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# GLOBAL BEHAVIOUR AND SYMMETRY PROPERTIES OF SINGULAR SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS 

Laurent Véron ${ }^{\text {(1) }}$

(1) Département de Mathématiques, Faculté des Sciences, Parc de Grandmont, 37200 Tours.

Résumé : Nous étudions le comportement des solutions de $(E): \Delta u=g(u)$ dans un domaine extérieur $\Omega$, lorsque $g$ est une fonction croissante. Si $g$ ne s'annulle qu'en 0 et $u(x)=o(|x|),|x|^{N-2} u(x)$ admet une limite isotrope quand $x$ tend vers l'infini. Quand $g$ se comporte asymptotiquement comme une fonction puissance nous recherchons à quelle condition sur cette puissance toutes les solutions de $(E)$ dans $\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}$ sont à symétrie sphérique. Sous des hypothèses plus restrictives portant sur $g$ nous montrons l'unicité d'une solution de ( E ) avec une singularité donnée en 0 .

Summary : We investigate the behaviour of any solution of $(E): \Delta u=g(u)$ in some exterior domain $\Omega$, where $g$ is a nondecreasing function. If $g$ vanishes only at 0 and $u(x)=o(|x|),|x|^{N-2} u(x)$ admits an isotropic limit when $x$ tends to infinity. When $g$ has a power-like growth we study under what condition on that power all the solutions of $(E)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}$ are spherically symmetric. Under a more restrictive assumption on $g$ we prove the uniqueness of a solution of ( $E$ ) with a prescribed singularity at 0 .

## INTRODUCTION

This paper deals with the study of some local and global qualitative properties of any solution of the equation
(E)

$$
-\Delta u+g(u)=0
$$

in some exterior domain $\Omega$ of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, where $g$ is a nondecreasing function defined on $\mathbb{R}$. More precisely we shall investigate the three following problems
(I) What is the asymptotic behaviour of $u(x)$ when $x$ tends to infinity ?
(II) If we suppose that $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}$ and that $u$ is possibly singular at 0 , is $u$ spherically symmetric?

When any possibly singular solution of $(E)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}$ is uniquely determined?

As that type of equation appeared in the modelisation of many physical phenomena, it has been intensively studied in supposing first that $u$ is positive and radial and $g(u)=u^{q}$. For example the Thomas-Fermi theory of interaction among atoms leads, as a first approximation, to the following differential equation (see [12] , and [9])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{u}}{\mathrm{dr}^{2}}+\frac{2}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{du}}{\mathrm{dr}}-\mathrm{u}^{3 / 2}=0 \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The singularities and the asymptotic behaviour of any solution of (0.1) are now well known (see [12] and [9] ). Recently some new results concerning the asymptotic behaviour and the description of the isolated singularities of non positive solutions of $(E)$ when $g(u)=\left.i_{u}\right|^{q-1} u$ has been given in [14] and [16] . Those results where strongly linked to the existence of a very simple solutions of $(E)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}$ if $1<q<\frac{N}{N-2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{s}(x)=\left[\left(\frac{2}{q-1}\right)\left(\frac{2 q}{q-1}-N\right)\right]^{1 /(q-1)}|x|^{-2 /(q-1)} \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover when $1<\mathrm{q}<\frac{\mathrm{N}+1}{\mathrm{~N}-1}$ an infinite family of non-isotropic solutions of (E) was obtained under the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=|x|^{-2 /(q-1)} v\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right), \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ is any non constant solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{S} N-1 v+|v|^{q-1} v=\left(\frac{2}{q-1}\right)\left(\frac{2 q}{q-1}-N\right) v \text { on } S^{N-1} \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Delta_{S} \mathrm{~N}-1$ being the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}$.
However, as a physical law is just an approximation of a phenomena, it is natural to replace the exactitude of the definition of $g$ by a less restrictive assumption if we want to take into account some secondary effects, for example $g(r) \underset{r \rightarrow+\infty}{\sim} \mathrm{cr}^{q}(\mathrm{q}=3$ in the Relativistic ThomasFermi Theory). So we no longer have explicit solutions of the equation (E), but in using some of
the methods introduced in [5] and [16] we can give answers to the three problems
(I) Suppose g vanishes only at 0 and $\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{o}(|\mathrm{x}|)$ or g vanishes at 0 and $\lim \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x})=0$, then $|x|^{N-2} u(x)$ converges to some real number $\gamma$ as $x$ tends to infinity. $\quad|x| \rightarrow+\infty$
(II) Suppose g satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{|r| \rightarrow+\infty} i g(r)|/| r i(N+1) /(N-1)=+\infty \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g(r)-g(s))(r-s) \geqslant c|r-s|^{2 N} /(N-1)-d|r-s|^{2}, \text { for } c, d>0 \tag{0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or
$(0.7)\left\{\begin{array}{l}\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty}\left(g(r)-c r^{q}\right) r^{-(q-1)(N+1) / 2}=0, \text { for some } 1<q<\frac{N+1}{N-1}, \\ \lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} \sup (r) / r<+\infty \text { and } u \geqslant 0 ;\end{array}\right.$
then any solution $u$ of $(\mathrm{E})$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}$ is spherically symmetric.
(III) Suppose g vanishes only at 0 and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty}\left(g(r)-c r^{q}\right) r^{-(q-1) N / 2}=0, \text { for some } 1<q<\frac{N}{N-2} \tag{0.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then any solution $u$ of $(E)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}$ is uniquely determined by its isotropic singularity at 0 .
If we réplace $\Delta$ by $L=\sum_{i, j} a_{i j} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}$ a strongly elliptic operator with constant coefficient all our results remain true provided $|x|$ is replaced by some $\left(\sum_{i, j} \alpha_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}\right)^{1 / 2}$, the coefficients $\alpha_{\mathrm{ij}}$ being obtained after the diagonalisation of the matrix $\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{\mathrm{ij}}+{ }_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{aj}}\right)\right)$.

Results concerning symmetry and singularities of positive solutions of equations of type (E) when $g(r)=-r^{q}$ have been given in [7] and in [8]. For general $g$, symmetry of positive regular solutions vanishing for $|x|=R$ is also given in [8] .

The contents of our work is the following :

1. Behaviour at infinity.
2. Spherically symmetric solutions.
3. Uniqueness of solutions.

## 1. - BEHAVIOUR AT INFINITY

In this paragraph $\Omega$ is an exterior domain (that is $C \Omega$ is compact) of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geqslant 3$, and $g$ is a nondecreasing function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ and vanishing at 0 . The equation we consider is the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+g(u)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sake of simplicity we prefer to deal with $C^{2}$ solutions of (1.1) in $\Omega$, so we shall suppose that g is Holder continuous although our results remain true when g is discontinuous and u is a $C^{1}$ solution of (1.1) in $D^{\prime}(\Omega)$.

When $\int_{-1}^{1}(j(t))^{-1 / 2} d t<+\infty$, where $j(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s$, any solution of (1.1) vanishing in some weak sense at infinity has a compact support (see [1]).

When $g(u)=|u|^{q-1} u, q \geqslant 1$, the behaviour of any solution $u$ of (1.1) has been given by Veron in [14] :
(i) if $q=1,|x|^{(N-1) / 2} \exp (|x|) u(x)$ converges to some non isotropic limit,
(ii) if $1<\mathrm{q}<\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}-2}$ and $\mathrm{u} \geqslant 0,|\mathrm{x}|^{2 /(\mathrm{q}-1)} \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x})$ converges to 0 or

$$
\left(\left(\frac{2}{q-1}\right)\left(\frac{2 q}{q-1}-N\right)\right)^{1 /(q-1)}=\ell_{q, n},
$$

(iii) if $\frac{N+1}{N-1} \leqslant q<\frac{N}{N-2},|x|^{2 /(q-1)} u(x)$ converges to 0 or $\pm \ell_{q, N}$,
(iv) if $q=\frac{N}{N-2},|x|^{N-2}(\log |x|)^{(N-2) / 2} u(x)$ converges to 0 or $\pm\left(\frac{N-2}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{N-2}$
(v) if $q>\frac{N}{N-2},|x|^{N-2} u(x)$ converges to some arbitrary real number.

Moreover, when $\mathrm{q}>1$ and when u vanishes at infinity, the hypothesis on g can be weakened and replaced by $\lim g(r) / i r \mid q^{-1} r=c>0$.
$r \rightarrow 0$
Our main result which generalises strongly the last one of [14] is

THEOREM 1.1. Suppose u is a $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ solution of (1.1) in $\Omega$ and
(i) either $\lim \quad \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x}) /|\mathrm{x}|=0$ and g vanishes only at 0 , $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$
(ii) or $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} u(x)=0$.

Then $|\mathrm{x}|^{\mathrm{N}-2} \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x})$ converges to some real number when x tends to infinity.
We call ( $r, \sigma$ ) the spherical coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{N}=\mathbb{R}^{+} \times S^{N-1}$ and $\bar{u}(r)$ the average of $u(r, \sigma)$ on $S^{N-1}$ and we suppose that $\{x||x|>R\} \subset \Omega$. The following estimate is fundamental.

PROPOSITION 1.1. There exists a constant $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{N})$ such that if the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 are fulfilled the following estimate holds

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u(r, .)-\bar{u}(r)\| L^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right) \leqslant \ldots  \tag{1.2}\\
\leqslant C(N)\left(1+\frac{1}{\log \frac{r}{\rho}}\right)^{(N-1) / 2} \frac{r}{\rho}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{1-N}\|u(R, .)-\bar{u}(R)\|
\end{gather*} L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right) .
$$

for any $\mathrm{R} \leqslant \rho<\mathrm{r}$.
We first need the $L^{2}$ version of (1.2)

LEMMA 1.1. Suppose $u \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ is a solution of (1.1) such that $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} u(x) /|x|=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(r, .)-\bar{u}(r)\|_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)} \leqslant\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{1-N}\|u(R, .)-\bar{u}(R)\|{ }_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\mathrm{R} \leqslant \mathrm{r}$.

Proof. If $\Delta_{S} \mathrm{~N}^{-1}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}$, the function u satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{N-1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \Delta_{S} N-1 u=g(u) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $[R,+\infty) \times S^{N-1}$. In averaging (1.4) we obtain
(1.5) $\int_{S^{N-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r^{2}}(u-u)(u-u) d \sigma+\frac{N-1}{r} \int_{S^{N-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(u-u)(u-u) d \sigma-\frac{1}{r^{2}} \int_{S^{N-1}}-\Delta(u-u)(u-u) d \sigma \geqslant 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S^{N-1}}(\mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{u})-\overline{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{u}))}(\mathrm{u}-\overline{\mathrm{u}}) \mathrm{d} \sigma & =\int_{S^{N-1}}(\mathrm{~g}(\overline{\mathrm{u}})-\mathrm{g}(\overline{\mathrm{u}}))(\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{u}) \mathrm{d} \sigma+\int_{S^{N-1}}(\mathrm{~g}(\overline{\mathrm{u}})-\overline{\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{u}))}(\mathrm{u}-\overline{\mathrm{u}}) \mathrm{d} \sigma \ldots \\
\cdots & =\int(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{u})-\mathrm{g}(\overline{\mathrm{u}}))(\mathrm{u}-\overline{\mathrm{u}}) \mathrm{d} \sigma \geqslant 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover $\int_{S^{N-1}}-\Delta_{S} N-1(u-\bar{u})(u-\bar{u}) d \sigma \geqslant(N-1) \int_{S^{N-1}}(u-\bar{u})^{2} d \sigma$ as $\bar{u}$ is the projection of $u$
on the first eigenspace of $-\Delta_{S} N-1$ and $N-1$ is the second eigenvalue of $-\Delta_{S} N-1$ (see [3]), so we deduce
(1.6) $\int_{S^{N}-1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}(u-\bar{u})(u-\bar{u}) d \sigma+\frac{N-1}{r} \int_{S^{N}-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(u-\bar{u})(u-\bar{u}) d \sigma-\frac{N-1}{r^{2}} \int_{S^{N}-1}(u-\bar{u})^{2} d \sigma \geqslant 0$. We set $w(r)=\left(\int_{S^{N-1}}(u-\bar{u})^{2}(r, \sigma) d \sigma\right)^{1 / 2}$ and we have when $w \neq 0$ :

$$
w \frac{d w}{d r}=\int_{S} N-1 \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(u-\bar{u})(u-\bar{u}) d \sigma,\left|\frac{d w}{d r}\right| \leqslant\left(\int_{S N-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(u-\bar{u})\right)^{2} d \sigma\right)^{1 / 2} \text { and }
$$

$\int_{S} N-1 \frac{\partial}{\partial r^{2}}(u-\bar{u})(u-\bar{u}) d \sigma \leqslant w \frac{d^{2} w}{d r^{2}}$. If we set $\Gamma=\{r>R: w(r)>0\}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} w}{d r^{2}}+\frac{N-1}{r} \frac{d w}{d r}-\frac{N-1}{r^{2}} w \geqslant 0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\Gamma$. By the maximum principle $w$ cannot assume a strictly positive maximum value, so the set $\Gamma$ can only be of two types
(i) $\quad \Gamma=(R, T), T$ finite and $w(r)=0$ on $(T,+\infty)$,
(ii) $\quad \Gamma=(R, T) \cup\left(T^{\prime},+\infty\right)$, and $w(T)=w\left(T^{\prime}\right)=0$ if $T \cdot$ and $T^{\prime}$ are finite.

Let us consider now the following differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d r^{2}}+\frac{N-1}{r} \frac{d y}{d r}-\frac{N-1}{r^{2}} y=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

That equation admits two linearly independant solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}(r)=r \quad \text { and } \quad \phi_{2}(r)=r^{1-N} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we set $\psi_{\epsilon}(r)=\epsilon r+\|u(R,)-.\bar{u}(R)\|{ }_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)}\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{1-N}, \epsilon \geqslant 0$. As $\psi_{\epsilon}$ satisfies (1.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}\left(w-\psi_{\epsilon}\right)+\frac{N-1}{r} \frac{d}{d r}\left(w-\psi_{\epsilon}\right)-\frac{N-1}{r^{2}}\left(w-\psi_{\epsilon}\right) \geqslant 0 \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\Gamma$. If we are in the first case or in the second when $T<+\infty$, we take $\epsilon=0$ and we deduce by the maximum principle that $0 \leqslant w(r) \leqslant \psi_{0}(r)$ on $(R, T)$, which is (1.3). In the second case with
$\mathrm{T}=+\infty$, or on $\left(\mathrm{T}^{\prime},+\infty\right)$, we take $\epsilon>0$. As $\lim _{\mathrm{r} \rightarrow+\infty} \mathrm{w}(\mathrm{r}) / \mathrm{r}=0, \mathrm{w}-\psi_{\epsilon}$ is non positive at the end points of the interval, so $w-\psi_{\epsilon}$ remains non positive. Making $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we deduce $w \leqslant \psi_{0}$ which ends the proof.

Remark 1.1. In Lemma 1.1 we need not assume $\mathrm{g}(0)=0$ (see Theorem 2.1 for an application of this method).

$$
\text { We set } u^{+}=\operatorname{Max}(u, 0), u^{-}=\operatorname{Max}(-u, 0) \text { and we have }
$$

LEMMA 1.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& u^{+}(x) \leqslant\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)^{2-N}\left\|u^{+}(R, .)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right)},  \tag{1.11}\\
& u^{-}(x) \leqslant\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)^{2-N}\left\|u^{-}(R, .)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right)}, \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

for any x such that $|\mathrm{x}| \geqslant \mathrm{R}$.

Proof. Multiplying (1.4) by u and integrating over $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}} \int_{S^{N}-1} u^{2} d \sigma+\frac{N-1}{r} \frac{d}{d r} \int_{S^{N}-1} u^{2} d \sigma \geqslant 0 \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the maximum principle $r \mapsto \int_{S^{N-1}} u^{2}(r, \sigma) d \sigma$ is asymptotically monotone so there exists $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \cup\{+\infty\}$ such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty}\|u(r, .)\|_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)}^{2}=\gamma^{2}$ i $S^{N-1}$. From the estimate (1.3) and the continuity of $r \mapsto u(r)$, either $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \bar{u}(r)=\gamma$ or $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \bar{u}(r)=-\gamma$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r,)=$. $\lim \bar{u}(r)$ in $L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)$.
$r \rightarrow+\infty$
We first suppose that $\gamma=0$ (which is an hypothesis if $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} u(x)=0$ ) and set $p$ a convex function vanishing on $(-\infty, 0)$, increasing on $(0,+\infty)$ and such that $0 \leqslant p^{\prime} \leqslant 1$. We set $\theta^{+}(x)=\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)^{2-N}\left\|u^{+}(R, .)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right)} \cdot \theta^{+}$is a positive harmonic function and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \theta^{+}}{\mathrm{dr}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{N}-1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta^{+}}{\mathrm{dr}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}^{2}} \Delta_{\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}} \theta^{+} \leqslant \mathrm{g}\left(\theta^{+}\right) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{S^{N-1}} \Delta_{S^{N-1}}\left(\mathrm{u}-\theta^{+}\right) \mathrm{p}^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{u}-\theta^{+}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma \geqslant 0 \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}} p\left(u-\theta^{+}\right) \geqslant p^{\prime}\left(u-\theta^{+}\right) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial r^{2}}\left(u-\theta^{+}\right) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deduce from the monotonicity of $g$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{dr}^{2}} \int_{S^{N-1}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{u}-\theta^{+}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma+\frac{\mathrm{N}-1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dr}} \int_{\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}} \mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{u}-\theta^{+}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma \geqslant 0 \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\mathrm{r} \mapsto \int_{S^{N-1}} p\left(u(r, \sigma)-\theta^{+}(r)\right) d \sigma$ vanishes at $R$ and as $p\left(u-\Theta^{+}\right) \leqslant\left(u-\theta^{+}\right)^{+}$, we have :
$\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{S^{N-1}} p\left(u(r, \sigma)-\theta^{+}(r)\right) d \sigma=0$. By the maximum principle $\int_{S^{N-1}} p\left(u-\theta^{+}\right) d \sigma \leqslant 0$,
which is (1.11). In considering $\theta^{-}(x)=-\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)^{2-N}\left\|u^{-}(R, .)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right)}$, we obtain (1.12) in the same way.

We suppose now that $\gamma>0$ (so $g$ vanishes only at 0 ) and, for example, $\lim \bar{u}(r)=\gamma$. The function $\int_{S^{N-1}} p\left(\theta^{-}-\mathrm{u}\right) d \sigma$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}} \int_{S^{N}-1} p\left(\theta^{-}-u\right) d \sigma+\frac{N-1}{r} \frac{d}{d r} \int_{S^{N}-1} p\left(\theta^{-}-u\right) d \sigma \geqslant 0 \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

it vanishes at $R$ and as $p\left(\theta^{-}-u\right) \leqslant p\left(\theta^{-}-\bar{u}\right)+|\bar{u}-u|$, we deduce from (1.3) that $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{S^{N-1}} p\left(\theta^{-}(r)-u(r, \sigma)\right) d \sigma=0$. By the maximum principle we get (1.12) which implies that $u(x)$ is bounded below on $\{x||x| \geqslant R\}$.

As $g(r)=g^{+}(r)-g^{-}(r)$, we set $g_{N}^{+}(r)=\min \left(N, g^{+}\right), N>0$ and we have in averaging (1.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \bar{u}}{\mathrm{dr}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{N}-1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \overline{\mathrm{u}}}{\mathrm{dr}} \geqslant \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{N}}^{+}(\mathrm{u})-\mathrm{g}^{-}(\mathrm{u}) \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $\mathrm{g}^{-}(\mathrm{u})=\mathrm{g}^{-}\left(\mathrm{u}^{-}\right)$and $\lim _{\mathrm{r} \rightarrow+\infty} \mathrm{u}^{-}(\mathrm{r},)=$.0 in $\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)$. As $\mathrm{u}^{-}$is bounded below, $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \overline{\mathrm{g}^{-}\left(\mathrm{u}^{-}\right)}=0$. On the other hand, by Lebesgue's Theorem, $\lim _{\mathrm{r} \rightarrow+\infty} \overline{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}^{+}(\mathrm{u})}=\overline{\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{N}}^{+}(\gamma)}=$ $\left|\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right| \min (\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{g}(\gamma))=\alpha>0$. There exists $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}>\mathrm{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \bar{u}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{N-1}{r} \frac{d \bar{u}}{d r} \geqslant \frac{\alpha}{2} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

on ( $\mathrm{R}^{\prime},+\infty$ ). Integrating (1.20) twice yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(r) \geqslant \frac{\alpha}{2 N} r^{2}+c r^{2-N}+c^{\prime} \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

on ( $R^{\prime},+\infty$ ) which contradicts the fact that $\lim u(x) /|x|=0$. So $\gamma=0$ which ends the proof. $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$

LEMMA 1.3. Suppose g is a continuous nondecreasing function vanishing at 0 ; then for any $\rho>0$ and any real a there exists a unique function v twice continuously differentiable satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\frac{d^{2} v}{d^{2}}+\frac{N-1}{r} \frac{d v}{d r}= & g(v) \quad \text { on }(\rho,+\infty),  \tag{1.22}\\
v(\rho)=a, \quad & \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \sup ^{N}-2|v(r)|<+\infty
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Proof. Uniqueness : Consider the following change of variable and unknown

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\frac{r^{N}-2}{N-2}, \quad v(r)=r^{2-N} w(s) \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function w satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2} \frac{d^{2} w}{d s^{2}}=(N-2)^{\frac{4-N}{N-2}} \frac{N}{s^{N-2}} g\left(\frac{w}{s(N-2)}\right) . \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $\widetilde{W}$ is another solution of (1.24) with the same initial data, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}}|w-\tilde{w}| \geqslant 0 \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

so the function $s \mapsto|w-\widetilde{w}|(s)$ is nonnegative, convex, vanishes at $\frac{\rho^{N}-2}{N-2}$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{s}|w(s)-\widetilde{w}(s)|=0$, so it is identically zero.

Existerice: For any $\mathrm{T}>\rho$ set $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{T}}$ the solution of the following two points problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d^{2} v_{T}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{N-1}{r} \frac{d v_{T}}{d r}=g\left(v_{T}\right) \quad \text { on }(\rho, T) \\
v_{T}(\rho)=a, \quad v_{T}(T)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The function $v_{T}$ exists and is unique ; moreover $\left|v_{\top}\right|$ decreases. Thanks to the uniqueness of the solution of (1.26), the function $T \mapsto\left|v_{T}(r)\right|$ is nondecreasing for any $r>\rho$. As $\left|v_{T}(r)\right| \leqslant|a|$ and $g$ is continuous, we deduce in integrating (1.26) that $\frac{d v_{T}}{d r}$ and $\frac{d^{2} v_{T}}{d r^{2}}$ remain bounded on every
compact interval of $[\rho, \mathrm{T})$; so $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{r})$ converges uniformly on every compact interval to some $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ function v , as T tends to $+\infty$. Moreover $\left|\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{T}}\right|$ is majorized by the function $\psi$ defined on $[\rho,+\infty)$ by $\psi(r)=\left(\frac{\rho}{r}\right)^{N-2}$ | al (which satisfies (1.22) with $g \equiv 0$ ). So $r^{N-2} v(r)$ remains bounded on $[\rho,+\infty)$ and (1.22) is satisfied.

LEMMA 1.4. For any $\rho>0$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)$ there exists a unique function $\omega \in \mathrm{L}^{\infty}((\rho,+\infty)$; $\left.\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{C}^{0}\left([\rho,+\infty) ; \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)\right) \cap \mathrm{c}^{2}\left((\rho,+\infty) \times \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \omega}{\partial s^{2}}+\frac{1}{(\mathrm{~N}-2)^{2}} \Delta_{\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{N}-1}} \omega=0 \quad \text { on }(\rho,+\infty) \times \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{N}-1},  \tag{1.27}\\
\omega(\rho, .)=\alpha(.) \text { on } \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{N}-1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover there exists a constant $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{N})$ such that the following estimate holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega(\mathrm{s}, .)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)} \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left(1+\frac{1}{\log \frac{\mathrm{~s}}{\rho}}\right)^{(\mathrm{N}-1) / 2}\|\alpha(.)\|_{\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)} \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\mathrm{s}>\rho$.

Proof. For the uniqueness set $\tilde{\omega}$ a solution of (1.27) taking the value $\widetilde{\alpha}$ for $s=\rho$. We have : $s^{2} \int_{S^{N}-1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}}(\omega-\widetilde{\omega})(\omega-\widetilde{\omega}) \mathrm{d} \sigma \geqslant 0$. Hence $s \mapsto \int_{S^{N-1}}(\omega-\widetilde{\omega})^{2}(s, \sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma$ is a convex function. As it is bounded it is nonincreasing.

For the existence we set $\mathrm{t}=\log \mathrm{s}$ and $\phi(\mathrm{t}, \sigma)=\omega(\mathrm{s}, \sigma)$. The function $\phi$ satisfies on $(\log \rho,+\infty)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial t^{2}}-\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mathrm{t}}+\frac{1}{(\mathrm{~N}-2)^{2}} \Delta_{\mathrm{S}^{N-1}} \phi=0 \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(T(t))_{t \geqslant 0}$ is the semigroup of contractions of $\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)$ generated by $-\left(-\frac{1}{(N-2)^{2}} \Delta_{S^{N-1}}+\frac{1}{4} I\right)^{1 / 2}$, it is easy to check that $\exp ((t-\log \rho) / 2) T(t-\log \rho) \alpha$ satisfies the equation (1.29) with initial data $\alpha$ and is bounded ; so it is $\phi$.

Set $H_{0}$ the subspace of. $\mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)$ of constant functions and $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}\right)^{\perp}$. We have the following hilbertian direct sum : $\mathrm{L}_{-}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)=\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \oplus \mathrm{H}_{0}$, and both $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ are invariant under $(T(t)){ }_{t \geqslant 0}$.

$$
\text { As } \int_{S^{N-1}} u\left(\frac{u}{4}-\frac{1}{(N-2)^{2}} \Delta_{S^{N}-1} u\right) d \sigma \geqslant \frac{N^{2}}{4(N-2)^{2}} \int_{S^{N}} u^{2} d \sigma \text {, for any } u \in H^{\prime} \text {, }
$$

the restriction $T^{\prime}(t)$ of $T(t)$ to $H^{\prime}$ satisfies (see [4])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T^{\prime}(t) u\right\|_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)} \leqslant \exp (-t N /(2 N-4))\|u\|{ }_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)}, \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u \in H^{\prime}$ : Moreover we have the following regularizing effect (see [15])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathrm{T}^{\prime}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{u}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right)} \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left(1+\frac{1}{t}\right)^{(\mathrm{N}-1) / 2}\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)} \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{L}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)$ and any $\mathrm{t}>0$. In combining (1.30) and (1.31), and using the semigroup property, we have for any $u \in H^{\prime}$, any $t>0$ and any $\epsilon>0$ :
(1.32) $\left\|T^{\prime}(t) u\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right)} \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{1}{\epsilon t}\right)^{(N-1) / 2} \exp (-t(1-\epsilon) N /(2 N-4))\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)}$.

Now we write $\alpha=\alpha_{0}+\alpha^{\prime}$ with $\alpha_{0} \in \mathrm{H}_{0}$ and $\alpha^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$ (and in fact $\left.\alpha_{0}=\frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right|} \int \alpha(\sigma) \mathrm{d} \sigma\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{t}) \alpha=\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{t}) \alpha_{0}+\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{t}) \alpha^{\prime} ; \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

but $T(t) \alpha_{0}=\exp (-t / 2) \alpha_{0}$. In taking $\epsilon=\frac{2}{N}$ in (1.32) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{t}) \alpha\|_{\mathrm{L}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)} \leqslant \mathrm{C}\left(1+\frac{1}{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{(\mathrm{N}-1) / 2} \exp (-\mathrm{t} / 2) \cdot\|\alpha\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)} \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

In replacing $t$ by $\log s-\log \rho$, we obtain (1.28).

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Consider the change of variable and unknown

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}=\frac{\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{N}-2}}{\mathrm{~N}-2}, \quad \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{r}, \sigma)=\mathrm{r}^{2-\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{~s}, \sigma) . \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $v$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial s^{2}}+\frac{1}{(N-2)^{2}} \Delta_{s^{N}-1} v=(N-2)^{\frac{4-N}{N-2}} \frac{N}{s^{N-2}} g\left(\frac{v}{s(N-2)}\right), \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\left[\frac{\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{N}-2}}{\mathrm{~N}-2},+\infty\right) \times \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}$. Let y be the solution (from Lemma 1.3) of
(1.37) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}s^{2} \frac{d^{2} y}{d s^{2}}=(N-2)^{\frac{4-N}{N-2}} \frac{N}{s^{N-2}} g\left(\frac{y}{s(N-2)}\right) \quad \text { on }\left(\rho^{\prime},+\infty\right), \rho^{\prime}>R, \\ y\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)=a, y \text { bounded. }\end{array}\right.$

We set $w=v-y$ and we have for $s \geqslant \rho^{\prime}$
where $\quad h= \begin{cases}\left(g\left(\frac{v}{s(N-2)}\right)-g\left(\frac{y}{s(N-2)}\right)\right) /(v-y) & \text { if } v \neq y, \\ 0 & \text { if } v=y .\end{cases}$
The function $h$ is nonnegative as $g$ is nondecreasing. If $\omega^{+}$is the solution of (1.27) taking the value $\left(\mathrm{v}\left(\rho^{\prime}, .\right)-\mathrm{a}\right)^{+}$for $\mathrm{s}=\rho^{\prime}, \omega^{+}$is nonnegative and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \omega^{+}}{\partial s^{2}}+\frac{1}{(N-2)^{2}} \Delta_{s^{N-1}} \omega^{+} \leqslant(N-2)^{\frac{4-N}{N-2}} \frac{N}{s^{N-2}} h \omega^{+} \tag{1.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the nondecreasing convex function p as we have done it in Lemma 1.2, we get $\mathrm{s}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{d s^{2}} \int_{S^{N-1}} p\left(w-\omega^{+}\right)(s, \sigma) d \sigma \geqslant 0$; hence $w \leqslant \omega^{+}$. In the same way $w$ is minorized on $\left(\rho^{\prime},+\infty\right)$ by the solution $\omega^{-}$of (1.27) taking the value $-(v(\rho, .)-\mathrm{a})^{-}$for $s=\rho^{\prime}$. Combining those estimates with (1.28) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v(s, .)-y(s)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right)} \leqslant C\left(1+\frac{1}{\log \frac{s}{\rho^{\prime}}}\right)^{(N-1) / 2}\left\|v\left(\rho^{\prime}, .\right)-a\right\| L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right) \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In averaging (1.40) we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v(s, .)-\bar{v}(\mathrm{~s})\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right)} \leqslant 2 C\left(1+\frac{1}{\log \frac{s}{\rho^{\prime}}}\right)^{(N-1) / 2}\left\|v\left(\rho^{\prime}, .\right)-a\right\| L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right) \tag{1.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take now $\mathrm{a}=\overline{\mathrm{v}}\left(\rho^{\prime}\right), \mathrm{s}=\frac{\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{N} 2}}{\mathrm{~N}-2}, \rho^{\prime}=\frac{\rho^{\mathrm{N}-2}}{\mathrm{~N}-2}$ and apply (1.3) between R and $\rho$, we get (1.2).

Remark 1.2. We can deduce from Lemma 1.1 a first property of symmetry of the solutions of (1.1) : suppose g is a monotone nondecreasing function and u is a $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ solution of (1.1) satisfying
$\lim u(x) /|x|=0$. If $u$ is spherically symmetric on $\{x||x|=R\}$ then it remains spherically $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$
symmetric on $\{x||x|>R\}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. In Proposition 1.1 we take $r=2 \rho$ and make $r \rightarrow+\infty$. In taking the notations of the transformation (1.35) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty}\|v(s, .)-\bar{v}(s)\| L^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right)=0 \tag{1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\{\bar{v}(s)\}$ is bounded, there exists a sequence $s_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ such that $v\left(s_{n}\right)$ converges to some number c when $\mathrm{n} \rightarrow+\infty$.
$\frac{\text { If } C>0}{}$ (or $C<0$ in the same way) there exists some $n_{0}$ such that $v\left(s_{n},.\right)>\frac{C}{2}$ for $\mathrm{n} \geqslant \mathrm{n}_{0}$ (it is a consequence of (1.42)). If we apply the maximum principle to the function v in the spherical shell $\left(s_{n_{0}}, s_{n}\right) \times S^{N-1}$, we deduce that $v(s, \sigma) \geqslant 0$ in that shell and therefore in $\left(s_{n_{0}},+\infty\right) \times S^{N-1}$. In averaging (1.36) on $S^{N-1}$ we deduce $s^{2} \frac{d^{2} \bar{v}}{d s^{2}} \geqslant 0$ for $s \geqslant s_{n_{0}}$. Hence $v$ is convex and, as it is bounded, it converges when s goes to $+\infty$. The only admissible limit is $C$ and finally $\lim v(s,)=.C \operatorname{in} L^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right)$.

$$
s \rightarrow+\infty
$$

If $\mathrm{C}=0$ then $\lim _{\mathrm{s} \rightarrow+\infty}\|\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{s},).\|{ }_{L^{\infty}\left(S^{\mathrm{N}-1}\right)}=0$, otherwise there would exist a sequence $s_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\epsilon>0$ such that $\left\|v\left(s_{n}^{\prime},.\right)\right\|{ }_{L}^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right)>\epsilon$ for $s_{n}^{\prime} \geqslant s_{n_{0}}^{\prime}$ and there would exist a sequence $s_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ extracted from $s_{n}^{\prime}$ and a number $\lambda,|\lambda|>\frac{\epsilon}{2}$, such that $\lim _{s_{n}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow+\infty} \bar{v}\left(s_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\lambda$. Applying what have been done when $C \neq 0$, we would have $\lim \quad v(s,)=.\lambda$ in $L^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right)$, which contra$\operatorname{dicts} \lim _{s_{n} \rightarrow+\infty} \bar{v}\left(s_{n}\right)=0$.

## 2. - SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC SOLUTIONS

In this paragraph $g$ is a continuous nondecreasing function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ (not necessarily vanishing at 0 ) and we still consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+g(u)=0 ; \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

but the equation is taken in $D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}\right)$ and $u$ may have a singularity at 0 . The following result is fundamental and its proof is very similar to the one of Lemma 1.1 (comparison of $w$ with $\epsilon \phi_{1}+\epsilon^{\prime} \phi_{2}, \epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}>0$ ).

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose $u \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}\right)$ is a solution of (2.1) in $D^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{IR}^{N}-\{0\}\right)$ such that
i) $\quad \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{-1}\|u(r,)-.\bar{u}(r)\| L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)=0$,
ii) $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{N-1}\|u(r,)-.\bar{u}(r)\| L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)=0$,
where $(r, \sigma) \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \times S^{N-1}$ are the spherical coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\bar{u}(r)=\frac{1}{\left|S^{N-1}\right|} \int_{S^{N-1}} u(r, \sigma) d \sigma$,
then u is spherically symmetric.

The following «universal» estimate on $u$ when $g$ has an asymptotic growth corresponding to a power greater than 1 is originated in [5] .

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose g satisfies, for some $q>1$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} g(r) / r^{q}>0  \tag{2.2}\\
\\
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \sup _{r \rightarrow+\infty} g(r) /|r|^{q}<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{C}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}\right)$ is a solution of $(2.1)$ in $D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}\right)$; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x)| \leqslant C|x|^{-2 /(q-1)}+D \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathrm{x} \neq 0$, where C and D depend on g and N .

Proof. From the hypothesis (2.2) there exist two constants A and $\mathrm{B}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}g(r) \geqslant A r^{q}-B & \text { on } r>0  \tag{2.4}\\ g(r) \leqslant-A|r|^{q}+B & \text { on } r<0\end{cases}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+A u^{q} \leqslant B \quad \text { a.e. on }\{x \mid u(x)>0\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x_{0} \neq 0$ set $G=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},\left|x-\dot{x}_{0}\right|<\frac{1}{2}\left|x_{0}\right|\right\}$ and consider the function

$$
v(x)=\lambda\left(\frac{1}{4}\left|x_{0}\right|^{2}-\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}\right)^{-2 /(q-1)}+\mu
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are to be determined in order that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v+A v^{q} \geqslant B \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in G. For simplification set $v(r)=\lambda\left(R^{2}-r^{2}\right)^{-2 /(q-1)}+\mu$. We have in $G$

$$
-\Delta v+A v^{q} \geqslant \lambda\left(R^{2}-r^{2}\right)^{-2 q /(q-1)}\left(A \lambda^{q-1}-\frac{2 N R^{2}}{q-1}+\frac{2}{q-1}\left(N-2 \frac{q+1}{q-1}\right) r^{2}\right)+A \mu^{q}
$$

Set $\beta=\max \left(\frac{2 N}{q-1}, 4 \frac{q+1}{(q-1)^{2}}\right)$ and we take $\lambda=\left(\frac{\beta}{A}\right)^{1 /(q-1)} R^{2 /(q-1)}$ and $\mu=\left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{1 / q}$, so we get
(2.6).
By Kato's inequality (see [10]) we have as in [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(u-v)^{+} \geqslant \operatorname{sign}^{+}(u-v) \Delta(u-v) \geqslant 0 \quad \text { in } D^{\prime}(\mathrm{G}) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $D^{\prime}(\mathrm{G})$. Moreover $(u-v)^{+}$vanishes in some neighbourhood of $\partial \mathrm{G}$, so $(u-v)^{+} \equiv 0$ in $G$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x_{0}\right) \leqslant v\left(x_{0}\right)=\left(\frac{16 \beta}{A}\right)^{1 /(q-1)}\left|x_{0}\right|^{-2 /(q-1)}+\left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{1 / q}: \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way $u\left(x_{0}\right) \geqslant-v\left(x_{0}\right)$.

## From that result we get

## THEOREM 2.2. Suppose g satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \inf g(r) / r(N+1) /(N-1)=+\infty  \tag{2.9}\\
\lim _{r \rightarrow-\infty} \sup g(r) /|r|(N+1) /(N-1)=-\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{C}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}\right)$ satisfies $(2.1)$ in $D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}\right)$; then u is spherically symmetric.
Proof. From (2.9), for any $\mathrm{n}>0$, there exists $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \geqslant 0$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}g(r) \geqslant n r^{(N+1) /(N-1)}-B_{n} & \text { for } r \geqslant 0  \tag{2.10}\\ g(r) \leqslant-n|r|(N+1) /(N-1)+B_{n} & \text { for } r \leqslant 0\end{cases}
$$

From (2.8) we get $|u(x)| \leqslant\left(\frac{16 \beta}{n}\right)^{1 /(q-1)}|x|^{1-N}+\left(\frac{B_{n}}{n}\right)^{(N-1) /(N+1)}$, for $x \neq 0$, which implies $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{N-1}\|u(r,)-.\bar{u}(r)\| L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right) \leqslant 2\left(\frac{16 \beta}{n}\right)^{1 /(q-1)}$. Letting $n \rightarrow+\infty$ we obtain the condition ii) of Theorem 2.1 ; as for the condition i) it is an immediate consequence of (2.3).

When the rate of growth of $g$ at infinity is of order $\frac{N+1}{N-1}$, it is not enough to make a hypothesis on $g$ but we have to make it on $g$ ' and we get :

THEOREM 2.3. Suppose g satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g(r)-g(s))(r-s) \geqslant C|r-s|^{2 N /(N-1)}-D(r-s)^{2} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\mathrm{C}>0, \mathrm{D} \geqslant 0$ and all r and s real. If $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{C}^{2}\left(\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}\right)$ is a solution of (2.1) in $D^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}\right)$, then u is spherically symmetric.

We first need the following result

LEMMA 2.2. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(r, .)-\bar{u}(r)\|_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)} \leqslant \frac{r}{R}\|u(R, .)-\bar{u}(R)\|{ }_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<r \leqslant R$.

Proof. The function u satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{N-1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \Delta_{S} N-1 u=g(u) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $(0,+\infty) \times \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}$. We set $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{r}, \sigma)=\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{N}-1} \mathrm{u}(r, \sigma)$. From Lemma 2.1 y is bounded on every compact or $[0,+\infty) \times S^{N-1}$ and it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1-N}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial r}+\frac{N-1}{r^{2}} y+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \Delta_{S} N-1 \quad y=r^{N-1} g\left(r^{1-N} y\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\frac{r^{N}}{N}, \quad v(s, \sigma)=y(r, \sigma) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function v satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(N s)^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v}{\partial s^{2}}+(N-1) v+\Delta_{S^{N}-1} v=(N s)^{(N+1) / N_{g}\left((N s)^{(1-N) / N} v\right), ~} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $(0,+\infty) \times S^{\mathrm{N}-1}$. If $\overline{\mathrm{v}}$ is the average of v on $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{N}-1}$ we get, as in Lemma 1.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(N s)^{2} \int_{S^{N}-1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}}(v-\bar{v})(v-\bar{v}) d \sigma \geqslant 0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $s \mapsto\|v(s,)-.\bar{v}(s)\|{ }_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)}^{2}$ is convex. As it is bounded, it admits a limit when $s \rightarrow 0$. From (2.11) we get
(2.18) $(N s)^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}} \int_{S^{N-1}}(v-\bar{v})^{2} d \sigma \geqslant C \int_{S N-1}(v-\bar{v})^{2 N /(N-1)} d \sigma-D(N s)^{2 / N} \int_{S^{N-1}}(v-\bar{v})^{2} d \sigma$.

As $\int_{S^{N}-1}|v-\bar{v}|^{2 N /(N-1)} d \sigma \geqslant C^{\prime}\left(\int_{S^{N}-1}(v-\bar{v})^{2} d \sigma\right) N /(N-1)$, we see in integrating (2.18) twice that the only admissible limit for $\|v-\bar{v}\| L_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)}^{2}$ is 0 . From (2.17) we also deduce that the function $s \mapsto\|(v-\bar{v})(s,).\|{ }_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)}$ is convex (see the proof of Lemma 1.1). As it vanishes at 0 we get, for $0<s<\sigma$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v(s, .)-\bar{v}(s)\|_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)} \leqslant \frac{s}{\sigma}\|v(\sigma, .)-\bar{v}(\sigma)\| L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is (2.12).

Remark 2.1. The assumption of monotonicity on $g$ can be avoided for obtaining estimates of the type (2.12) : if we suppose that $g$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g(r)-g(s))(r-s) \geqslant C|r-s|^{q+1}-D(r-s)^{2} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C$ and $D>0, q \geqslant \frac{N+1}{N-1}$ and all $r$ and $s$ real, we first deduce from Lemma 2.1 the boundedness of $|x|^{2 /(q-1)} u(x)$ on every compact of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. With the change of variable of Lemma 2.2 of [16] we obtain the following estimate
(2.21) $\|u(r,)-.\bar{u}(r)\|{ }_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)}+d r^{\alpha} \leqslant\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^{2 q /(q-1)-N}\left(\|u(R,)-.\bar{u}(R)\|{ }_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)}+d R^{\alpha}\right)$ for $r<R$,
where d depends on D and $\alpha>0$. If we suppose moreover that g is differentiable and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g^{\prime}(r)\right| \leqslant C^{\prime}|r|^{q-1}+D^{\prime} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{D}^{\prime}>0$ and all $r$, then we can obtain as in the Appendix of [16]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0}\|u(r, .)-\bar{u}(r)\|_{L^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right)}=0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a relation can be used for proving that the isolated singularities of the solutions of (2.1) are radial.

Proof of the Theorem 2.3. From Lemma 1.1, we have for any $\rho<\mathrm{r}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(r, .)-\bar{u}(r)\|{ }_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)} \leqslant\left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{1-N}\|u(\rho, .)-\bar{u}(\rho)\|_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from the Lemma 2.2, $\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0}\|u(\rho,)-.\bar{u}(\rho)\| L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)=0$, which implies $\|u(r, .)-\bar{u}(r)\|_{L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)}=0$ for all $r>0$ and ends the proof. When $1<\mathrm{q}<\frac{\mathrm{N}+1}{\mathrm{~N}-1}$ there exist non spherically symmetric solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+|u|^{q-1} u=0 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}$. For example if v is a non constant solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{S} N-1 v+|v|^{q-1} v=\left(\frac{2}{q-1}\right)\left(\frac{2 q}{q-1}-N\right) v \quad \text { on } S^{N-1} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

(such a solution exists as $\left(\frac{2}{q-1}\right)\left(\frac{2 q}{q-1}-N\right)>N-1$ which is the second eigenvalue of $\left.-\Delta_{S} N-1\right)$ then $x \mapsto|x|^{-2 /(q-1)} v\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)$ is a non isotropic solution of (2.25). However such a solution cannot keep a constant sign, so we shall restrict ourself to positive solutions of (2.1). Our first result is an extension of Theorem 1.1 of [16].

PROPOSITION 2.1. Suppose g satisfies
i) $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} g(r) / r^{q}=c$,
ii) $\quad \lim _{r \rightarrow 0^{+}} g(r) / r<+\infty$,
for some $\mathrm{c}>0$ and $1<\mathrm{q}<\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}-2}$ and $\Omega$ is an open subset of $\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{N}}$ containing 0 . If $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{C}^{2}(\Omega-0)$ is a non negative solution of $(2.1)$ in $D^{\prime}(\Omega-0)$ then we have the following alternative

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i) either } \lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{2 /(q-1)} u(x)=\left(\left(\frac{2}{c(q-1)}\right)\left(\frac{2 q}{q-1}-N\right)\right)^{1 /(q-1)} \text {, } \\
& \text { ii) or } \lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{N-2} u(x)=\gamma \text { for some } \gamma \geqslant 0 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We shall just sketch it as it is not far from the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [16] (at least in its first part). Moreover we need not suppose that $g$ is nondecreasing. The two assertions are distinct according $|x|^{N-2} u(x)$ is bounded or not near 0 .

Part $1:|x|^{N-2} u(x)$ is bounded in some neighbourhood of 0 (and we can even suppose that $u$ has not a constant sign if $|g(r)| /|r| q$ is bounded when $r \rightarrow-\infty)$. We make the change of variable (1.35) of Proposition 1.1 and we deduce from Lemma 6.4 of [16] that $\lim r^{N-2} \| u(r,)-$. $\bar{u}(r) \|_{L^{\infty}\left(S^{N-1}\right)}=0$. We end the proof as in Theorem 1.1 of [16]. $r \rightarrow 0$

Part 2 : $|x|^{N-2} u(x)$ is unbounded near 0 . If we write (2.1) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+\frac{g(u)}{u} u=0 \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deduce from (2.27) and Lemma 2.1 that $\frac{g(u)}{u} \leqslant C|x|^{-2}+D$. Using Trudinger's estimates in Harnack inequalities as in the Lemma 1.4 of [16] , we deduce that $\lim ^{4}|x|^{N-2} u(x)=+\infty$.

$$
x \rightarrow 0
$$

For any $c^{\prime}>c$ there exists $\rho>0$ such that $g(u(x)) \leqslant c^{\prime}(u(x))^{q}$ on $\{x||x|<\rho\}$, so $-\Delta u+c^{\prime} u^{q} \geqslant 0$ on such a shell. For any $\alpha>0$ set $v_{\alpha}$ the solution of

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta v_{\alpha}+c^{\prime} v_{\alpha}^{q}=0 & \text { for } 0<|x|<\rho  \tag{2.29}\\ \lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{N-2} v_{\alpha}(x)=\alpha, \quad v_{\alpha}(x)=\min _{|x|=\rho} u(x) \text { for }|x|=\rho\end{cases}
$$

Such a solution exists (see Lemma 1.6 of [16] ). Moreover, from the maximum principle, $v_{\alpha}(x) \leqslant u(x)$ for any $x$ with $0<|x|<\rho$. When $\alpha$ goes to $+\infty, v_{\alpha}(x)$ increases and converges to $v_{\infty}(x)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{2 /(q-1)_{v_{\infty}}(x)=\left(\left(\frac{2}{c^{\prime}(q-1)}\right)\left(\frac{2 q}{q-1}-N\right)\right)^{1 /(q-1)}, ., \text {. }, ~} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

from [16] . If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell=\left(\left(\frac{2}{c(q-1)}\right)\left(\frac{2 q}{q-1}-N\right)\right)^{1 /(q-1)} \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and make $c^{\prime} \downarrow c$, we deduce $\lim \inf |x|^{2 /(q-1)} u(x) \geqslant \ell$. Now suppose $\lim \sup |x|^{2 /(q-1)} u(x)>\ell$.

$$
x \rightarrow 0 \quad x \rightarrow 0
$$

There exist a sequence $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\ell^{\prime}>\ell$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left|x_{n}\right| 2 /(q-1)_{u}\left(x_{n}\right)=\ell^{\prime}$. Set $v_{n}(x)=\left|x_{n}\right|^{2 /(q-1)} u\left(\left|x_{n}\right| x\right) ; v_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v_{n}(x)+\left|x_{n}\right|^{2 q /(q-1)} g\left(\left|x_{n}\right|^{-2 /(q-1)} v_{n}(x)\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By compactness there exists a subsequence $n_{k}$ and a function $v$ such that $v_{n_{k}}(x)$ converges to $v(x)$ uniformly on every compact of $\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}$, and $v$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta v+\mathrm{cv}^{\mathrm{q}}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 1.4 of [16] there exist two constants $K>0$ and $\tau>0$ such that the following inequality holds for any $R>0$ and any $0<|x|<R /$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x) \leqslant \ell|x|^{-2 /(q-1)}\left(1+K\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right)^{\tau}\right) \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making $R \rightarrow+\infty$ we deduce $v(x) \leqslant \ell|x|^{-2(q-1)}$ for $x \neq 0$. For any $\epsilon>0$ there exists $n_{k_{0}}$ such that for $n_{k} \geqslant n_{k_{0}}$ and $|x|=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{n_{k}}\right|^{2 /(q-1)} u\left(\left|x_{n_{k}}\right| x\right)-v(x)<\epsilon \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take $x=\frac{x_{n}}{\left|x_{n}\right|}$ and make $n_{k} \rightarrow+\infty$ we deduce $\ell^{\prime}-\ell<\epsilon$ which contradicts $\ell^{\prime}>\ell$; so $\ell=\ell^{\prime}=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{2 /(q-1)} u(x)$.

THEOREM 2.4. Suppose g is defined on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$and satisfies for some $\mathrm{c}>0$ and some $1<\mathrm{q}<\frac{\mathrm{N}+1}{\mathrm{~N}-1}$

$$
\begin{cases}\text { i) } \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty}\left(g(r)-c r^{q}\right) r^{-(N+1)(q-1) / 2}=0  \tag{2.36}\\ & \\ \text { ii) } \quad \underset{r \rightarrow 0^{+}}{\lim \sup } g(r) / r<+\infty\end{cases}
$$

If $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{C}^{2}\left(\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}\right)$ is a non negative solution of (2.1) in $D^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}\right)$, it is spherically symmetric.

Before proving that result we introduce the generalised Sommerfeld exponant $\tau$ (see
[12] and [16]) which is the positive root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}-\left(2 \frac{q+1}{q-1}-N\right) x-2\left(\frac{2 q}{q-1}-N\right)=0 \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following result which will also be used in Section 3,
PROPOSITION 2.2. Suppose $q$ and $p$ are two real numbers such that $1<q<\frac{N}{N-2}, 0<p<\frac{q-1}{2} \tau$ and g is defined on $\mathrm{R}^{+}$and satisfies for some $c>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty}\left(g(r)-c r^{q}\right) r^{p-q}=0 \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}\right)$ is a positive solution of (2.1) in $D^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{IR}^{N}-\{0\}\right)$ satisfying $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{2 /(q-1)} u(x)=\ell$ (defined in (2.31), then for any $\epsilon>0$ there exist $\rho>0$ and $k \geqslant 0$ $x \rightarrow 0$
such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\ell-|x|^{2 /(q-1)} u(x)\right| \leqslant \epsilon|x|^{2 p /(q-1)}+k|x|^{2 /(q-1)} \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $0<|x|<\rho$.

Proof. First we shall prove that for any $\epsilon>0$, there exist $\rho>0$ and $k \geqslant 0$ such that the following inequality holds for any $0<|x|<\rho$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x) \leqslant \ell|x|^{-2 /(q-1)}\left(1+\epsilon / \ell|x|^{2 p /(q-1)}\right)+k \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 1. We set $\psi(x)=\ell|x|^{-2 /(q-1)}$ and we define as in the Proposition A. 4 of [5] $\phi(x)=\operatorname{Max}(\psi(x), u(x))$. From Kato's inequality we get

$$
\Delta \phi=\Delta \frac{1}{2}(\psi+\mathrm{u}+|\psi-\mathrm{u}|) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}(\Delta \psi+\Delta \mathrm{u})+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sign}(\psi-\mathrm{u}) \Delta(\psi-\mathrm{u}) .
$$

As $\Delta \psi=\mathrm{c} \psi^{\mathrm{q}}$, we get $\Delta \phi \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{c} \psi^{q^{q}}+\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{u})+\operatorname{sign}(\psi-\mathrm{u})\left(\mathrm{c} \psi^{q_{-}}-\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{u})\right)\right.$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \phi \geqslant \operatorname{Min}\left(c \phi^{q}, \mathrm{~g}(\phi)\right) . \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover there exists $\mathrm{D}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}(\phi(\mathrm{x})) \geqslant \mathrm{c}(\phi(\mathrm{x}))^{q}-\mathrm{D}(\phi(\mathrm{x}))^{q-p} \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<|x|<1$.

Step 2. Set $w(r, \sigma)=r^{2 /(q-1)} \phi(r, \sigma)$ and $\bar{w}(r)$ its average on $S^{\mathrm{N}-1}$. We have $w(r, \sigma) \geqslant \ell$ and
(2.43) $\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r}\left(N-\frac{q+3}{q-1}\right) \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \Delta_{S} N-1 w \geqslant \frac{c}{r^{2}}\left(w^{q-1}-\ell^{q-1}\right)-D r^{2 p /(q-1)-2} w^{q-p}$.

As $w$ is bounded on $\{x|0<|x|<1\}$ we deduce in averaging (2.43) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \bar{w}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r}\left(N-\frac{q+3}{q-1}\right) \frac{d w}{d r} \geqslant-D_{1} r^{2 p /(q-1)-2} \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<r<1, D_{1}$ being a constant. Now we set $s=\frac{r^{2(q+1) /(q-1)-N}}{2(q+1) /(q-1) N}$ and $\bar{v}(s)=\bar{w}(r)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{ds}^{2}}+\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{~s}^{\theta-2} \geqslant 0 \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\left\{s i 0<s<\frac{q-1}{2(q+1)-N(q-1)}\right\}$ where $D_{2}$ is non negative and $\theta=\frac{2 p}{2(q+1)-N(q-1)}$. Hence the function $s \mapsto \bar{v}(\mathrm{~s})+\frac{\mathrm{D}_{2}}{\theta(\theta-1)} \mathrm{s}^{\theta}$ is convex (or $\mathrm{s} \mapsto \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{D}_{2}(\mathrm{~s} \log \mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{s}$ ) if $\theta=1$ ) which implies that $\bar{v}(s) \leqslant \bar{v}(0)+s D_{3}$, where $D_{3}$ depends on $\theta, q, N$ and $\bar{u}(1)$; so there exists a constant $A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(r) \leqslant \ell r^{-2 /(q-1)}+A r^{2 q /(q-1)-N} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<r<1$. Moreover that relation is true for any $0<p$.

Step 3. We set $\omega(\mathrm{r})=\ell \mathrm{r}^{-2 /\left(\mathrm{q}^{-1}\right)}\left(1+\epsilon / \ell \mathrm{r}^{2 \mathrm{p} /\left(\mathrm{q}^{-1)}\right)}\right.$ and we claim that we can find $\sigma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta \omega+g(\omega) \geqslant 0 \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\left\{x|0<|x|<\sigma\}\right.$. For a given $\delta>0$, there exists $\sigma^{\prime}>0$ such that $g(\omega(r)) \geqslant c(\omega(r))^{q}-$ $\delta(\omega(\mathrm{r}))^{q-\mathrm{p}}$ for $0<r<\sigma^{\prime}$. We get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{d \omega}{d r}=-\frac{2}{q-1} l r^{-(q+1) /(q-1)}+\epsilon 2 \frac{p-1}{q-1} r^{(2 p-q-1) /(q-1)}, \\
\frac{d^{2} \omega}{d r^{2}}=\frac{2(q+1)}{(q-1)^{2}} \ell r^{-2 q /(q-1)}+\epsilon \frac{2(p-1)(2 p-q-1)}{(q-1)^{2}} r^{2(p-q) /(q-1)}, \\
c \omega^{q}=c \ell^{q} r^{-2 q /(q-1)}\left(1+\epsilon / l r^{2 p /(q-1)}\right)^{q} \geqslant c l^{q} r^{-2 q /(q-1)}\left(1+q \epsilon / l r^{2 p /(q-1)}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\delta \omega^{q-p}=\delta \ell^{q-p}\left(1+\epsilon / \ell r^{2 p /(q-1)}\right)^{q-p} r^{2(p-q) /(q-1)}
$$

So we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta \omega & +c \omega^{q}-\delta \omega^{q-p} \geqslant-\left(\epsilon \frac{2(p-1)(2 p-q-1)}{(q-1)^{2}}+2(N-1) \epsilon \frac{p-1}{q-1}\right) r^{2(p-q) /(q-1) \ldots} \\
& +c \epsilon \ell^{q-1} q r^{2(p-q) /(q-1)}-\delta \ell^{q-p}\left(1+\epsilon / \ell r^{2 p /(q-1)}\right)^{q-p} r^{2(p-q) /(q-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

And the right hand side of that inequality can be written as

$$
\left\{\epsilon\left[2\left(\frac{2 q}{q-1}-N\right)+\left(2 \frac{q+1}{q-1}-N\right)\left(\frac{2 p}{q-1}\right)-\left(\frac{2 p}{q-1}\right)^{2}\right]-\delta \ell^{q-p}\left(1+\epsilon / l r^{2 p /(q-1)}\right)^{q-p}\right\} r^{2(p-q /(q-1)}
$$

and, as $0<\frac{2 p}{q-1}<\tau$, the coefficient of $\epsilon$ is positive. So we first choose $\sigma_{1}$ such that $\left(1+\epsilon / \ell r^{2 p /(q-1)}\right)^{q-p} \leqslant 2$ for $0 \leqslant r \leqslant \sigma_{1}$. We then choose $\delta$ such that

$$
2 \delta \ell^{q-p}<\epsilon\left[2\left(\frac{2 q}{q-1}-N\right)+\left(2 \frac{q+1}{q-1}-N\right)\left(\frac{2 p}{q-1}\right)-\left(\frac{2 p}{q-1}\right)^{2}\right]
$$

and then we take $\sigma=\min \left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$, which implies (2.47).

Step 4. We follow now the end of the proof of Proposition A. 4 of [5]. Set $\mathrm{k}=\operatorname{Max} \phi(\mathrm{x})$. As g is nondecreasing we have $|x|=\sigma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta(\omega+\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{g}(\omega+\mathrm{k}) \geqslant 0 \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\left\{x|0<|x|<\sigma\}\right.$. Let $\xi_{n}$ be a sequence of smooth functions such that

$$
\xi_{n}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \text { for }|x| \geqslant \frac{1}{n} \\
& , 0 \leqslant \xi_{n} \leqslant 1,\left|\Delta \xi_{n}\right| \leqslant K n^{2} \\
0 & \text { for }|x| \leqslant \frac{1}{2 n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\theta$ be a smooth nondecreasing function vanishing on $(-\infty, o]$, strictly positive on $(0,+\infty)$ and such that $\theta=1$ on $[1,+\infty)$ and set $\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{t})=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \theta(\mathrm{s})$ ds. We have from Steps 1 and 3 , in setting
$\Omega=\{\mathrm{x}|0<|\mathrm{x}|<\sigma\}$, $\Omega=\{x|0<|x|<\sigma\}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{\Omega} \nabla(u-\omega-k) \cdot \nabla \xi_{n} \theta(u-\omega-k) \mathrm{dx}+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla(u-\omega-k)|^{2} \xi_{n} \theta^{\prime}(u-\omega-k) \mathrm{dx} \ldots  \tag{2.49}\\
\ldots+\int_{\Omega}(\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{u})-\mathrm{g}(\omega+\mathrm{k})) \xi_{\mathrm{n}} \theta(\mathrm{u}-\omega-\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{dx} \leqslant 0
\end{gather*}
$$

As $\nabla(u-\omega-k) \theta(u-\omega-k)=\nabla j(u-\omega-k)$, so we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}|\nabla(u-\omega-k)|^{2} \xi_{n} \theta^{\prime}(u-\omega-k) d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(g(u)-g(\omega+k) \xi_{n} \theta^{\prime}(u-\omega-k) d x \leqslant \ldots\right. \\
& \cdots \int_{\Omega} j(u-\omega-k) \Delta \xi_{n} d x \leqslant K n^{2} \int_{\frac{1}{2 n}} \leqslant|x| \leqslant \frac{1}{n} j(u-\omega-k) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

But $j(u-\omega-k) \leqslant j(u-\omega) \leqslant j\left(\phi-\ell|x|^{-2 /(q-1)}\right) \leqslant \phi-\ell|x|^{-2 /(q-1)}$ and from Step 2, $0 \leqslant \phi(r)-\ell r^{-2 /(q-1)} \leqslant A r^{2 q /(q-1)-N}$ for $0<r<1$.

So we get : $K n^{2} \int_{\frac{1}{2 n} \leqslant|x| \leqslant \frac{1}{n}} j(u-\omega-k) d x \leqslant K A \frac{q+1}{2 q} n^{-2 /(q-1)}$. As $n \rightarrow+\infty$ we get by Fatou's

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla(u-\omega-k)|^{2} \theta^{\prime}(u-\omega-k) d x+\int_{\Omega}(g(u)-g(\omega+k)) \theta^{\prime}(u-\omega-k) d x \leqslant 0 \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that both terms are 0 . If we make $\theta(r) \rightarrow r^{+}$we deduce that $\nabla(u-\omega-k)^{+}=0$ a.e. But $(u-\omega-k)^{+}$vanishes on $\partial \Omega$ so it is identically 0 and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x) \leqslant \ell|x|^{-2 /(q-1)}\left(1+\epsilon / \ell|x|^{2 p /(q-1)}\right)+k \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<|\mathbf{x}|<\sigma$, which is (2.40).

For proving the reverse inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x) \geqslant \ell|x|^{-2 /(q-1)}\left(1-\epsilon / \ell|x|^{2 p /(q-1)}\right)-k \tag{2.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

we do the same in introducing $\phi_{1}(x)=\operatorname{Min}(\psi(x), u(x))$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \phi_{1} \leqslant \operatorname{Mas}\left(c \phi_{1}^{q}, \mathrm{~g}\left(\phi_{1}\right)\right) \tag{2.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the same change of variable we obtain by concavity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}(r) \geqslant \ell r^{-2 /(q-1)}-A r^{2 q /(q-1)-N} \tag{2.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $0<r<1$. We then construct a subsolution $\omega_{1}(r)=\ell r^{-2 /(q-1)}\left(1-\epsilon / \ell r^{2 p(q 1)}\right)$ for the equation (2.1) (the only slight change being in the estimation of $\left(\omega_{1}(r)\right)^{q}$ where we have : $\left(\omega_{1}(r)\right)^{q} \leqslant \ell^{q} r^{-2 q /(q-1)}\left(1-q^{\prime} \epsilon / \ell r^{2 p /(q-1)}\right)$ where $1<q^{\prime}<q$ but $q-q^{\prime}$ can be as small as we want in restricting $r$ ). We end the proof as in the Step 4.

Proof of the Theorem 2.4. From (2.36) and Lemma 2.1 any solution of (2.1) is bounded at infinity. So, if $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{N-2} u(x)=\gamma$, we deduce from Theorem 2.1 that $u$ is spherically symmetric. Now suppose that $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{2 /(q-1)} u(x)=\ell$. We have $\frac{2 p}{q-1}=\frac{2 q}{q-1}-(N+1)>0$, and $\left(\frac{q+1}{q-1}-N\right)^{2}-\left(2 \frac{q+1}{q-1}-N\right)\left(\frac{q+1}{q-1}-N\right)-2\left(\frac{2 q}{q-1}-N\right)<0$, so we have (2.39) and $r^{2 /(q-1)}\|u(r,)-.\bar{u}(r)\| L_{\left(S^{\infty}-1\right)} \leqslant 2 \epsilon^{(q+1) /(q-1)-N}+2 k r^{2 /(q-1)}$, for $0<r<\rho$. So we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\limsup _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{N-1}\|u(r, .)-\bar{u}(r)\| L_{\left(S^{\infty}-1\right.}\right) \leqslant 2 \epsilon \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $\left.\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{N-1}\|u(r,)-.\bar{u}(r)\| L_{\left(S^{\infty}\right.}^{N-1}\right)=0$ and then we conclude with Theorem 2.1.

Remark 2.2. The following nonlinear Liouville-Hadamard type result is a consequence of Theorem 2.1 : $a \mathrm{C}^{2}$ solution u of (2.1) in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{N}}$ such that $\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{o}(|\mathrm{x}|) \quad(|\mathrm{x}| \rightarrow \infty)$ is a constant.

## 3. - UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS

In that part we shall still suppose that $g$ is a continuous nondecreasing function defined on IR (Holder continuous as we want to deal with strong solutions) and we consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+g(u)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

taken into $D^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{IR}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}\right)$ and we investigate under what assumption on g is a (possibly singular) solution of (3.1) uniquely determined. If $u$ is a solution of (3.1) and $\theta \in 0(n), u \circ \theta$ is also a solution of (3.1) ; so if $u$ is uniquely determined, $u$ must be spherically symmetric. The following easy-to-prove result is the key-stone of this section.

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ belonging to $C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}\right)$ are two solutions of (3.1) in $D^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}\right)$. If they satisfy
(3.2) $\begin{cases}\text { i) } & \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{N-2}\left\|u_{1}(r, .)-u_{2}(r, .)\right\| L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)=0, \\ \text { ii) } \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|u_{1}(r, .)-u_{2}(r, .)\right\| L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)=0,\end{cases}$
then $\mathrm{u}_{1}=\mathrm{u}_{2}$.

Proof. We make the change of variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}=\frac{\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{N}-2}}{\mathrm{~N}-2}, \quad \mathrm{u}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathrm{r}, \sigma)=\mathrm{r}^{2-\mathrm{N}_{v_{i}}(\mathrm{r}, \sigma), \quad i=1,2 . . . . .} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $v_{i}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} v_{i}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{1}{(N-2)^{2}} \Delta_{S^{N}-1} v_{i}=(N-2)^{(4-N) /(N-2)} N /(N-2) g\left(\frac{v_{i}}{s(N-2)}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $(0,+\infty) \times S^{N-1}$. If we set $w=v_{1}-v_{2}$, then we get : $s^{2} \int_{S}^{N-1}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s} \omega\right) \omega d \sigma \geqslant 0$ which implies that he function $s \mapsto\|w(s,).\| L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)$ is convex. As it vanishes at 0 and satisfies $\lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{s}\|w(s,).\| L_{2}^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)=0$, it is identically 0 .

As a consequence we have the following

## COROLLARY 3.1. Suppose g vanishes only at 0 and satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}\text { i) } \quad \liminf _{r \rightarrow+\infty} g(r) / r^{N} /(N-2)>0,  \tag{3.5}\\ & \\ \text { ii) } & \lim _{r \rightarrow-\infty} g(r) /|r| \\ & N /(N-2)<0 .\end{cases}
$$

Then the only $u \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}\right)$ satisfying (3.1) in $D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}\right)$ is the zero function.
Proof. From a result of Brezis and Veron [6] the function $u$ can be extended to whole $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ into a $C^{2}$ function. Moreover from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 1.1, $|x|^{N-2} u(x)$ admits a limit when $|x|$ goes to $+\infty$. Applying Theorem 3.1 to $u$ and 0 , we get $u=0$.

Remark 3.1. The assumption $\mathrm{g}^{-1}(0)=0$ can be cancelled if we consider the solutions of (3.1)
vanishing in some sense at infinity, for example such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty}\|u(r,).\| L^{2}\left(S^{N-1}\right)$
other conditions are discussed in [1] .
When the growth of $g$ at infinity is comparable to some power $q$ with $1<q<\frac{N}{N-2}$, there exist two types of isotropic singularities at 0 . We deduce from Proposition 2.1 and Theorems 1.1 and 3.1.

## COROLLARY 3.2. Suppose g vanishes only at 0 and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|r| \rightarrow+\infty}|g(r)| /|r| q=c \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\mathrm{c}>0$ and $1<\mathrm{q}<\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}-2}$. If $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{C}^{2}\left(\operatorname{IR}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}\right)$ is a solution of $(3.1)$ in $D^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{IR}^{\mathrm{N}}-\{0\}\right)$ such that $|x|^{N-2} u(x)$ remains bounded in some neighbourhood of 0 , then $u$ is uniquely determined by the value of $\gamma=\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{N-2} u(x)$.

In fact in Corollary 3.2, we have not only the uniqueness with respect to the singularity at 0 , but also the existence, as a consequence of

LEMMA 3.1. Suppose g vanishes at 0 and satisfies (3.6) for some $\mathrm{c}>0$ and some $1<\mathrm{q}<\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}-2}$. Then for any $\gamma$ there exists a unique $\mathrm{u} \in \mathrm{C}^{2}(0,+\infty)$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d^{2} u}{d r^{2}}+\frac{N-1}{r} \frac{d u}{d r}-g(u)=0  \tag{3.7}\\
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{N-2} u(r)=\gamma, \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} u(r)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. If we set $s=\frac{r^{N-2}}{N-2}$ and $u(r)=r^{2-N} v(s)$, then (3.7) is equivalent to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s^{2} \frac{d^{2} v}{d s^{2}}-(N-2)^{(4-N) /(N-2)} N /(N-2) g\left(\frac{v}{(N-2) s}\right)=0 \text { on }(0,+\infty),  \tag{3.8}\\
\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} v(s)=\gamma, \lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{s} v(s)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The uniqueness comes from the same argument of convexity as the one of Lemma 1.3. For the
existence, we consider for any $\epsilon>0$ the solution $v_{\epsilon}$ (coming also from the Lemma 1.3) of the equation
(3.9) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}(s+\epsilon)^{2} \frac{d^{2} v \epsilon}{d s^{2}}-(N-2)^{(4-N) /(N-2)}(s+\epsilon)^{N /(N-2)} g\left(\frac{v}{(N-2)(s+\epsilon)}\right)=0 \text { on }(0,+\infty), \\ v(0)=\gamma, \quad \lim _{s \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{s} v(s)=0 .\end{array}\right.$

As the function $s \mapsto\left|v_{\epsilon}(s)\right|$ is convex it is nonincreasing. From (3.6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(r)| \leqslant c|r|^{q}+d \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r$ and some $c, d>0$; so we have for any $0<s<T$
(3.11) $\left|\frac{d v_{\epsilon}}{d \mathrm{~s}}(\mathrm{~s})\right|<\left|\frac{\mathrm{dv} \epsilon}{\mathrm{ds}}(\mathrm{T})\right|+\mathrm{K} \int_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}\left((\sigma+\epsilon)^{\mathrm{N} /(\mathrm{N}-2)-\mathrm{q}-2}\left|\mathrm{v}_{\epsilon}\right| \mathrm{q}+(\sigma+\epsilon)^{\mathrm{N} /(\mathrm{N}-2)-2}\right) \mathrm{d} \sigma$.

But as $\left|v_{\epsilon}\right| \leqslant \gamma,\left|g\left(v_{\epsilon}\right)\right|$ is bounded and it is the same with $\frac{d^{2} v_{\epsilon}}{d s^{2}}$ and $\frac{d v_{\epsilon}}{d s}$ on any interval
$(\alpha,+\infty), \alpha>0$. Integrating again (3.11) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|v_{\epsilon}(t)-v_{\epsilon}(\mathrm{s})\right| \leqslant & A_{1}(\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{A}_{2}\left((\mathrm{t}+\epsilon)^{\mathrm{N} /(\mathrm{N}-2)-\mathrm{q}}-(\mathrm{s}+\epsilon)^{\mathrm{N} /(\mathrm{N}-2)-\mathrm{q}}\right)+\ldots  \tag{3.12}\\
& \ldots \quad A_{3}\left((\mathrm{t}+\epsilon)^{\mathrm{N} /(\mathrm{N}-2)}-(\mathrm{s}+\epsilon)^{\mathrm{N} /(\mathrm{N}-2)}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for $0<\mathrm{s}<\mathrm{t}<\mathrm{T}$. As the functions $\mathrm{t} \mapsto \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{N} /(\mathrm{N}-2)-\mathrm{q}}$ and $\mathrm{t} \mapsto \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{N} /(\mathrm{N}-2)}$ are uniformly continuous on $[0, T+1]$, the set of functions $\left(v_{\epsilon} \mid \epsilon \in(0,1]\right)$ is equicontinuous on $[0, T]$. Using Arzela Ascoli theorem and the diagonal process, there exists a continuous function $v$ on $[0,+\infty)$ and a sequence $\epsilon_{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow 0$ such that $v_{\mathrm{n}}$ converges to v on $[0, \mathrm{~T}]$, for any $\mathrm{T}>0$. The function $v$ satisfies the equation (3.8), is nonincreasing and $v(o)=\gamma$.

Remark 3.1. If we define $\tilde{u}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}$ by $\widetilde{u}(x)=u(|x|)$, where $u$ satisfies (3.7), one can see that $\tilde{u}$ is a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u+g(u)=(N-2)\left|S^{N-1}\right| \gamma \delta_{0} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, unique if $g$ vanishes only at 0 .
THEOREM 3.2. Suppose g vanishes only at 0 and satisfies for some $\mathrm{c}>0$ and some $1<\mathrm{q}<\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}-2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty}\left(g(r)-c r^{q}\right) r^{-N(q-1) / 2}=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists only one $u \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}-\{0\}\right)$ solution of (3.1) such that $\lim |x|^{2 /(q-1)} u(x)=\ell$.
$x \rightarrow 0$
Proof. Existence : For any $\gamma>0$ set $\mathrm{u}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ the solution of (3.7) on ( $0,+\infty$ ). From the Lemma 2.1, there exist A and $\mathrm{B}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant u_{\gamma}(r) \leqslant \frac{A}{r^{2 /(q-1)}}+B \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r>0$ and $\gamma>0$. Setting $s=\frac{r^{N-2}}{N-2}$ and $u_{\gamma}(r)=r^{2-N} v_{\gamma}(s)$, the function $v_{\gamma}$ satisfies the equation (3.8) with initial data $\gamma$ and vanishes at $+\infty$. From the uniqueness, for any $s>0$, the function $\gamma \mapsto v_{\gamma}(\mathrm{s})$ is nondecreasind and as

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant v_{\gamma}(s) \leqslant \frac{A}{((N-2) s)^{2 /(q-1)(N-2)}}+B \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

it converges as $\gamma \rightarrow+\infty$ to some function $v_{\infty}$ satisfying (3.8). Setting $u_{\infty}(r)=r^{2-N} v_{\infty}(s)$ the function $u_{\infty}$ satisfies (3.7) and $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} r^{N-2} u_{\infty}(r)=+\infty$. If $u(x)=u_{\infty}(|x|)$, u satisfies (3.1) and, from the Proposition 2.1, $\left.\quad \lim ^{r \rightarrow 0} \begin{array}{l}\left.r\right|^{2 /(q-1)} \\ u(x)\end{array}\right)=\ell$.

$$
x \rightarrow 0
$$

Uniqueness: Set $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ two solutions of (3.1) such that $\lim |x|^{2 /(q-1)} u_{i}(x)=\ell$ for $\mathrm{i}=1,2$. We apply the Proposition 2.2 with $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}-\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2}(\mathrm{q}-1)$ and we get from (2.39)

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|^{2 /(q-1)}\left(u_{1}(x)-u_{2}(x)\right) \leqslant 2 \epsilon|x|^{2 /(q-1)+2-N}+k|x|^{2 /(q-1)} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0}|x|^{N-2}\left|u_{1}(x)-u_{2}(x)\right|=0$. As $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ vanishes at infinity we deduce $u_{1}=u_{2}$ from the Theorem 3.1.

Remark 3.2. When $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{c}|\mathrm{r}|^{\mathrm{q}-1} \mathrm{r}$ the solution u of Theorem 3.2 is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\ell|x|^{-2 /(q-1)} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$
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