

# ANNALES DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE TOULOUSE

ALEX BIJLSMA

## **A note on elliptic functions and approximation by algebraic numbers of bounded degree**

*Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse 5<sup>e</sup> série, tome 5, n° 1 (1983), p. 39-42*

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AFST\\_1983\\_5\\_5\\_1\\_39\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AFST_1983_5_5_1_39_0)

© Université Paul Sabatier, 1983, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse » (<http://picard.ups-tlse.fr/~annales/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
http://www.numdam.org/*

## A NOTE ON ELLIPTIC FUNCTIONS AND APPROXIMATION BY ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS OF BOUNDED DEGREE

Alex Bijlsma (1)

(1) Technische Hogeschool Eindhoven, Onderafdeling der Wiskunde en Informatica, Postbus 513,  
5600 MB Eindhoven - The Netherlands.

**Résumé :** Soit  $p$  une fonction elliptique de Weierstrass d'invariants  $g_2$  et  $g_3$  algébriques. Par un contre-exemple, on montre que pour l'obtention d'une minoration pour l'approximation simultanée de  $p(a)$ ,  $b$  et  $p(ab)$  par des nombres algébriques de degré borné, une hypothèse supplémentaire sur les nombres  $\beta$  qui approximent  $b$  est nécessaire.

**Summary :** Let  $p$  be a Weierstrass elliptic function with algebraic invariants  $g_2$  and  $g_3$ . By a counterexample it is shown that lower bounds for the simultaneous approximation of  $p(a)$ ,  $b$  and  $p(ab)$  by algebraic numbers of bounded degree cannot be given without an added hypothesis on the numbers  $\beta$  approximating  $b$ .

Let  $p$  be a Weierstrass elliptic function with algebraic invariants  $g_2$ ,  $g_3$ ; for  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $a$  and  $ab$  are not poles of  $p$ , we consider the simultaneous approximation of  $p(a)$ ,  $b$  and  $p(ab)$  by algebraic numbers. It was shown in [2], Theorem 2, that lower bounds for the approximation errors in terms of the heights and degrees of these algebraic numbers can only be given if the numbers  $\beta$  used to approximate  $b$  do not lie in the field  $\text{IK}$  of complex multiplication of  $p$ . (As this condition is equivalent to the algebraic independence of  $p(z)$  and  $p(\beta z)$  as functions of  $z$ , the result proves the conjecture on admissible sets in Appendix 2 of [3]).

Now consider simultaneous approximation of the same numbers by algebraic numbers of bounded degree. The sequences of algebraic numbers constructed in [2] have rapidly rising

degrees, so they do not provide a relevant counterexample. It is the purpose of this note to show how the original example should be modified for the new problem.

Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$  denote the period lattice of  $p$ , and  $\text{IF}$  the field  $\mathbb{Q}(g_2, g_3)$ . For every  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set of  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$  such that  $p(z)$  is algebraic of degree at most  $d$  is denoted by  $A_d$ . Let  $B$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that its closure  $\bar{B}$  is contained in the interior of the fundamental parallelogram  $[0,1]\omega_1 + [0,1]\omega_2$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *For every  $d \geq 2$ , the set  $A_d$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{C}$  be an arbitrary open set. Take  $a \in \mathcal{O} \setminus \Omega$  with  $p'(a) \neq 0$ . According to [1], Chapter 4, Theorem 11, Corollary 2, there exist open sets  $U, V$  with  $a \in U \subset \mathcal{O}, p(a) \in V$ , such that  $p$  induces a bijection from  $U$  onto  $V$ . As  $\{z \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \mid \deg z \leq d\}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{C}$ , we can find  $z \in V \cap \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $\deg z \leq d$ . For the unique  $u \in U$  with  $p(u) = z$ , we have  $u \in \mathcal{O} \cap A_d$ . ■

**LEMMA 2.** *Assume  $d \geq 2[\text{IF} : \mathbb{Q}]$ . Then, for every  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there exist sequences  $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty, (\beta_n)_{n=1}^\infty, (v_n)_{n=1}^\infty, (\epsilon_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ , such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the following statements are true :*

$$(1) \quad u_n \in A_d \cap B, \beta_n \in [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}, v_n \in A_d, v_n = \beta_n u_n, \epsilon_n \in ]0,1[ ;$$

$$(2) \quad \epsilon_{n+1} < \exp(-n|g(H_n)|), \text{ where } H_n := \max(H(p(u_n)), H(\beta_n), H(p(v_n))) ;$$

$$(3) \quad \epsilon_{n+1} < \epsilon_n^2, \epsilon_{n+1} < \frac{1}{4} \deg^{-4} \beta_n ;$$

$$(4) \quad 0 < |\beta_n - \beta_{n+1}| < \epsilon_{n+1}, |u_n - u_{n+1}| < \epsilon_{n+1} .$$

*Proof.* Take  $u_1 \in A_d \cap B$  (the existence of such an  $u_1$  follows from Lemma 1). Define  $v_1 := u_1$ ,  $\beta_1 := 1$ ,  $\epsilon_1 := \frac{1}{2}$ . Then (1) is true for  $n = 1$ . Now suppose  $u_1, \dots, u_N, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_N, v_1, \dots, v_N, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N$  have been chosen in such a way that (1) holds for  $n = 1, \dots, N$  and (2), (3), (4) hold for  $n = 1, \dots, N-1$ , and proceed by induction.

Choose  $\epsilon_{N+1} \in ]0,1[$  so small that (2) and (3) hold for  $n = N$ . Take  $r > \epsilon_{N+1}^{-1}$  and consider the function  $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $f(z) := rz$ . As  $f$  is a continuous bijection, there exists an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}$  with  $fU \subset B \cap B(u_N, \epsilon_{N+1})$ . Take  $w \in U$  such that  $p(w) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $\deg p(w) \leq 2$  (the existence of  $w$  again follows from Lemma 1). Define  $u_{N+1} := rw$ . By Lemma 6.1 of [4],  $p(u_{N+1}) \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  and

$$\deg p(u_{N+1}) \leq [\text{IF}(p(w)) : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 2[\text{IF} : \mathbb{Q}] \leq d,$$

so  $u_{N+1} \in A_d$ . Furthermore the definition of  $U$  gives  $u_{N+1} \in B$  and  $|u_N - u_{N+1}| < \epsilon_{N+1}$ . Take

$s \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 \leq s \leq r$  and  $0 < |\beta_N - \frac{s}{r}| < \epsilon_{N+1}$ ; define  $\beta_{N+1} := \frac{s}{r}$ ; then  $\beta_{N+1} \in [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$  and (4) holds for  $n = N$ . Define  $v_{N+1} := \beta_{N+1}$ ,  $u_{N+1} = sw$ ; then as above we find that  $v_{N+1} \in A_d$  and (1) holds for  $n = N + 1$ . ■

**THEOREM.** Assume  $d \geq 2$  [ $\text{IF} : \mathbb{Q}$ ]. Then, for every  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there exist  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{K}$ , such that  $a$  and  $ab$  are not poles of  $p$  and such that for every  $C \in \mathbb{R}$  there exist infinitely many tuples  $(u, \beta, v) \in \mathbb{C}^3$  satisfying  $u, v \in A_d$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$  and

$$\max(|p(a) - p(u)|, |b - \beta|, |p(ab) - p(v)|) < \exp(-Cg(H))$$

while  $\max(H(p(u)), H(\beta), H(p(v))) \leq H$ .

*Proof.* According to Lemma 3 of [2], the sequences  $(u_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $(\beta_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  constructed in Lemma 2 above are Cauchy sequences and their limits  $a, b$  satisfy

$$(5) \quad \max(|a - u_n|, |b - \beta_n|) \leq \epsilon_{n+1}^{1/2}$$

for almost all  $n$ . Thus  $a \in \overline{B}$  and therefore  $a$  cannot be a pole of  $p$ . Formula (4) implies the existence of arbitrarily large  $n$  for which  $\beta_n \neq b$ ; as by (3) and (5), every  $\beta_n$  is a convergent of the continued fraction expansion of  $b$  and  $\lim \beta_n = b$ , it follows that  $b$  has infinitely many convergents. Thus  $b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and therefore  $b \notin \mathbb{K}$ . On particular,  $b \neq 0$ ; hence  $ab$  cannot be a pole of  $p$  either.

By the continuity of  $p$  in  $ab$ , (5) implies

$$(6) \quad \max(|p(a) - p(u_n)|, |b - \beta_n|, |p(ab) - p(v_n)|) \leq c\epsilon_{n+1}^{1/2}$$

for almost all  $n$ , where  $c$  does not depend on  $n$ . In the notation of (2), the right hand member of (6) satisfies

$$c\epsilon_{n+1}^{1/2} < c \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} n |g(H_n)|\right) < \exp(-Cg(H_n))$$

if  $n$  is sufficiently large in terms of  $C$  and  $c$ . ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] L.V. AHLFORS. «*Complex analysis*». 2nd edition. Mac Graw-Hill Book Co., New-York, 1966.
- [2] A. BIJLSMA. «*An elliptic analogue of the Franklin-Schneider theorem*». Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse (5) 2 (1980), 101-116.
- [3] W.D. BROWNAWELL & D.W. MASSER. «*Multiplicity estimates for analytic functions*». I.J. Reine Angew. Math. 314 (1980), 200-216.
- [4] D.W. MASSER. «*Elliptic functions and transcendence*». Lecture Notes in Mathematics 437. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.

(Manuscrit reçu le 1er septembre 1981)