov property<sup>7</sup> w.r.t. the Markov time  $\tau_1$  together with (3.1)

<sup>(6)</sup> We write  $\mathbb{P}(A; B)$  instead of  $\mathbb{P}(A \cap B)$  for shortness.

<sup>(7)</sup> Here we appeal to the strong Markov property of the underlying Poisson point process given by the clock rings.

imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P}_\eta(\mathcal{N}_t = n; F^{(n)}; \eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_t} = \sigma) \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_\eta \left[ \mathbb{1}(E^{(1)} \cap \{z^{(1)} = z\}) \mathbb{1}(\tau_1 < t) \times \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \mathbb{P}_{\hat{\eta}_{\tau_1}, \pi} \left( \mathcal{N}_{z, t-\tau_1} = n-1; F^{(n-1)}; \eta_{t-\tau_1} \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_{z, t-\tau_1}} = \sigma'; \eta_{t-\tau_1}(0) = \sigma_0 \right) \right],
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where  $\sigma' = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1})$  and we adopt the convention that the event  $\{\eta_{t-\tau_1} \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_{z, t-\tau_1}} = \sigma'\}$  is the sure event if  $\Gamma_{z, t-\tau_1} = \emptyset$ .

Given  $s > 0$  and using Proposition 3.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P}_{\hat{\eta}_{\tau_1}, \pi} \left( \mathcal{N}_{z, s} = n-1; F^{(n-1)}; \eta_s \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_{z, s}} = \sigma'; \eta_s(0) = \sigma_0 \right) \\
 &= \mathbb{E}_{\hat{\eta}_{\tau_1}, \pi} \left[ \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{N}_{z, s} = n-1) \mathbb{1}(F^{(n-1)}) \mathbb{1}(\eta_s \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_{z, s}} = \sigma') \mathbb{P}_{\hat{\eta}_{\tau_1}, \pi}(\eta_s(0) = \sigma_0 \mid \mathcal{F}_{\{0\}^c}) \right] \\
 & \quad = \pi(\sigma_0) \mathbb{P}_{\hat{\eta}_{\tau_1}, \pi}(\mathcal{N}_{z, s} = n-1; F^{(n-1)}; \eta_s \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_{z, s}} = \sigma').
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

If we now apply (3.4) to (3.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P}_\eta(\mathcal{N}_t = n; F^{(n)}; \eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_t} = \sigma) \\
 &= \pi(\sigma_0) \mathbb{E}_\eta \left[ \mathbb{1}(E^{(1)} \cap \{z^{(1)} = z\}) \mathbb{1}(\tau_1 < t) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \mathbb{P}_{\hat{\eta}_{\tau_1}, \pi}(\mathcal{N}_{z, t-\tau_1} = n-1; F^{(n-1)}; \eta_{t-\tau_1} \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_{z, t-\tau_1}} = \sigma') \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and (3.2) follows for  $n = 1$ . If  $n \geq 2$  and we inductively assume (3.2) for  $n-1$  to write

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P}_{\hat{\eta}_{\tau_1}, \pi}(\mathcal{N}_{z, t-\tau_1} = n-1; F^{(n-1)}; \eta_{t-\tau_1} \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_{z, t-\tau_1}} = \sigma') \\
 & \quad = \pi(\sigma') \mathbb{P}_{\hat{\eta}_{\tau_1}, \pi}(\mathcal{N}_{z, t-\tau_1} = n-1; F^{(n-1)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, from (3.5) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathbb{P}_\eta(\mathcal{N}_t = n; F^{(n)}; \eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Gamma_t} = \sigma) \\
 &= \pi(\sigma) \mathbb{E}_\eta \left[ \mathbb{1}(E^{(1)} \cap \{z^{(1)} = z\}) \mathbb{1}(\tau_1 < t) \mathbb{P}_{\hat{\eta}_{\tau_1}, \pi}(\mathcal{N}_{z, t-\tau_1} = n-1; F^{(n-1)}) \right] \\
 & \quad = \pi(\sigma) \mathbb{P}_\eta \left( \mathcal{N}_t = n; F^{(n)} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

*i.e.* (3.2) for  $n$ . □

#### 4. Out-of-equilibrium results

In this section we begin by proving two results (cf. Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 below) showing that, given an initial vacancy at a site  $x$ , then at any given later time  $t > 0$  it is very likely to find a vacancy in  $\mathbb{Z}_{x,\downarrow}^d \cup \{x\}$  close to  $x$ . These results will be the keystone for the main outcome of this section (cf. Theorem 4.3), namely the fact that an initial vacancy is able to generate a wave of equilibrium (*i.e.* the reversible measure  $\pi$ ) in front of itself. Finally, in Theorem 4.7 we will estimate the tail of the time needed to create a vacancy at a given site for the East-like process in the quadrant  $\mathbb{Z}_+^d$ .

##### 4.1. Persistence of the vacancies

Given  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$  we say that  $x$  is of class  $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$  and write  $x \in \mathcal{C}_n$  if  $\min_i x_i \geq n$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{C}_n \subset \mathcal{C}_{n-1}$ .

LEMMA 4.1. — *Let  $p_n \triangleq \sup_{x \in \mathcal{C}_n} \sup_{t \geq 0} \sup_{\eta: \eta(x)=0} \mathbb{P}_\eta(\eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Lambda_x} = 1)$ , where  $\Lambda_x = \prod_{i=1}^d [0, x_i]$ . Then  $p_n \leq p^{n+1}$ .*

*Proof.* — Let us fix  $x \in \mathcal{C}_n$ ,  $t > 0$  and an initial configuration  $\eta$  such that  $\eta(x) = 0$  and recall Definition 3.3. If we make the initial vacancy at  $x$  “distinguished”, then  $\{\eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Lambda_x} = 1\} \subset \{\mathcal{N}_t \geq n + 1\} \cap \{\eta_t(z^{(k)}) = 1 \ \forall k = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$ . Thus, using Proposition 3.5,

$$\mathbb{P}_\eta(\eta_t \upharpoonright_{\Lambda_x} = 1) \leq p^{n+1} \mathbb{P}_\eta(\mathcal{N}_t \geq n + 1) \leq p^{n+1}. \quad \square$$

The next is a simple but useful consequence of the above result. Fix  $\ell \geq 1$  and let  $\mathcal{G}_t$  be the event that there exists a vertex  $x \in V(\ell) \triangleq [-\ell + 1, 0]^d$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_t(x) \geq t/\ell^d$ , where

$$\mathcal{T}_t(x) \triangleq \int_0^t ds \mathbb{1}(\eta_s(x) = 0) \quad (4.1)$$

is the total time spent in the zero state by the spin at  $x$  up to time  $t$ .

COROLLARY 4.2. — *There exist positive constants  $C, c$  such that*

$$\sup_{\eta: \eta(0)=0} \mathbb{P}_\eta(\mathcal{G}_t) \geq 1 - Ct \ell^d e^{-c\ell}, \quad \forall \ell \geq 1.$$

*Proof.* — Observe that, if the box  $V(\ell)$  was never completely filled during the time-lag  $t$ , then necessarily the event  $\mathcal{G}_t$  occurred. Thus it is sufficient to prove that

$$\sup_{\eta: \eta(0)=0} \mathbb{P}_\eta(\exists s \leq t : \eta_s \upharpoonright_{V(\ell)} = 1) \leq Ct \ell^d e^{-c\ell},$$

for some constants  $C, c > 0$ . Furthermore, using a union bound over the possible rings in  $V(\ell)$  within time  $t$  (cf. the discussion after equation (5.14) in [17]) it suffices to prove that

$$\sup_{\eta: \eta(0)=0} \sup_{s>0} \mathbb{P}_\eta(\eta_s \upharpoonright_{V(\ell)} = 1) \leq e^{-cs}$$

for some  $c > 0$ . Such a bound follows from Lemma 4.1 with  $c = -\log(p)$ .  $\square$

## 4.2. Local exponential ergodicity in $\mathbb{Z}^d$

**THEOREM 4.3.** — *There exist two positive constants  $C, c$  such that the following holds. Fix  $t > 0$  and let  $f$  be a function depending only on the spins in  $[1, t^{1/2d}]^d$  with  $\|f\|_\infty = 1$ . Then*

$$\sup_{\eta: \eta(0)=0} |\mathbb{E}_\eta(f(\eta_t)) - \pi(f)| \leq Ce^{-ct^{1/2d}}.$$

*Remark 4.4.* — The above result has been proved in [5] for  $d = 1$ , with a pure exponential decay, using the following 1d property: conditionally on the trajectory of a distinguished zero  $(\xi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  (cf. Section 3) the evolutions to the left and to the right of  $\xi_t$  are independent. Hence, between consecutive jumps of  $\xi_t$ , the East process to its right behaves like (and relaxes like) the East process on the half-line with a frozen boundary vacancy. For  $d \geq 2$  there is no analogue of the above feature. The solution is then as follows. Using Corollary 4.2, for each time  $t$  there exists  $x \in [-\ell, 0]^d$ ,  $\ell \sim t^{1/2d}$ , which spends at least a time  $\sqrt{t}$  in the zero state during the time window  $[0, t]$ . We can then exploit such an “intermittent vacancy” as a source of equilibrium in  $\mathbb{Z}_{x,+}^d$ .

**COROLLARY 4.5.** — *Let  $\nu$  be a probability measure on  $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  such that, for all  $\ell \geq 1$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ ,*

$$\nu(\{\eta(y) = 1 \ \forall y \in [x, x + \ell - 1]^d\}) \leq e^{-m\ell},$$

for some positive  $m$ . Then there exist  $\lambda = \lambda(m) > 0$  and  $C > 0$  such that

$$\sup_x |\mathbb{E}_\nu(\eta_t(x)) - p| \leq Ce^{-\lambda t^{1/2d}}.$$

A special choice of the initial measure  $\nu$  is any product Bernoulli probability measure with parameter  $p' < 1$ . In particular the above corollary covers the physically interesting case of a system starting at high temperature and evolving towards its equilibrium under a lower temperature dynamics.

*Proof of the Corollary.* Without loss of generality consider only the case  $x = 0$  and, for  $\ell \geq 1$ , write

$$|\mathbb{E}_\nu(\eta_t(0)) - p| \leq 2e^{-m\ell} + \int_{\{\eta: \exists x \in [-\ell, -1]^d: \eta(x)=0\}} d\nu(\eta) |\mathbb{E}_\eta(\eta_t(0)) - p|.$$

Using Theorem 4.3 the second term in the r.h.s. above is smaller than  $Ce^{-ct^{1/2d}}$  for any  $\ell \leq t^{1/2d}$ . Hence the thesis.  $\square$

*Remark 4.6.* — A similar result holds if one replaces the spin at  $x$  with an arbitrary function  $f$  depending on finitely many spins. In that case the constant  $C$  will depend on  $f$  through  $\|f\|_\infty$  and the size of the support of  $f$  while the constant  $\lambda$  will stay the same.

*Proof of Theorem 4.3.* — In what follows  $c$  will denote a generic constant depending on  $p$  which may vary from line to line. Fix  $f$  as in the theorem and assume for simplicity that  $\pi(f) = 0$ . Given  $\eta$  such that  $\eta(0) = 0$ , we use Corollary 4.2 with  $\ell \equiv \lceil \delta t^{1/2d} \rceil$  for some small positive constant  $\delta$  together with  $\|f\|_\infty = 1$  to write

$$\mathbb{E}_\eta(f(\eta_t)) = \mathbb{E}_\eta(f(\eta_t)\mathbb{1}(\mathcal{G}_t)) + O(e^{-c\delta t^{1/2d}}),$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_t$  is the event that there exists  $x \in [-\ell + 1, 0]^d$  with  $\mathcal{T}_t(x) \geq t/\ell^d$ . On the event  $\mathcal{G}_t$  let  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$  be the position of the smallest (in the lexicographical order) vertex of  $V(\ell) = [-\ell + 1, 0]^d$  with the property that  $\mathcal{T}_t(\xi) \geq t/\ell^d$  and let  $\Lambda_\xi$  be the box  $[\xi_1 + 1, t^{1/2d}] \times \prod_{i=2}^d [\xi_i, t^{1/2d}]$  (see Figure 1).




Figure 1. — The box  $\Lambda_\xi$



We then write

$$\mathbb{E}_\eta(f(\eta_t)\mathbb{1}(\mathcal{G}_t)) = \sum_{y \in V(\ell)} \mathbb{E}_\eta \left( \mathbb{1}(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{t,y}) \mathbb{E}_\eta(f(\eta_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_y^c, t}) \right),$$

where  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{t,y} \triangleq \mathcal{G}_t \cap \{\xi = y\}$ . Notice that, given  $\eta$ , the event  $\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{t,y}$  is measurable w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_y^c, t}$ . Clearly, for each  $y \in V(\ell)$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathbb{E}_\eta \left( \mathbb{1}(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{t,y}) \mathbb{E}_\eta(f(\eta_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_y^c, t}) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\min_{\sigma \in \Omega_{\Lambda_y}} \pi(\sigma)} \mathbb{E}_\eta \left( \mathbb{1}(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{t,y}) \sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_{\Lambda_y}} \pi(\sigma) |\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \cdot \eta}(f(\sigma_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_y^c, t})| \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma \cdot \eta$  means the configuration equal to  $\sigma$  in  $\Lambda_y$  and to  $\eta$  outside it. Above  $\sigma_t \triangleq (\sigma \cdot \eta)_t|_{\Lambda_y}$  and we used the fact that  $f$  depends only on the spins in  $\Lambda_y$ . We now observe that, given  $\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_y^c, t}$ , the evolution in  $\Lambda_y$  up to time  $t$  is the standard East-like process with boundary conditions that vary at times say  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ . Moreover, once the initial  $\eta$  is given, the times  $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and the actual value of the boundary conditions  $\{\eta_{t_i}(z)\}_{z \in \partial_E \Lambda_y}$  become measurable w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_y^c}$ . Call  $\mathcal{L}^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , the generator of the East-like process in  $\Lambda$  with boundary conditions given by  $\eta_{t_i}$  (we set  $t_0 \equiv 0$  and  $t_{n+1} \equiv t$ ). If  $\eta_{t_i}(y) = 0$ , then  $\eta_{t_i}$  is an ergodic boundary condition and the spectral gap of  $\mathcal{L}^{(i)}$  is not smaller than the spectral gap  $\lambda$  in the positive quadrant with minimal boundary conditions [12]. Thus, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_{\Lambda_y}} \pi(\sigma) |\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \cdot \eta}(f(\sigma_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_y^c, t})| &= \sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_{\Lambda_y}} \pi(\sigma) |e^{t_1 \mathcal{L}^{(0)}} e^{(t_2 - t_1) \mathcal{L}^{(1)}} \dots e^{(t - t_n) \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} f(\sigma)| \\ &\leq \|e^{t_1 \mathcal{L}^{(0)}} e^{(t_2 - t_1) \mathcal{L}^{(1)}} \dots e^{(t - t_n) \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} f\|_\pi \\ &\leq \exp \left[ -\lambda \sum_{i=0}^n (t_{i+1} - t_i) \mathbb{1}(\eta_{t_i}(y) = 0) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Above  $\|\cdot\|_\pi$  denotes the norm in  $L^2(\Lambda_y, \pi)$ . Note that in the last step we applied the classical inequality  $\text{Var}(e^{t\mathcal{L}} f) \leq e^{-2\text{gap}(\mathcal{L})} \text{Var}(f)$ , valid for any reversible continuous time Markov chain, to the chains with generators  $\{\mathcal{L}^{(i)}\}_{i=0}^n$ , together with the fact that  $\pi(f^{(i)}) = 0$  for all functions

$$f^{(i)} \triangleq e^{(t_i - t_{i-1}) \mathcal{L}^{(i)}} \dots e^{(t - t_n) \mathcal{L}^{(n)}} f.$$

This last property can be proved by induction on  $i$  from  $n$  to 0 using that  $\pi(f) = 0$  and that  $\mathcal{L}^{(i)}$  is reversible (hence stationary) in  $L^2(\Lambda_y, \pi)$ .

We now observe that  $\sum_{i=0}^n (t_{i+1} - t_i) \mathbb{1}(\eta_{t_i}(y) = 0) = \mathcal{T}_t(y)$ , the total time spent in state zero by the spin at  $y$ . By construction the latter is at least  $t/\ell^d \geq \delta^{-d} \sqrt{t}/2$  for  $t$  large enough. In conclusion

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\min_{\sigma \in \Omega_{\Lambda_y}} \pi(\sigma)} \mathbb{E}_\eta \left( \mathbb{1}(\hat{\mathcal{G}}_{t,y}) \sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_{\Lambda_y}} \pi(\sigma) |\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \cdot \eta}(f(\sigma_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda_y^c, t})| \right) \\ & \leq \left( \frac{1}{p \wedge q} \right)^{|\Lambda_y|} e^{-\lambda \delta^{-d} \sqrt{t}/2} = O(e^{-c\sqrt{t}}) \end{aligned}$$

for  $\delta$  small enough and  $t$  large enough. Thus

$$|\mathbb{E}_\eta(f(\eta_t) \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{G}_t))| \leq C \ell^d e^{-c\sqrt{t}}$$

for some constant  $C$  and the result follows.  $\square$

### 4.3. Exponential tail of the persistence times in $\mathbb{Z}_+^d$

Consider the East-like process in  $\mathbb{Z}_+^d$  with minimal boundary condition, and let  $\mathbb{P}_\eta^{\min}(\cdot)$  denote its law when the initial configuration is  $\eta$ . Recall also definition (4.1) of the random variable  $\mathcal{T}_t(x)$ .

**THEOREM 4.7.** — *There exist  $\kappa, \lambda > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that the following holds. For all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$  and  $t \geq \kappa \|x\|_1$ ,*

$$\sup_\eta \mathbb{P}_\eta^{\min}(\mathcal{T}_t(x) \leq \delta^d t) \leq d e^{-\lambda \delta t}. \quad (4.3)$$

*In particular*

$$\sup_\eta \mathbb{P}_\eta^{\min}(\tau_x \geq t) \leq d e^{-\lambda \delta t}, \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\tau_x \triangleq \inf\{t : \eta_t(x) = 0\}$ .

*Remark 4.8.* — For simplicity we have stated the result with minimal boundary conditions. Actually the same proof, with minor modifications, holds for any ergodic boundary conditions with uniform constants  $\kappa, \lambda, \delta$ . From the result it follows that  $\mathbb{E}_\eta^{\min}(\tau_x) = O(|x|)$ . It is also easy to show, using the finite speed of propagation, that  $\mathbb{E}_\eta^{\min}(\tau_x) = \Omega(|x|)$ .

*Proof.* — It is obvious that (4.4) follows from (4.3). To prove the latter we first need a technical lemma. Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$  and write  $x = (x^*, x_d)$  where  $x^* = (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{d-1}$ . Let  $I = \{y = (x^*, j), j = 1, \dots, x_d\}$  if  $x_d > 0$  and  $I = \emptyset$  otherwise (see Figure 2). For the East-like process in  $\mathbb{Z}_+^d$  with ergodic boundary conditions and initial configuration  $\eta$ , the variable  $\mathcal{T}_t((x^*, 0))$  is measurable w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}_{I^c, t}$ . Moreover, since the projection on the slab  $\mathbb{Z}_+^{d-1} \times \{0\}$

of the East-like process in  $\mathbb{Z}_+^d$  with minimal boundary conditions coincides with the East-like process on  $\mathbb{Z}_+^{d-1}$  with minimal boundary conditions, the law of  $\mathcal{T}_t((x^*, 0))$  under  $\mathbb{P}_\eta^{\min}$  coincides with the law of  $\mathcal{T}_t(x^*)$  in  $(d-1)$  dimensions. In the sequel, for notation convenience, we will simply write  $\mathcal{T}_t(x^*)$  instead of the more precise  $\mathcal{T}_t((x^*, 0))$ .




Figure 2. — The interval  $I$  and the point  $x^*$  in three dimensions.

LEMMA 4.9. — *There exist constants  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\sup_{\eta} \mathbb{P}_\eta^{\min}(\mathcal{T}_t(x) \leq \delta \mathcal{T}_t(x^*) \mid \mathcal{F}_{I^c, t}) \leq (p \wedge q)^{-x_d} e^{-c\mathcal{T}_t(x^*)}.$$

We postpone the proof of the lemma and write

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\eta} \mathbb{P}_\eta^{\min}(\mathcal{T}_t(x) \leq \delta^d t) &\leq \sup_{\eta} \mathbb{P}_\eta^{\min}(\mathcal{T}_t(x^*) \leq \delta^{d-1} t) \\ &\quad + \sup_{\eta} \mathbb{P}_\eta^{\min}(\mathcal{T}_t(x) \leq \delta^d t; \mathcal{T}_t(x^*) \geq \delta^{d-1} t) \\ &\leq \sup_{\eta} \mathbb{P}_\eta^{\min}(\mathcal{T}_t(x^*) \leq \delta^{d-1} t) + (p \wedge q)^{-x_d} e^{-c\delta^{d-1} t}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 4.9 to bound the second term in the r.h.s. of the first inequality. Notice that the first term in the r.h.s above has the same form of the starting quantity but now in  $(d-1)$  dimensions. We can then iterate on the dimension  $d$  to get

$$\sup_{\eta} \mathbb{P}_\eta^{\min}(\mathcal{T}_t(x) \leq \delta^d t) \leq \sup_{\eta} \mathbb{P}_\eta^{\text{East}}(\mathcal{T}_t(x_1) \leq \delta t) + \sum_{i=2}^d (p \wedge q)^{-x_i} e^{-c\delta^{i-1} t},$$

where  $\mathbb{P}_\eta^{\text{East}}(\cdot)$  is the law of the one dimensional East process on the interval  $[0, x_1]$  with ergodic boundary conditions. As in [7, Theorem 3.6], the first term in the r.h.s. above can be bounded by

$$\sup_{\eta} \mathbb{P}_\eta^{\text{East}}(\mathcal{T}_t(x_1) \leq \delta t) \leq (p \wedge q)^{-x_1} \mathbb{P}_\pi^{\text{East}}(\mathcal{T}_t(x_1) \leq \delta t) \leq (p \wedge q)^{-x_1} e^{-c' \delta t},$$

for some constant  $c' > 0$  provided that  $\delta$  is small enough (independent of  $t$ ). The proof of (4.3) is finished by choosing e.g.  $\kappa = 2 \log(1/(p \wedge q)) / (c \wedge c')$  and  $\lambda = (c \wedge c')/2$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 4.9.* — Using the exponential Chebyshev inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\eta} \mathbb{P}_\eta^{\min}(\mathcal{T}_t(x) \leq \delta \mathcal{T}_t(x^*) \mid \mathcal{F}_{I^c, t}) \\ \leq \sup_{\eta} \inf_{\gamma > 0} e^{-\gamma(t - \delta \mathcal{T}_t(x^*))} \mathbb{E}_\eta^{\min}(e^{\gamma(t - \mathcal{T}_t(x))} \mid \mathcal{F}_{I^c, t}) \\ \leq (1/p \wedge q)^{x_d} \sup_{\eta} \inf_{\gamma > 0} e^{-\gamma(t - \delta \mathcal{T}_t(x^*))} \mathbb{E}_{\eta, \pi}^{\min}(e^{\gamma(t - \mathcal{T}_t(x))} \mid \mathcal{F}_{I^c, t}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_{\eta, \pi}^{\min}(\cdot)$  denotes the expectation w.r.t. the East-like process with initial law  $\nu(\eta') = \pi(\eta' \upharpoonright_I) \mathbb{1}(\eta' \upharpoonright_{\mathbb{Z}_+^d \setminus I} = \eta \upharpoonright_{\mathbb{Z}_+^d \setminus I})$ .

We will now bound the term  $\mathbb{E}_{\eta, \pi}^{\min}(e^{\gamma(t - \mathcal{T}_t(x))} \mid \mathcal{F}_{I^c, t}) = \mathbb{E}_{\eta, \pi}^{\min}(e^{\gamma \int_0^t ds \mathbb{1}(\eta_s(x)=1)} \mid \mathcal{F}_{I^c, t})$  using the Feynman-Kac formula. Firstly notice that it is enough to compute the above expectation w.r.t. the process in the box  $\Lambda_x = \prod_{i=1}^d [0, x_i]$ . Secondly, since the East boundary of any vertex in  $\Lambda_x \setminus I$  does not intersect  $I$  and since the initial configuration in  $\Lambda_x \setminus I$  is deterministic, the projection of the process in  $\Lambda_x \setminus I$  is measurable w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}_{I^c, t}$ . Denote by  $0 < t_1 < t_2, \dots, < t_n < t$  the successive times in  $[0, t]$  at which one of the spins at the East boundary of the interval  $I$  changes. During any time interval of the form  $[t_i, t_{i+1})$  (define  $t_0 \equiv 0$  and  $t_{n+1} \equiv t$ ) the process in  $I$  is nothing but the usual one dimensional East process with possibly certain vertices which are unconstrained, namely those with a zero spin at their East boundary (in particular, the initial law  $\pi$  in  $I$  is preserved at any later time). Let  $\mathcal{L}^{(i)}$  be the corresponding Markov generator. Because of what we just said  $\mathcal{L}^{(i)}$  is self-adjoint in  $L^2(I, \pi)$  and, if the boundary spin at  $(x^*, 0)$  is zero, it has also a spectral gap which is not smaller than the spectral gap  $\text{gap}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{East}})$  on the East process on  $\mathbb{Z}$  [7]. Moreover, for any function  $F : \Omega_I \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  and any  $s > 0$ , the Feynman-Kac formula

$$\mathbb{E}_\sigma^{(i)}(F(\sigma_{t_{i+1}-t_i}) e^{\gamma \int_0^{t_{i+1}-t_i} ds \mathbb{1}(\eta_s(x)=1)}) = (e^{(t_{i+1}-t_i)(\mathcal{L}^{(i)} + \gamma \mathcal{A}_x)} F)(\sigma)$$

holds, with  $\mathcal{A}_x F(\sigma) = \mathbb{1}(\sigma(x) = 1)F(\sigma)$  and  $\mathbb{E}_\sigma^{(i)}(\cdot)$  being the expectation over the process with generator  $\mathcal{L}^{(i)}$  and initial condition  $\sigma$ .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_{\eta, \pi}^{\min} \left( e^{\gamma \int_0^t ds \mathbb{1}(\eta_s(x)=1)} \mid \mathcal{F}_{I^c, t} \right) \\ &= \langle \mathbf{1}, e^{t_1(\mathcal{L}^{(0)} + \gamma \mathcal{A}_x)} \cdot e^{(t_2 - t_1)(\mathcal{L}^{(1)} + \gamma \mathcal{A}_x)} \cdot \dots \cdot e^{(t - t_n)(\mathcal{L}^{(n)} + \gamma \mathcal{A}_x)} \mathbf{1} \rangle_\pi \\ &\leq \prod_{i=0}^n \|e^{(t_{i+1} - t_i)(\mathcal{L}^{(i)} + \gamma \mathcal{A}_x)}\|_\pi \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where  $\langle \cdot \rangle_\pi$  denotes the scalar product in  $L^2(I, \pi)$  and  $\| \cdot \|_\pi$  denotes the operator norm on  $L^2(I, \pi)$ .

For  $i$  such that  $\eta_s((x^*, 0)) = 1$ ,  $s \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$ , we simply bound  $\|e^{(t_{i+1} - t_i)(\mathcal{L}^{(i)} + \gamma \mathcal{A}_x)}\|_\pi$  by  $e^{\gamma(t_{i+1} - t_i)}$ . Indeed,  $\mathcal{L}^{(i)} + \gamma \mathcal{A}_x \leq \gamma \mathbf{1}$  as self-adjoint operators. In the opposite case and provided that  $\gamma$  is small enough (e.g.  $\gamma = \text{gap}(\mathcal{L}_{\text{East}})/2$ ) we can use a result from [7, Theorem 3.6] to get that

$$\|e^{(t_{i+1} - t_i)(\mathcal{L}^{(i)} + \gamma \mathcal{A}_x)}\|_\pi \leq e^{\beta \gamma (t_{i+1} - t_i)}$$

where  $\beta = pq/(1+p) + p < 1$ .

In conclusion

$$\prod_{i=0}^n \|e^{(t_{i+1} - t_i)(\mathcal{L}^{(i)} + \gamma \mathcal{A}_x)}\|_\pi \leq e^{\beta \gamma \mathcal{T}_t(x^*) + \gamma(t - \mathcal{T}_t(x^*))}$$

and

$$\sup_{\eta} \mathbb{P}_\eta^{\min} \left( \mathcal{T}_t(x) \leq \delta \mathcal{T}_t(x^*) \mid \mathcal{F}_{I^c, t} \right) \leq (1/p \wedge q)^{x_d} e^{-\gamma(1-\beta-\delta)\mathcal{T}_t(x^*)}.$$

The proof is complete if we take e.g.  $\delta = (1 - \beta)/2$ . □

### 5. Mixing time of the East-like process

Consider the East-like process in  $\Lambda = [1, L]^d$  with ergodic boundary conditions and let

$$T_{\text{mix}} \triangleq \inf\{t > 0 : \max_{\eta} \|\mathbb{P}_\eta^\Lambda(\eta_t \in \cdot) - \pi\|_{\text{TV}} \leq 1/4\}$$

be its mixing time.

**THEOREM 5.1.** — *There exists  $C > 0$  such that  $C^{-1}L \leq T_{\text{mix}} \leq CL$  for all  $L \geq 1$ .*

*Remark 5.2.* — Notice that the standard inequality  $T_{\text{mix}} \leq \text{const.} \log(1/\pi^*) \times T_{\text{rel}}$  with  $\pi^* = \min_{\eta \in \Omega_\Lambda} \pi(\eta)$  (see e.g. [34]) only gives  $T_{\text{mix}} = O(L^d)$ . Also, if the boundary conditions are minimal, it is easy to see that the logarithmic Sobolev constant  $\alpha_\Lambda$  (cf. [34]) satisfies  $\alpha_\Lambda \sim L^{-d}$ . The upper bound is proved by plugging into the variational characterization of  $\alpha_\Lambda$  the test function given by the indicator of the configuration without vacancies. The lower bound follows at once from the general bound  $\alpha_\Lambda \geq \text{gap}(\mathcal{L}_\Lambda^{\text{min}})/(2 + \log(1/\pi^*))$ . Thus the East-like process with minimal boundary conditions has  $T_{\text{rel}} = O(1)$ ,  $T_{\text{mix}} \sim L$  and a logarithmic Sobolev constant  $\sim L^{-d}$ .

We first establish three key preliminary results before proving Theorem 5.1. We denote by  $\hat{\Omega}_\Lambda$  the set of configurations such that in *any* interval  $I \subset \Lambda$  parallel to one of the coordinate axes and of length  $\lfloor (\log L)^2 \rfloor$  there exists at least one vacancy. The first result says that any initial configuration will, with high probability, evolve into  $\hat{\Omega}_\Lambda$  in a time  $t = O(L)$ .

LEMMA 5.3. — *For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $M$  such that, for all initial configurations  $\eta \in \Omega_\Lambda$  and all  $L \geq 1$ ,*

$$\sup_{t \geq ML} \mathbb{P}_\eta^\Lambda \left( \eta_t \notin \hat{\Omega}_\Lambda \right) \leq \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* — Fix an interval  $I \subset [1, L]^d$  of the form  $I = [x, x + \lfloor (\log L)^2 \rfloor e]$ ,  $e \in \mathcal{B}$ . Using Theorem 4.7 and its notation, there exist  $M > 0$  and  $c > 0$  independent of  $L$  and of the initial configuration such that

$$\mathbb{P}_\eta^\Lambda(\tau_x \geq ML/2) \leq e^{-cML/2}.$$

The strong Markov property at the hitting time  $\tau_x$  together with Theorem 4.3 gives that, for  $t \geq ML$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}_\eta^\Lambda(\tau_x \leq ML/2; \eta_t(z) = 1 \ \forall z \in I) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\eta^\Lambda \left( \mathbf{1}(\tau_x \leq ML/2) \mathbb{P}_{\eta_{\tau_x}}^\Lambda(\eta_{t-\tau_x}(z) = 1 \ \forall z \in I) \right) \\ &\leq \pi(\eta(z) = 1 \ \forall z \in I) + Ce^{-c(t-ML/2)^{1/2d}} = O(e^{-c'(\log L)^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Since the number of such intervals  $I$  is  $O(e^{c'' \log L})$ , a union bound over the choice of  $I$  finishes the proof.  $\square$

The next result is a small refinement of the arguments used in the proof of Theorem 4.3. Consider the East-like process in  $\mathbb{Z}_+^d$  with ergodic boundary conditions and let  $\mathcal{G}_t(x; \Delta)$  be the event that the spin at  $x$  was unconstrained (*i.e.* with at least a vacancy in its East-boundary) for a total time  $0 \leq \Delta \leq t$  during the time interval  $[0, t]$ . By construction, given the initial  $\eta$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_t(x; \Delta)$  is measurable w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{Z}_{x,\downarrow}^d, t}$ .

LEMMA 5.4. — Given  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$ , let  $V$  be a box of the form  $V = \prod_{i=1}^d [x_i, x_i + \ell - 1]$ ,  $\ell \geq 1$ , and let  $f : \mathbb{Z}_+^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  be a bounded function which does not depend on the spins in  $\mathbb{Z}_{x,\uparrow}^d \setminus V$ . There exist positive constants  $c, \lambda$  so that, on the event  $\mathcal{G}_t(x; \Delta)$ ,

$$\max_{\eta} |\mathbb{E}_{\eta}^{\mathbb{Z}_+^d} (f(\eta_t) - f^V(\eta_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{V^c,t})| \leq C \|f\|_{\infty} e^{c\ell^d - \lambda\Delta},$$

where  $f^V(\eta) \triangleq \pi_V(f)(\eta)$  is the equilibrium average in  $V$  of  $f$ . The constant  $\lambda$  can be chosen as the spectral gap of the process in  $\mathbb{Z}_+^d$  with minimal boundary conditions.

*Proof.* — Let  $W = V \cup (\mathbb{Z}_+^d \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{x,\uparrow}^d)$  (see Figure 3).




Figure 3. — The set  $W$  when  $d = 2$ .

Using the assumption that  $f$  does not depend on the spins in  $\mathbb{Z}_{x,\uparrow}^d \setminus V$  and the oriented nature of the constraints, we can safely replace the East-like process in  $\mathbb{Z}_+^d$  with the East-like process in  $W$ , i.e.

$$\mathbb{E}_{\eta}^{\mathbb{Z}_+^d} (f(\eta_t) - f^V(\eta_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{V^c,t}) = \mathbb{E}_{\eta}^W (f(\eta_t) - f^V(\eta_t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{V^c,t}).$$

Clearly, given the initial  $\eta$ , the dynamics of the spins in  $W \setminus V$  is measurable w.r.t.  $\mathcal{F}_{V^c,t}$ . Moreover, using Proposition 3.1,

$$\pi_V (\mathbb{E}_{\eta}^W (f(\eta_s) \mid \mathcal{F}_{V^c,s})) = f^V(\eta_s) \quad a.s. \quad \forall s \in [0, t],$$

where  $\pi_V$  averages only over the spins in  $V$ . The proof now follows the

pattern of the proof of Theorem 4.3 (cf. (4.2)). We write

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{G}_t(x; \Delta)) |\mathbb{E}_\eta^W (f(\eta_t) - f^V(\eta_t) | \mathcal{F}_{V^c, t})| \\ & \leq 1/(p \wedge q)^{\ell^d} \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{G}_t(x; \Delta)) \pi_V (|\mathbb{E}_\eta^W (f(\eta_t) - f^V(\eta_t) | \mathcal{F}_{V^c, t})|) \\ & \leq 1/(p \wedge q)^{\ell^d} \mathbb{1}(\mathcal{G}_t(x; \Delta)) [\text{Var}_{\pi_V} (\mathbb{E}_\eta^W (f(\eta_t) | \mathcal{F}_{V^c, t}))]^{1/2} \leq \|f\|_\infty e^{c\ell^d - \lambda\Delta}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Var}_{\pi_V}$  denotes the variance w.r.t to  $\pi_V$  and  $c = -\log(p \wedge q)$ . The fact that  $\lambda$  can be taken equal to the spectral gap of the first quadrant with minimal boundary conditions follows immediately from the monotonicity of the spectral gap in the boundary conditions and in the volume.  $\square$

The third result says that the East-like process in the box  $\Lambda = [1, L]^d$  with ergodic boundary conditions reaches equilibrium (in total variation distance) in a time lag  $O(\log(L)^{4d})$  if the initial configuration belongs to  $\hat{\Omega}_\Lambda$ . More precisely, let  $\mu_t^\eta$  denote the law of  $\eta_t$  under  $\mathbb{P}_\eta^\Lambda(\cdot)$ .

LEMMA 5.5. — *For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $L_0$  such that the following holds. Let  $T = (\log L)^{5d}$  and let  $d(T) = \max_{\eta \in \hat{\Omega}_\Lambda} \|\mu_T^\eta - \pi_\Lambda\|_{\text{TV}}$ . Then  $\sup_{L \geq L_0} d(T) \leq \varepsilon$ .*

*Proof.* — Fix  $\eta \in \hat{\Omega}_\Lambda$  and let us order the vertices of  $\Lambda = [1, L]^d$  as follows. We first choose some order of the vertices on each hyperplane  $\mathcal{P} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d : \|x\|_1 = \text{const.}\}$  in such a way that two consecutive vertices have distance  $O(1)$  for large  $L$  (e.g. they have exactly two coordinates where they differ by one). Then, for any pair  $x, y \in \Lambda$ , we say that  $x \prec y$  if either  $\|x\|_1 < \|y\|_1$  or if  $x$  comes before  $y$  when they have the same  $\ell^1$ -norm. The  $i^{\text{th}}$  vertex in the above order will be denoted by  $x^{(i)}$ .

Next, for any  $f : \Omega_\Lambda \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  with  $\|f\|_\infty = 1$  and any  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $n = L^d$ , we denote by  $f^{(j)}$  the new function obtained by averaging  $f$  w.r.t. the equilibrium measure  $\pi$  over the last  $j$  spins in the above order. For notation convenience we set  $f^{(0)} \equiv f$ . Notice that  $f^{(j)} = \pi_j(f^{(j-1)})$ , where  $\pi_j(\cdot)$  denotes the marginal of  $\pi$  over the  $(L^d - j + 1)^{\text{th}}$ -spin, and that  $f^{(n)} = \pi(f)$ . Then

$$|\mu_t^\eta(f) - \pi(f)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\mu_t^\eta(f^{(j-1)}) - \mu_t^\eta(f^{(j)})|, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

We now choose  $t = (\log L)^{5d}$  and  $\eta \in \hat{\Omega}_\Lambda$  and prove that each term in the above sum is smaller than  $O(e^{-(\log L)^2})$  for large enough  $L$ .






Figure 4. — The shaded quasi-triangle corresponds to vertices larger than  $x^{(n-j+1)}$  and the white region corresponds to  $\Lambda_{j-1}$ .

Let  $\Lambda_j$  be the set  $\{x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots, x^{(n-j)}\}$ . Using the assumption that the initial configuration  $\eta$  belongs to  $\hat{\Omega}_\Lambda$ , there exists a vertex  $y \in (\Lambda_j \cup \partial_E \Lambda_j) \cap \mathbb{Z}_{x^{(n-j+1)}, \downarrow}^d$  such that  $|y - x^{(n-j+1)}| \leq (\log L)^2$  and  $\eta(y) = 0$ . Corollary 4.2 implies that, with probability greater than  $1 - e^{-(\log L)^2}$ , there exists  $C > 0$  independent of  $j$  and a vertex  $z \in (\Lambda_j \cup \partial_E \Lambda_j) \cap \mathbb{Z}_{x^{(n-j+1)}, \downarrow}^d$  such that:

- (i)  $|z - x^{(n-j+1)}| \leq C(\log L)^2$ ,
- (ii) one spin in the East boundary of  $z$  spends a time greater than  $(\log L)^{3d}$  in state 0 up to time  $t$ .

Notice that, if  $V \equiv \prod_{i=1}^d [z_i, z_i + 3C(\log L)^2]$ , then neither  $f^{(j)}$  nor  $f^{(j-1)}$  depend on the spins in  $\mathbb{Z}_{z, \uparrow}^d \setminus V$  (see Figure 4) and we can apply Lemma 5.4 to both  $(V, f^{(j-1)})$  and  $(V, f^{(j)})$ . Using the fact that  $\pi_V(f^{(j-1)}) = \pi_V(f^{(j)})$  we finally get that

$$|\mu_t^\eta(f^{(j-1)}) - \mu_t^\eta(f^{(j)})| \leq e^{-(\log L)^2} + C' e^{c(\log L)^{2d} - \lambda(\log L)^{3d}}.$$

In conclusion, for any  $L$  large enough

$$|\mu_t(f) - \pi(f)| \leq 2L^d e^{-(\log L)^2}$$

and the lemma follows. □

We are finally in a position to prove our main result.

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* — The lower bound is straightforward by choosing as initial condition the configuration without vacancies and using the finite speed of propagation of information (see e.g. [21, Section 4.4]) to prove that, with high probability, the process is not able to create vacancies near the vertex  $\hat{x} = (L, \dots, L)$  in a time  $t = \delta L$ , if  $\delta$  is small enough.

To prove the upper bound we proceed as follows. Using Lemma 5.3, uniformly in the initial condition, in a time  $t = O(L)$  the East-like chain will enter the good set  $\hat{\Omega}_\Lambda$  with probability e.g. greater than  $7/8$ . Using the Markov property and Lemma 5.5, in an additional time lag  $O((\log L)^{5d})$  the chain will reduce its variation distance from the target distribution  $\pi_\Lambda$  to less than  $1/8$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** — F. Martinelli is grateful to the Centre for Mathematics and Computer Science (CIMI) in Toulouse for their kind invitation to the workshop “Talking Across Fields” and for their financial support. We would also like to thank the organizers of the TAU-CECAM workshop on “Percolation and the Glass Transition” (Tel Aviv 2014) where part of these results were discussed. P.C. would like to acknowledge the support of the University of Warwick IAS through a Global Research Fellowship.

## Bibliography

- [1] ALDOUS (D.) and DIACONIS (P.). — Shuffling cards and stopping times, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 93, p. 333-348 (1986).
- [2] ALDOUS (D.) and DIACONIS (P.). — The asymmetric one-dimensional constrained Ising model: rigorous results, *J. Stat. Phys.* 107, no. 5-6, p. 945-975 (2002).
- [3] BHATNAGAR (N.), CAPUTO (P.), TETALI (P.), and VIGODA (E.). — Analysis of top-swap shuffling for genome rearrangements, *Ann. Appl. Probab.* 17, no. 4, p. 1424-1445 (2007).
- [4] BLONDEL (O.). — Front progression for the East model, *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 123, p. 3430-3465 (2013).
- [5] BLONDEL (O.), CANCRINI (N.), MARTINELLI (F.), ROBERTO (C.), and TONINELLI (C.). — Fredrickson-Andersen one spin facilitated model out of equilibrium, *Markov Process. Related Fields* 19, p. 383-406 (2013).
- [6] CHLEBOUN (P.) and MARTINELLI (F.). — Mixing time bounds for oriented kinetically constrained spin models, *Electron. Commun. Probab.* 18, no. 60, 9 (2013).
- [7] CANCRINI (N.), MARTINELLI (F.), ROBERTO (C.), and TONINELLI (C.). — Kinetically constrained spin models, *Probab. Theory Rel.* 140, no. 3-4, p. 459-504 (2008).
- [8] CANCRINI (N.), MARTINELLI (F.), SCHONMANN (R.), and TONINELLI (C.). — Facilitated oriented spin models: some non equilibrium results, *J. Stat. Phys.* 138, no. 6, p. 1109-1123 (2010).
- [9] CAPUTO (P.), LUBETZKY (E.), MARTINELLI (F.), SLY (A.), and F. TONINELLI (L.). — Dynamics of 2+1 dimensional SOS surfaces above a wall: slow mixing induced by entropic repulsion, *Annals of Probability* 42, no. 4, p. 1516-1589 (2012).

- [10] CHLEBOUN (P.), FAGGIONATO (A.), and MARTINELLI (F.). — Time scale separation and dynamic heterogeneity in the low temperature East model, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 328, p. 955-993 (2014).
- [11] CHLEBOUN (P.), FAGGIONATO (A.), and MARTINELLI (F.). — Time scale separation in the low temperature East model: rigorous results, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* 2013, no. 04, L04001 (2013).
- [12] CHLEBOUN (P.), FAGGIONATO (A.), and MARTINELLI (F.). — Relaxation to equilibrium of generalized East processes on  $Z^d$ : Renormalization group analysis and energy-entropy competition, *Annals of Probability*, to appear (2014).
- [13] CHLEBOUN (P.), FAGGIONATO (A.), and MARTINELLI (F.). — The influence of dimension on the relaxation process of East-like models, *European Physics Letters* 107, no. 3 (2014).
- [14] F. Chung, DIACONIS (P.), and GRAHAM (R.). — Combinatorics for the East model, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* 27, no. 1, p. 192-206 (2001).
- [15] DIACONIS (P.). — The cutoff phenomenon in finite Markov chains, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 93, no. 4, p. 1659-1664 (1996).
- [16] DIACONIS (P.) and SHAHSHAHANI (M.). — Generating a random permutation with random transpositions, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* 57, no. 2, p. 159-179 (1981).
- [17] FAGGIONATO (A.), MARTINELLI (F.), ROBERTO (C.), and TONINELLI (C.). — The East model: recent results and new progresses, *Markov Processes and Related Fields* 19, p. 407-458 (2013).
- [18] FAGGIONATO (A.), MARTINELLI (F.), ROBERTO (C.), and TONINELLI (C.). — Aging through hierarchical coalescence in the East model, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 309, p. 459-495 (2012).
- [19] FAGGIONATO (A.), MARTINELLI (F.), ROBERTO (C.), and TONINELLI (C.). — Universality in one dimensional hierarchical coalescence processes, *Ann. Probab.* 40, no. 4, p. 1377-1435 (2012).
- [20] FAGGIONATO (A.), ROBERTO (C.), and TONINELLI (C.). — Universality for one-dimensional hierarchical coalescence processes with double and triple merges, *Annals of Applied Probability* 24, no. 2, p. 476-525 (2014).
- [21] GANGULY (S.), LUBETZKY (E.), and MARTINELLI (F.). — Cutoff for the East process, *Comm. Math. Phys. Comm. Math. Phys.* 335, no. 3, p. 1287-1322 (2015).
- [22] ELMATAD (Y.S.), CHANDLER (D.), and GARRAHAN (J. P.). — Corresponding States of Structural Glass Formers, *J. Phys. Chem. B* 113, p. 5563-5567 (2009).
- [23] KEYS (A. S.), HEDGES (L.), GARRAHAN (J. P.), GLOTZER (S. C.), and CHANDLER (D.). — Excitations are localized and relaxation is hierarchical in glass-forming liquids, *Phys. Rev. X* 1, 021013 (2011).
- [24] GARRAHAN (J. P.) and CHANDLER (D.). — Coarse-grained microscopic model of glass formers, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, no. 17, p. 9710-9714 (2003).
- [25] GARRAHAN (J. P.), SOLLICH (P.), and TONINELLI (C.). — Kinetically constrained models, in *Dynamical heterogeneities in glasses, colloids, and granular media*, Oxford Univ. Press, Eds.: L. Berthier, G. Biroli, J-P Bouchaud, L. Cipelletti and W. van Saarloos (2011).
- [26] JÄCKLE (J.) and EISINGER (S.). — A hierarchically constrained kinetic Ising model, *Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter* 84, no. 1, p. 115-124 (1991).
- [27] HARRIS (T. E.). — Nearest-neighbor Markov interaction processes on multidimensional lattices, *Advances in Mathematics* 9, no. 1, p. 66-89 (1972).
- [28] KEYS (A. S.), GARRAHAN (J. P.), and CHANDLER (D.). — Calorimetric glass transition explained by hierarchical dynamic facilitation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, no. 12, p. 4482-4487 (2013).

- [29] LEVIN (D. A.), PERES (Y.), and WILMER (E. L.). — Markov chains and mixing times, American Mathematical Society (2008).
- [30] LIGGETT (T. M.). — Interacting particle systems, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 276, Springer-Verlag, New York (1985).
- [31] LIGGETT (T. M.). — Stochastic interacting systems: contact, voter and exclusion processes, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 324, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1999).
- [32] PERES (Y.) and SLY (A.). — Mixing of the upper triangular matrix walk, Probab. Theory Rel. 156, no. 3-4, p. 581-591 (2012).
- [33] RITORT (F.) and SOLLICH (P.). — Glassy dynamics of kinetically constrained models, Advances in Physics 52, no. 4, p. 219-342 (2003).
- [34] SALOFF-COSTE (L.). — Lectures on finite Markov chains (P. Bernard, ed.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1665, Springer Berlin Heidelberg (1997).
- [35] SOLLICH (P.) and EVANS (M. R.). — Glassy time-scale divergence and anomalous coarsening in a kinetically constrained spin chain, Phys. Rev. Lett 83, p. 3238-3241 (1999).
- [36] SOLLICH (P.) and EVANS (M. R.). — Glassy dynamics in the asymmetrically constrained kinetic Ising chain, Phys. Rev. E (2003), 031504.
- [37] TONINELLI (C.) and BIROLI (G.). — A new class of cellular automata with a discontinuous glass transition, J. Stat. Phys. 130, no. 1, p. 83-112 (2008).
- [38] VALIANT (P.). — Linear bounds on the North-East model and higher-dimensional analogs, Advances in Applied Mathematics 33, no. 1, p. 40-50 (2004).