

XIANLING FAN

**A Viterbo-Hofer-Zehnder type result for  
hamiltonian inclusions**

*Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse 5<sup>e</sup> série*, tome 12,  
n° 3 (1991), p. 365-372

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AFST\\_1991\\_5\\_12\\_3\\_365\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AFST_1991_5_12_3_365_0)

© Université Paul Sabatier, 1991, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse » (<http://picard.ups-tlse.fr/~annaales/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

## A Viterbo-Hofer-Zehnder Type Result for Hamiltonian Inclusions

XIANLING FAN<sup>(1)</sup>

**RÉSUMÉ.** — On obtient un résultat de type de Viterbo-Hofer-Zehnder pour les inclusions hamiltoniennes. Soit  $H : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  une fonction locale lipschitzienne et  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Supposons que  $\Sigma := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2N} \mid H(x) = c\}$  soit un ensemble partiel compact et non vide de  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$  et  $0 \notin \partial H(x)$  pour  $x \in \Sigma$ . Donc, pour aucun  $\delta > 0$  l'inclusion hamiltonienne  $\dot{x} \in J\partial H(x)$  a une solution conservatrice et périodique  $x(t)$  de façon que  $H(x(t)) \equiv c' \in (c - \delta, c + \delta)$  pour tout  $t$ .

**ABSTRACT.** — We obtain a Viterbo-Hofer-Zehnder type result for Hamiltonian inclusions. Let  $H : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a locally Lipschitz function and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $\Sigma := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2N} \mid H(x) = c\}$  is a nonempty compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$  and  $0 \notin \partial H(x)$  for  $x \in \Sigma$ . Then for any  $\delta > 0$  the Hamiltonian inclusion  $\dot{x} \in J\partial H(x)$  has a conservative periodic solution  $x(t)$  such that  $H(x(t)) \equiv c' \in (c - \delta, c + \delta)$  for all  $t$ .

### 1. Introduction and Main Result

Hofer and Zehnder [1] extended the result of Viterbo [2]. The aim of the present paper is to extend the result of [1] to the case of Hamiltonian inclusions.

Let  $H : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be locally Lipschitz continuous, which is written as  $H \in C^{1-0}(\mathbb{R}^{2N}, \mathbb{R})$ . Consider the Hamiltonian inclusion.

$$\dot{x} \in J\partial H(x) \tag{1}$$

where  $\partial H$  is Clarke's generalized gradient of  $H$  and  $J$  is the standard  $2N \times 2N$  symplectic matrix (see [3]). By a solution of (1) we mean an

<sup>(1)</sup> Department of Mathematics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

absolutely continuous function  $x(t)$  satisfying (1) for almost all  $t$ . It is well-known that, if  $H$  is regular, then any solution of (1) is conservative, i.e.  $H(x(t)) \equiv \text{constant}$ . However, in general, if  $H$  is not regular, then a solution of (1) need not be conservative.

Our main result is the following

**THEOREM 1.** — *Let  $H \in C^{1-0}(\mathbb{R}^{2N}, \mathbb{R})$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $\Sigma_c = H^{-1}(c)$  is a nonempty compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$  and*

$$0 \notin \partial H(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Sigma_c. \quad (2)$$

*Then for any bounded neighborhood  $\Omega$  of  $\Sigma_c$ , there are positive constants  $\beta$  and  $d$  such that for any  $\delta > 0$ , (1) has a  $T = T(\delta)$ -periodic conservative solution  $x(t)$  in  $\Omega$  such that  $H(x(t)) \equiv c' \in (c - \delta, c + \delta)$  and*

$$\beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle -J\dot{x}, x \rangle dt \leq d. \quad (3)$$

The following results obtained by the author [4] will be used in the proof of theorem 1.

**PROPOSITION 1** ([4]). — *Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and  $H \in C^{1-0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ . Then for any continuous function  $\epsilon : \Omega \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  there is a  $C^\infty$ -function  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

- i)  $|g(x) - H(x)| \leq \epsilon(x)$  for  $x \in \Omega$ ,
- ii)  $\forall x \in \Omega, \exists y \in \Omega$  and  $\xi \in \partial H(y)$  such that  $|x - y| \leq \epsilon(x)$  and  $|g'(x) - \xi| \leq \epsilon(x)$ .

A  $C^1$ -function  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the condition i) and ii) in proposition 1 is called an  $\epsilon(x)$ -admissible approximation for  $H$  on  $\Omega$ . In particular, when  $\epsilon(x) \equiv \epsilon$ ,  $g$  is called an  $\epsilon$ -admissible approximation for  $H$  on  $\Omega$ .

**PROPOSITION 2** ([4]). — *Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$ ,  $H \in C^{1-0}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) with  $\epsilon_n > 0$ . Suppose that for each  $n$ ,  $H_n \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$  is an  $\epsilon_n$ -admissible approximation for  $H$  on  $\Omega$  and  $x_n$  is a  $T_n$ -periodic solution of the Hamiltonian system*

$$\dot{x} = JH'_n(x). \quad (4)$$

If

- i)  $\{T_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is bounded,  
 ii)  $\{x_n(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}, n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is contained in a compact subset of  $\Omega$ ,  
 then  $\{x_n\}$  has a subsequence  $\{x_{n_K}\}$  which converges uniformly to a  $T$ -periodic solution  $x$  of (1) with  $T = \lim T_{n_K}$  and

$$H(x(t)) \equiv c = \lim H_{n_K}(x_{n_K}(t)).$$

In section 2 we give the proof of theorem 1. In section 3 we extend the a priori bound criterion of Benci-Hofer-Rabinowitz [5] to the case of Hamiltonian inclusions.

## 2. Proof of theorem 1

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $c = 1$  and  $\Sigma_1$  is connected.

Let  $\Omega$ , a bounded neighborhood of  $\Sigma_1$ , be given. By the upper semi-continuity of  $H$ , the compactness of  $\Sigma_1$  and the condition (2), we may choose a bounded neighborhood  $V$  of  $\Sigma_1$  such that  $\bar{V} \subset \Omega$  and  $0 \notin \partial H(x)$  for  $x \in V$ . Then there are positive constants  $m$  and  $M$  such that  $m < |\xi| < M$  for  $\xi \in \partial H(V)$ . Using the pseudo-gradient flow (see [6]) we can construct a Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\psi : (-s, s) \times \Sigma_1 \rightarrow V$  such that

$$H(\psi(t, x)) = 1 + t \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in (-s, s) \times \Sigma_1.$$

Set

$$U = \psi((-s, s) \times \Sigma_1), \quad D = \text{diam } U, \quad \Sigma_c = (H|_U)^{-1}(c).$$

We fix positive numbers  $r, b$ , such that

$$D < r < 2D, \quad \frac{3}{2} \pi r^2 < b < 2\pi r^2.$$

Take a sequence  $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  such that  $0 < \epsilon_n < \min\{s/3, m/3\}$  for all  $n$ . By proposition 1, for each  $n$ , there is an  $\epsilon_n$ -admissible approximation  $H_n$  for  $H$  on  $U$  and  $H_n \in C^\infty(U, \mathbb{R})$ . Then we have

$$\begin{cases} |H_n(x) - H(x)| \leq \frac{s}{3} & \text{for } x \in U \text{ and all } n, \\ \frac{2}{3} m < |H'_n(x)| < M + \frac{m}{3} & \text{for } x \in U \text{ and all } n, \end{cases}$$

For each  $n$  let  $\psi_n$  be the flow in  $U$  generated by

$$\dot{x} = -\frac{H'_n(x)}{|H'_n(x)|^2}, \quad x(0) \in U.$$

Set  $\Sigma_{1,n} = H_n^{-1}(1)$ . It is easy to see that  $\psi_n([-s/2, s/2] \times \Sigma_{1,n}) \subset U$  and

$$H_n(\psi_n(t, x)) = 1 + t \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in \left[-\frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}\right] \times \Sigma_{1,n}.$$

LEMMA 1. — For each  $n$ ,  $\Sigma_{1,n}$  is a connected compact hypersurface in  $U$ .

*Proof.* — It suffices to prove the connectedness of  $\Sigma_{1,n}$ . For fixed  $n$  let  $x_1, x_2 \in \Sigma_{1,n}$ . Then there are  $-t_1 < 0$  and  $-t_2 < 0$  such that

$$\psi_n(-t_1, x_1) = y_1 \in \Sigma_{1+s/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_n(-t_2, x_2) = y_2 \in \Sigma_{1+s/2}.$$

Note that  $\Sigma_{1+s/2}$  is connected since  $\Sigma_{1+s/2}$  is homeomorphic to  $\Sigma_1$ . Let  $p$  be a path in  $\Sigma_{1+s/2}$  joining  $y_1$  to  $y_2$ . It is easy to see that along the descent flow lines of  $\psi_n$ ,  $p$  can be deformed to a path in  $\Sigma_{1,n}$  joining  $x_1$  to  $x_2$ . So  $\Sigma_{1,n}$  is connected and the proof of lemma 1 is complete.

Set  $U_n = \psi_n((-s/2, s/2) \times \Sigma_{1,n})$ . Then  $\psi_n : (-s/2, s/2) \times \Sigma_{1,n} \rightarrow U_n \subset U$  is a diffeomorphism. We denote by  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  the unbounded and bounded component of  $\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus U_n$  respectively and by  $B$  the bounded component of  $\mathbb{R}^{2N} \setminus U$ . We may assume that  $0 \in B$ , then  $0 \in B_n$  since  $B \subset B_n$  for all  $n$ .

Let  $\delta > 0$  be given. We may assume  $\delta < s/2$ .

Following [1], we pick a  $C^\infty$ -function  $f : (-s/2, s/2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying

$$f|_{(-s/2, -\delta]} = 0, \quad f|_{[\delta, s/2)} = b \quad \text{and} \quad f'(t) > 0 \quad \text{for } -\delta < t < \delta.$$

Choose a  $C^\infty$ -function  $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\begin{cases} g(t) = b & \text{for } t \leq r, \\ g(t) = \frac{3}{2} \pi t^2 & \text{for } t \text{ large,} \\ g(t) \geq \frac{3}{2} \pi t^2 & \text{for } t > r, \\ 0 < g'(t) \leq 3\pi t & \text{for } t > r. \end{cases}$$

For each  $n$  define a  $C^\infty$ -function  $G_n : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$G_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in B_n \\ f(t) & \text{if } x \in \psi_n(t \times \Sigma_{1,n}), -\delta \leq t \leq \delta \\ b & \text{if } x \in A_n \text{ and } |x| \leq r \\ g(|x|) & \text{if } |x| > r. \end{cases}$$

Then, by [1], for each  $n$  the Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{x} = JG'_n(x) \tag{5}$$

has a 1-periodic solution  $x_n$  in  $U_n$  such that

$$H_n(x_n(t)) = c_n \in (1 + \delta, 1 - \delta) \quad \text{for all } t$$

and

$$\beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \langle -J\dot{x}_n, x_n \rangle dt \leq d,$$

where  $\beta$  and  $d = 16\pi D^2$  are positive constants independent of  $n$  and  $\delta$ .

By the definition of  $G_n$  we have

$$G_n(x) = f(H_n(x) - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad G'_n(x) = f'(H_n(x) - 1)H'_n(x)$$

for  $x \in (H_n|_{U_n})^{-1}((1 - \delta, 1 + \delta))$ .

Set  $z_n(t) = x_n(f'(c_n - 1)t)$ . Then  $z_n$  is a  $T_n$ -periodic solution in  $U_n$  of the Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{z} = JH'_n(z) \tag{6}$$

with  $T_n = f'(c_n - 1)$  and

$$\beta \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{T_n} \langle -J\dot{z}_n, z_n \rangle dt \leq d. \tag{7}$$

From the fact that  $|c_n - 1| < \delta$  and  $f'$  is bounded on  $(-\delta, \delta)$  it follows that  $\{T_n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots\}$  is bounded. Noting that

$$U_n \subset \left\{ x \in U \mid 1 - \frac{5}{6}s \leq H(x) \leq 1 + \frac{5}{6}s \right\} \subset U,$$

from proposition 2 it follows that  $\{z_n\}$  has a subsequence  $\{z_{n_K}\}$  which converges uniformly to a conservative  $T$ -periodic solution  $z$  of (1) such that

$T = \lim T_{n_K}$ ,  $H(z(t)) = \bar{c} = \lim c_{n_K} \in [1 - \delta, 1 + \delta]$  and  $z(t) \in U, \forall t$ .

(3) follows from (7). The proof of theorem 1 is complete.  $\square$

### 3. A criterion for a priori bounds

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2N} = \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$ , set  $x = (p, q) = (\pi_1 x, \pi_2 x)$ . Note that in general neither of the sets  $\partial_p H(x) \times \partial_q H(x)$  and  $\partial H(x)$  need be contained in the other, but both of them are contained in  $\pi_1 \partial H(x) \times \pi_2 \partial H(x)$  (see [3]). The following theorem is an extension of the result of Benci-Hofer-Rabinowitz [5].

**THEOREM 2.** — *Under the assumptions of theorem 1, if there is a function  $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{2N}, \mathbb{R})$  and constants  $a, b \geq 0$  with  $a + b > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} a \langle \pi_1 x, \pi_1 \xi \rangle + b \langle \pi_2 x, \pi_2 \xi \rangle + \langle K'(x), J\xi \rangle &> 0, \\ \forall x \in \Sigma_c, \xi \in \partial H(x) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

then (1) has a periodic solution on  $\Sigma_c$ .

*Proof.* — We use the notations used in the proof of theorem 1 and assume  $c = 1$ . By the upper semicontinuity of  $\partial H$  and the compactness of  $\Sigma_c$ , for  $s > 0$  small, there is a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} a \langle \pi_1 x, \pi_1 \xi \rangle + b \langle \pi_2 x, \pi_2 \xi \rangle + \langle K'(x), J\xi \rangle &> \gamma, \\ \forall x \in U, \xi \in \partial H(x) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where  $U = \psi((-s, s) \times \Sigma_1)$ .

Let  $z$  be a conservative  $T$ -periodic solution of (1) in  $U$ . Setting  $\xi(t) = -J\dot{z}(t)$ , then  $\xi(t) \in \partial H(z(t))$  a.e. and

$$A(z) := \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \langle -J\dot{z}, z \rangle dt = \int_0^T \langle \pi_1 z, \pi_1 \xi \rangle dt = \int_0^T \langle \pi_2 z, \pi_2 \xi \rangle dt.$$

Noting that

$$\int_0^T \langle K'(z), J\xi \rangle dt = \int_0^T \langle K'(z), \dot{z} \rangle dt = 0,$$

integrating for (9) over  $[0, T]$  gives

$$(a + b)A(z) \geq \gamma T. \tag{10}$$

We now take a sequence  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$  with  $0 < \delta_n < s/2$ . By theorem 1, for each  $n$ , (1) has a conservative  $T_n$ -periodic solution  $z_n$  in  $U$  such that  $A(z_n) \leq d$  and  $|H(z_n(t)) - 1| < \delta_n$ . From (10) it follows that  $\{T_n \mid n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  is bounded. It is easy to see that  $\{z_n\}$  has a subsequence which converges uniformly to a conservative  $T$ -periodic solution  $z$  of (1) and  $z(t) \in \Sigma_1, \forall t$ .

The proof is complete.

**COROLLARY 1.** — *Suppose that  $H \in C^{1-0}(\mathbb{R}^{2N}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Sigma_c = H^{-1}(c)$  is compact. If*

$$\langle x, \xi \rangle > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \Sigma_c \text{ and } \xi \in \partial H(x), \quad (11)$$

*then (1) has a periodic solution on  $\Sigma_c$ .*

*Proof.* — Note that (11) implies (2). Hence all assumptions of theorem 1 are satisfied. Taking  $a = b = 1$  and  $K = 0$  gives (8). Corollary 1 follows from theorem 2.

**COROLLARY 2.** — *Suppose that  $H \in C^{1-0}(\mathbb{R}^{2N}, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Sigma_c = H^{-1}(c)$  is compact. If*

$$(p_1) \langle \pi_1 x, \pi_1 \xi \rangle > 0 \text{ for } x \in \Sigma_c \text{ with } \pi_1 x \neq 0 \text{ and } \xi \in \partial H(x),$$

$$(p_2) 0 \notin \pi_2 \partial H(x) \text{ for } x \in \Sigma_c \text{ with } \pi_1 x = 0,$$

*then (1) has a periodic solution on  $\Sigma_c$ .*

*Proof.* — It is clear that  $(p_1)$  and  $(p_2)$  imply (2). By the upper semicontinuity of  $\partial H$  and the compactness of  $\Sigma_c$  there is a bounded neighborhood  $U$  of  $\Sigma_c$  such that  $(p_1)$  and  $(p_2)$  are also true if  $\Sigma_c$  is replaced by  $U$ . Applying the acute angle approximation theorem (see e.g. [7]) for the multivalued map  $\pi_2 \partial H : \mathbb{R}^{2N} \rightarrow 2\mathbb{R}^N$ , it is not difficult to construct a map  $W \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{2N}, \mathbb{R}^N)$  such that

$$\langle W(x), \pi_2 \xi \rangle > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in U \text{ with } \pi_1 x = 0 \text{ and } \xi \in \partial H(x).$$

Set  $K(x) = \langle -W(x), \pi_1 x \rangle$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$ . Then  $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^{2N}, \mathbb{R})$  and

$$\langle K'(x), J\xi \rangle = \langle -W'(x) \cdot J\xi, \pi_1 x \rangle + \langle W(x), \pi_2 \xi \rangle$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$  and  $\xi \in \partial H(x)$ .



It is easy to see that there are constants  $\sigma, \gamma > 0$  such that

$$\langle W(x), \pi_2 \xi \rangle \geq 2\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle W'(x) \cdot J\xi, \pi_1 x \rangle| \leq \gamma$$

for  $x \in U$  with  $|\pi_1 x| \leq \sigma$ , and  $\xi \in \partial H(x)$ . Let

$$M = \sup \left\{ \langle K'(x), J\xi \rangle \mid x \in U, \xi \in \partial H(x) \right\},$$

$$m = \inf \left\{ \langle \pi_1 x, \pi_1 \xi \rangle \mid x \in U \text{ with } |\pi_1 x| \geq \sigma, \xi \in \partial H(x) \right\}.$$

Set  $a = (M + \gamma)/m$  and  $b = 0$ . Then for  $x \in U$  and  $\xi \in \partial H(x)$  we have

$$a \langle \pi_1 x, \pi_1 \xi \rangle + \langle K'(x), J\xi \rangle \geq 0 + 2\gamma - \gamma = \gamma > 0 \text{ if } |\pi_1 x| \leq \sigma,$$

$$a \langle \pi_1 x, \pi_1 \xi \rangle + \langle K'(x), J\xi \rangle \geq M + \gamma - M = \gamma > 0 \text{ if } |\pi_1 x| \geq \sigma.$$

Thus (8) holds and corollary 2 follows from theorem 2.

*Remark.* — When  $H \in C^1$ , (2) and  $(p_1)$  imply  $(p_2)$  (see [5]), but such conclusion is not true when  $H \in C^{1-0}$ .

## References

- [1] HOFER (H.) and ZEHNDER (E.) .— *Periodic solutions on hypersurfaces and a result by C. Viterbo*,  
Invent. Math. **90** (1987) pp. 1-9.
- [2] VITERBO (C.) .— *A proof of the Weinstein conjecture in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$* ,  
Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. non linéaire **4** (1987) pp. 337-356.
- [3] CLARKE (F.) .— *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*  
John Wiley & Sons (1983).
- [4] FAN (X.) .— *The  $C^1$ -admissible approximation for Lipschitz functions and the Hamiltonian inclusions*,  
Adv. Math. China, **20:1** (1991) pp. 177-178.
- [5] BENCI (V.), HOFER (H.) and RABINOWITZ (P.) .— *A remark on a priori bounds and existence for periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems*,  
In Periodic Solutions of Hamiltonian Systems and Related Topics (R. Rabinowitz) et al, Eds D. Reidel Publishing Company (1987) pp. 85-88.
- [6] CHANG (K.) .— *Variational methods for non-differentiable functionals and its applications to partial differential equations*,  
J. Math. Anal. Appl. **80** (1981) pp. 102-129.
- [7] BORISOVICH (YU.), GELMAN (B.), MUSCHKIS (A.) and OBUHOVSKII (V.) .— *Topological methods in the fixed point theory of multivalued mappings*,  
Usp. Mat. Nauk. Russian, **35** (1980) pp. 59-126.